



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Approved by OMB  
3060-1122  
Expires: March 31, 2021  
Estimated time per response: 10-55  
hours

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

**A. Filing Information**

**1. Name of State or Jurisdiction**

State or Jurisdiction
Lyon County, Nevada

**2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

Name	Title	Organization
Josh Foli	Comptroller	Lyon County
Donna R Cupp	Communications Manager	Lyon County Sheriff’s Office



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**B. Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**

1. Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

PSAP Type <sup>1</sup>	Total
Primary	1
Secondary	
<b>Total</b>	1

2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators<sup>2</sup> in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

Number of Active Telecommunicators	Total
Full-Time	0
Part-time	0

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.

<b>Amount (\$)</b>	1,866,429
--------------------	-----------

<sup>1</sup> A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. See National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), Aug. 8, 2017, at 167, available at [https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017\\_FINAL\\_2.pdf](https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017_FINAL_2.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. See *Master Glossary* at 196.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

4. Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017.

Type of Service	Total 911 Calls
Wireline	1,534
Wireless	5,976
VoIP	97
Other	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,628</b>

**C. Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**

1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)? *Check one.*

- Yes .....
- No .....

1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.

Lyon County uses sales taxes, property taxes, and federal PILT for the majority of the 911 funding. In addition to these funding sources, Lyon County imposes a telephone surcharge on phone lines in the County pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes 244A.7641-244A.7647.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**1b. If YES, during the annual period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.**

The County did pass a rate increase in the telephone surcharge during that time period; with the increase to be effective in January 2018.

**2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees? Check one.**

- The State collects the fees .....
- A Local Authority collects the fees .....
- A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies  
(e.g., state and local authority) collect the fees .....

**3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

A telephone surcharge is placed on each phone and the phone companies pay the County on a monthly basis.

**D. Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.	
Jurisdiction	Authority to Approve Expenditure of Funds (Check one)



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

	Yes	No
State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local (e.g., county, city, municipality)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (e.g., limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)</b>		
The telephone surcharge is limited in amount and revenue and in expenditure purposes by Nevada Revised Statute 244A.		

2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? *Check one.*

- Yes .....
- No .....

2a. If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.

The telephone surcharge is limited in expenditure purposes by Nevada Revised Statute 244A.

2b. If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.

**Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**

1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Lyon County has used the telephone surcharge for the following uses from inception through December 31, 2017:

- 1) Mobile Data Terminals and associated hardware in Sheriff's vehicles. This allows Dispatch to communicate by computer and also have GPS coordinates of the vehicles.
- 2) Hosted 911 phone solution and associated telephone carrier costs. This allows Dispatch to receive 911 calls.
- 3) Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD system). This allows Dispatch to track and dispatch 911 calls and associated information with the calls.

Lyon County uses sales tax, property tax, and federal PILT to fund salaries/benefits/services & supplies/capital outlay for all aspects of 911 that aren't being paid for under the telephone surcharge.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

2. Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. <i>Check all that apply.</i>			
Type of Cost		Yes	No
<b>Operating Costs</b>	Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Personnel Costs</b>	Telecommunicators' Salaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Training of Telecommunicators	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Administrative Costs</b>	Program Administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Travel Expenses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Dispatch Costs</b>	Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Grant Programs</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> If YES, see 2a.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.</b>			

**E. Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**1. Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.**

Service Type	Fee/Charge Imposed	Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance (e.g., state, county, local authority, or a combination)
Wireline	25 cents per month	County
Wireless	25 cents per month	County
Prepaid Wireless	25 cents per month	County
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	25 cents per month/\$2.50 per trunk line per month	County
Other		

**2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.**

Service Type	Total Amount Collected (\$)
Wireline	
Wireless	
Prepaid Wireless	
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	
Other	
<b>Total</b>	154,834

**2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

We received a total remittance from each carrier each month and we don't have the detail to break it down into the components.

**3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.**

None other than the general fund and the aforementioned 911 surcharge fees

Question	Yes	No
<p><b>4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services? Check one.</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>4a. If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.</b></p>		
<p>Lyon County used general budget dollars of \$1,692,287 to operate our 911 system and provide equipment and radio upgrades. The County also used \$174,142 in telephone surcharge funds to pay for purposes already discussed.</p>		
<p><b>5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.</b></p>	<p><b>Percent</b></p>	
<p>State 911 Fees</p>		
<p>Local 911 Fees</p>	<p>9.3%</p>	
<p>General Fund - State</p>		
<p>General Fund - County</p>	<p>90.7%</p>	



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Grants	
State Grants	



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

**F. Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

Question		Yes	No
<b>1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism? <i>Check one.</i></b>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.</b>			
<b>Amount of Funds (\$)</b>	<b>Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. <i>(Add lines as necessary)</i></b>		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**G. Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</b>		

Question	Yes	No
<b>2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider's number of subscribers? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>2a. If YES, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</b>		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**H. Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If YES, in the space below, please cite any specific legal authority:</b>		

Question	Yes	No			
<b>2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>2a. If YES, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.</b>					
<b>Amount (\$)</b>					
<b>3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.</b>					
Type of ESInet	Yes	No	If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet	If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?	
				Yes	No



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

a. A single, state-wide ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Local (e.g., county) ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Regional ESInets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2017.**

Updated Vesta hosted solution system implemented. The system is text-to-911 capable.

Question	Total PSAPs Accepting Texts
<b>5. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs within your state</b>	4



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<b>implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?</b>	
<b>Question</b>	<b>Estimated Number of PSAPs that will Become Text Capable</b>
<b>6. In the next annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?</b>	unknown



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**I. Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures**

Question	Check the appropriate box		If Yes, Amount Expended (\$)
	Yes	No	
1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Question	Total PSAPs
2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?	unknown

Question	Yes	No	Unknown
3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology <i>Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity</i> (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**J. Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**

- 1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges. If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

N/A



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Approved by OMB  
3060-1122  
Expires: March 31, 2021  
Estimated time per response: 10-55  
hours

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission's obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

**A. Filing Information**

**1. Name of State or Jurisdiction**

State or Jurisdiction
Elko County Central Dispatch Authority

**2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

Name	Title	Organization
Cash A. Minor	Asst. Co. Mgr./CFO	Elko County



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**B. Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**

1. Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

PSAP Type <sup>1</sup>	Total
Primary	1
Secondary	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>

2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators<sup>2</sup> in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

Number of Active Telecommunicators	Total
Full-Time	14
Part-time	

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.

Amount (\$)	

<sup>1</sup> A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. See National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), Aug. 8, 2017, at 167, available at [https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017\\_FINAL\\_2.pdf](https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017_FINAL_2.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. See *Master Glossary* at 196.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

Fiscal Year 7/1/2017 to 6/30/2018 cost projected to be \$1,663,380

**4. Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017.**

Type of Service	Total 911 Calls
Wireline	111,228
Wireless	
VoIP	
Other	
<b>Total</b>	<b>111,228</b>

**C. Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**

**1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)? *Check one.***

- Yes .....
- No .....

**1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.**

Nevada Revised Statutes chapter 244A



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**1b. If YES, during the annual period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.**

No

**2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees? Check one.**

- The State collects the fees .....
- A Local Authority collects the fees .....
- A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies  
(e.g., state and local authority) collect the fees .....

**3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

Nevada Revised Statutes chapter 244A



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**D. Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

<b>1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.</b>		
Jurisdiction	Authority to Approve Expenditure of Funds (Check one)	
	Yes	No
State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Local (e.g., county, city, municipality)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (e.g., limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)</b>		
Limited to fees collected		

**2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? Check one.**

- Yes .....
- No .....

**2a. If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

Nevada Revised Statutes chapter 244A

**2b. If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**E. Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**

- 1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

Initial phase of the process was to contract with a consultant to identify options for 911 services. The intent was to focus on enhanced 911 or Next Gen. The Phase 1 report has been completed.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

2. Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. Check all that apply.			
Type of Cost		Yes	No
Operating Costs	Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Personnel Costs	Telecommunicators' Salaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Training of Telecommunicators	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Administrative Costs	Program Administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Travel Expenses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dispatch Costs	Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grant Programs		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If YES, see 2a.	<input type="checkbox"/>
2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.			
None at this time			



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**F. Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

**1. Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.**

<b>Service Type</b>	<b>Fee/Charge Imposed</b>	<b>Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance (e.g., state, county, local authority, or a combination)</b>
Wireline	.25	Elko County
Wireless	.25	Elko County
Prepaid Wireless		
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)		
Other		

**2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.**

<b>Service Type</b>	<b>Total Amount Collected (\$)</b>
Wireline	0
Wireless	195.75
Prepaid Wireless	
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	
Other	
<b>Total</b>	



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.

Member assessment per radio

Question	Yes	No
4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services? <i>Check one.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4a. If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<b>5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.</b>	<b>Percent</b>
State 911 Fees	
Local 911 Fees	195.75
General Fund - State	
General Fund - County	1,520,063
Federal Grants	
State Grants	



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

**G. Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.</b>		
<b>Amount of Funds (\$)</b>	<b>Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. <i>(Add lines as necessary)</i></b>	



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**H. Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</b>		

Question	Yes	No
<b>2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider's number of subscribers? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>2a. If YES, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</b>		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**I. Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

Question	Yes	No
<b>1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1a. If YES, in the space below, please cite any specific legal authority:</b>		
<p>Nevada Revised Statutes chapter 244A</p>		

Question	Yes	No
<b>2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs? <i>Check one.</i></b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>2a. If YES, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.</b>		
<b>Amount</b> (S)		



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.					
Type of ESInet	Yes	No	If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet	If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?	
				Yes	No
a. A single, state-wide ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Local (e.g., county) ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Regional ESInets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2017.

None

Question	Total PSAPs Accepting Texts
5. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?	Unknown
Question	Estimated Number of PSAPs that will Become Text Capable
6. In the next annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?	Unknown



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**J. Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures**

Question	Check the appropriate box		If Yes, Amount Expended (\$)
	Yes	No	
1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Question	Total PSAPs
2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?	Unkown

Question	Yes	No	Unknown
3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology <i>Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity</i> (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**K. Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**

- 1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges. If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

None or Unknown



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Approved by OMB  
3060-1122  
Expires: March 31, 2021  
Estimated time per response: 10-55  
hours

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission's obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

**A. Filing Information**

**1. Name of State or Jurisdiction**

State or Jurisdiction
Washoe County, Nevada

**2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

Name	Title	Organization
Sara DeLozier	Administrative Assistant II	Washoe County, Nevada Technology Services Dept.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**B. Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**

1. Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

PSAP Type <sup>1</sup>	Total
Primary	3
Secondary	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>

2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators<sup>2</sup> in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

Number of Active Telecommunicators	Total
Full-Time	0
Part-time	0

<sup>1</sup> A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. See National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), Aug. 8, 2017, at 167, available at [https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017\\_FINAL\\_2.pdf](https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017_FINAL_2.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. See *Master Glossary* at 196.



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.

<b>Amount (S)</b>	Washoe County Dispatch    Approximately \$3,515,000 ~~~~~
	City of Sparks Dispatch    Approximately \$1,900,000 ~~~~~
	City of Reno Dispatch    Approximately \$6,400,000
	<b>Combined Dispatch Budgets: \$11,815,000</b>
	<b>911 Surcharge Budget: \$1,899,608</b>
	<b>Total: \$13,714,608</b>

3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

4. Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017.

Type of Service	Total 911 Calls
Wireline	60,562
Wireless	289,798
VoIP	4,090
Other and NRF	43,513
<b>Total</b>	<b>397,963</b>



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**C. Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**

**1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)? *Check one.***

- Yes .....
- No .....

**1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.**

**SURCHARGE FOR ENHANCEMENT OR IMPROVEMENT OF TELEPHONE SYSTEM USED FOR REPORTING EMERGENCY**

NRS 244A.7641 Definitions.  
NRS 244A.7643 Surcharge: Imposition; master plan required in certain counties; amount; collection; penalties for delinquent payment.  
NRS 244A.7645 Establishment of advisory committee to develop plan to enhance or improve telephone system; creation of special revenue fund; use of money in fund.  
NRS 244A.7646 Dispute of amount of surcharge or designation of place of primary use by customer of supplier of mobile telephone service: Notice by customer; review by supplier; refund, credit or explanation.  
NRS 244A.7647 Recovering cost of collecting surcharge.

**TAXING DISTRICT TO PROVIDE TELEPHONE NUMBER FOR USE IN EMERGENCY**

NRS 244A.765 Definitions.  
NRS 244A.767 Creation of taxing district in county whose population is 700,000 or more; boundaries of district; delegation of operation of system to metropolitan police department.  
NRS 244A.768 Creation of taxing district in county whose population is less than 700,000; boundaries of district; delegation of operation of system to metropolitan police department.  
NRS 244A.769 Features of system.  
NRS 244A.771 System to use 911 as primary telephone number; secondary number.  
NRS 244A.773 Tax levy: Approval of voters; exemption from limitation.  
NRS 244A.775 Determination of rate of tax levy.  
NRS 244A.777 Collection of taxes; tax constitutes lien.

**1b. If YES, during the annual period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.**

Yes

The 2017 Nevada State Legislature enacted SB176 increasing the maximum surcharge from \$0.25 per line/\$2.50 per trunk to \$1.00 per line/\$10.00 per trunk. The permissible uses for the surcharge was expanded to include the purchase and maintenance of portable event recording devices and vehicular recording devices.

Within the reporting period, the Washoe County Commission adopted Ordinance 1601 (effective October 20, 2017) reflecting SB176's changes. In the 2018 reporting period, the County Commission increased the surcharge from \$0.25 per line/\$2.50 per trunk to \$0.85 per line/\$8.50 per trunk.



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees? Check one.

- The State collects the fees .....
- A Local Authority collects the fees .....
- A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies  
(e.g., state and local authority) collect the fees .....

3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.

Washoe County qualifies as a County population between 100,000-700,000 and complies with NRS 244A.7643-7646 inclusive.

**NRS 244A.7643 Surcharge: Imposition; master plan required in certain counties; amount; collection; penalties for delinquent payment.**

1. Except as otherwise provided in this section, the board of county commissioners in a county whose population is 100,000 or more but less than 700,000 may by ordinance, for the enhancement of the telephone system for reporting an emergency in the county, impose a surcharge on:

- (a) Each access line or trunk line of each customer to the local exchange of any telecommunications provider providing those lines in the county; and
- (b) The mobile telephone service provided to each customer of that service whose place of primary use is in the county.

2. Except as otherwise provided in this section, the board of county commissioners in a county whose population is less than 100,000 may by ordinance, for the enhancement or improvement of the telephone system for reporting an emergency in the county, impose a surcharge on:

- (a) Each access line or trunk line of each customer to the local exchange of any telecommunications provider providing those lines in the county; and
- (b) The mobile telephone service provided to each customer of that service whose place of primary use is in the county.

3. A board of county commissioners may not impose a surcharge pursuant to this section unless the board first adopts a 5-year master plan for the enhancement or improvement, as applicable, of the telephone system for reporting emergencies in the county. The master plan must include an estimate of the cost of the enhancement or improvement, as applicable, of the telephone system and all proposed sources of money for funding those costs. For the duration of the imposition of the surcharge, the board shall, at least annually, review and, if necessary, update the master plan.

4. The surcharge imposed by a board of county commissioners pursuant to this section:

- (a) For each access line to the local exchange of a telecommunications provider, must not exceed 25 cents each month;
- (b) For each trunk line to the local exchange of a telecommunications provider, must equal 10 times the amount of the surcharge imposed for each access line to the local exchange of a telecommunications provider pursuant to paragraph (a); and
- (c) For each telephone number assigned to a customer by a supplier of mobile telephone service, must equal the amount of the surcharge imposed for each access line to the local exchange



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

of a telecommunications provider pursuant to paragraph (a).

5. A telecommunications provider which provides access lines or trunk lines in a county which imposes a surcharge pursuant to this section or a supplier which provides mobile telephone service to a customer in such a county shall collect the surcharge from its customers each month. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 244A.7647, the telecommunications provider or supplier shall remit the surcharge it collects to the treasurer of the county in which the surcharge is imposed not later than the 15th day of the month after the month it receives payment of the surcharge from its customers.

6. An ordinance adopted pursuant to subsection 1 or 2 may include a schedule of penalties for the delinquent payment of amounts due from telecommunications providers or suppliers pursuant to this section. Such a schedule:

(a) Must provide for a grace period of not less than 90 days after the date on which the telecommunications provider or supplier must otherwise remit the surcharge to the county treasurer; and

(b) Must not provide for a penalty that exceeds 5 percent of the cumulative amount of surcharges owed by a telecommunications provider or a supplier.

7. As used in this section, "trunk line" means a line which provides a channel between a switchboard owned by a customer of a telecommunications provider and the local exchange of the telecommunications provider.

(Added to NRS by 1995, 1056; A 1997, 2212; 1999, 1686; 2001, 621, 1643, 2124; 2003, 152, 153; 2007, 559; 2009, 640; 2011, 1122)

**NRS 244A.7645 Establishment of advisory committee to develop plan to enhance or improve telephone system; creation of special revenue fund; use of money in fund.**

1. If a surcharge is imposed pursuant to NRS 244A.7643 in a county whose population is 100,000 or more but less than 700,000, the board of county commissioners of that county shall establish by ordinance an advisory committee to develop a plan to enhance the telephone system for reporting an emergency in that county and to oversee any money allocated for that purpose. The advisory committee must consist of not less than five members who:

(a) Are residents of the county;

(b) Possess knowledge concerning telephone systems for reporting emergencies; and

(c) Are not elected public officers.

2. If a surcharge is imposed pursuant to NRS 244A.7643 in a county whose population is less than 100,000, the board of county commissioners of that county shall establish by ordinance an advisory committee to develop a plan to enhance or improve the telephone system for reporting an emergency in that county and to oversee any money allocated for that purpose. The advisory committee must:

(a) Consist of not less than five members who:

(1) Are residents of the county;

(2) Possess knowledge concerning telephone systems for reporting emergencies; and

(3) Are not elected public officers; and

(b) Include a representative of an incumbent local exchange carrier which provides service to persons in that county. As used in this paragraph, "incumbent local exchange carrier" has the meaning ascribed to it in 47 U.S.C. § 251(h)(1), as that section existed on October 1, 1999, and includes a local exchange carrier that is treated as an incumbent local exchange carrier pursuant to that section.

3. If a surcharge is imposed in a county pursuant to NRS 244A.7643, the board of county



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

commissioners of that county shall create a special revenue fund of the county for the deposit of the money collected pursuant to NRS 244A.7643. The money in the fund must be used only:

(a) In a county whose population is 45,000 or more but less than 700,000, to enhance the telephone system for reporting an emergency, including only:

(1) Paying recurring and nonrecurring charges for telecommunication services necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system;

(2) Paying costs for personnel and training associated with the routine maintenance and updating of the database for the system;

(3) Purchasing, leasing or renting the equipment and software necessary to operate the enhanced telephone system, including, without limitation, equipment and software that identify the number or location from which a call is made; and

(4) Paying costs associated with any maintenance, upgrade and replacement of equipment and software necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system.

(b) In a county whose population is less than 45,000, to improve the telephone system for reporting an emergency in the county.

4. If the balance in the fund created in a county whose population is 45,000 or more but less than 700,000 pursuant to subsection 3 which has not been committed for expenditure exceeds \$1,000,000 at the end of any fiscal year, the board of county commissioners shall reduce the amount of the surcharge imposed during the next fiscal year by the amount necessary to ensure that the unencumbered balance in the fund at the end of the next fiscal year does not exceed \$1,000,000.

5. If the balance in the fund created in a county whose population is less than 45,000 pursuant to subsection 3 which has not been committed for expenditure exceeds \$500,000 at the end of any fiscal year, the board of county commissioners shall reduce the amount of the surcharge imposed during the next fiscal year by the amount necessary to ensure that the unencumbered balance in the fund at the end of the next fiscal year does not exceed \$500,000.

(Added to NRS by 1995, 1056; A 1999, 1686; 2001, 621, 2125; 2007, 561; 2009, 641; 2011, 1124)

**D. Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.		
Jurisdiction	Authority to Approve Expenditure of Funds (Check one)	
	Yes	No
State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

(e.g., county, city, municipality)

**1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (e.g., limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)**

- (1) Paying recurring and nonrecurring charges for telecommunication services necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system;
- (2) Paying costs for personnel and training associated with the routine maintenance and updating of the database for the system;
- (3) Purchasing, leasing or renting the equipment and software necessary to operate the enhanced telephone system, including, without limitation, equipment and software that identify the number or location from which a call is made; and
- (4) Paying costs associated with any maintenance, upgrade and replacement of equipment and software necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system.

**2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? Check one.**

- Yes .....
- No .....

**2a. If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

NRS 244A.7645 Establishment of advisory committee to develop plan to enhance or improve telephone system; creation of special revenue fund; use of money in fund.

**2b. If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**

Not applicable

**E. Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**

- 1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.

**2. Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. Check all that apply.**



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Type of Cost		Yes	No
<b>Operating Costs</b>	Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Personnel Costs</b>	Telecommunicators' Salaries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Training of Telecommunicators	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Administrative Costs</b>	Program Administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Travel Expenses	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Dispatch Costs</b>	Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Grant Programs</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> If YES, see 2a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.</b>			
Not applicable			



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**F. Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

1. Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.		
Service Type	Fee/Charge Imposed	Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance (e.g., state, county, local authority, or a combination)
Wireline	\$0.25 per line \$2.50 per trunk of 10 lines	Washoe County, Nevada
Wireless	\$0.25 per line \$2.50 per trunk of 10 lines	Washoe County, Nevada
Prepaid Wireless	\$0.25 per line \$2.50 per trunk of 10 lines	Washoe County, Nevada
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	\$0.25 per line \$2.50 per trunk of 10 lines	Washoe County, Nevada
Other		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.

Service Type	Total Amount Collected (\$)
Wireline	\$1,079,913.35
Wireless	\$467,568.61
Prepaid Wireless	Included in Wireless
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	Included in Wireless
Reseller	\$42,995.30
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,590,477.26</b>

2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.

None



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Question	Yes	No
<b>4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services? Check one.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>4a. If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.</b>		
Not applicable		

<b>5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.</b>	Percent
State 911 Fees	
Local 911 Fees	14%
General Fund - State	
General Fund – County/City	86%
Federal Grants	
State Grants	



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**G. Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

Question		Yes	No
1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism? <i>Check one.</i>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1a. If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.			
Amount of Funds (\$)	Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. <i>(Add lines as necessary)</i>		
Not applicable	Not applicable		

**H. Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

Question		Yes	No
1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911? <i>Check one.</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20554

**1a. If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)**

None

Question	Yes	No
<p><b>2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider's number of subscribers? Check one.</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>2a. If YES, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)</b></p>		
Empty space for response		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**I. Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

Question	Yes	No
<p><b>1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes? Check one.</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p><b>1a. If YES, in the space below, please cite any specific legal authority:</b></p>		
<p>3. If a surcharge is imposed in a county pursuant to <u>NRS 244A.7643</u>, the board of county commissioners of that county shall create a special revenue fund of the county for the deposit of the money collected pursuant to <u>NRS 244A.7643</u>. The money in the fund must be used only:</p> <p>(a) In a county whose population is 45,000 or more but less than 700,000, to enhance the telephone system for reporting an emergency, including only:</p> <p>(1) Paying recurring and nonrecurring charges for telecommunication services necessary for the operation of the <u>enhanced telephone system</u>;</p> <p>(2) Paying costs for personnel and training associated with the routine maintenance and updating of the database for the system;</p> <p>(3) Purchasing, leasing or renting the equipment and software necessary to operate the <u>enhanced telephone system</u>, including, without limitation, equipment and software that identify the number or location from which a call is made; and</p> <p>(4) Paying costs associated with any maintenance, upgrade and replacement of equipment and software necessary for the operation of the <u>enhanced telephone system</u>.</p>		



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Question		Yes	No
2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs? <i>Check one.</i>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2a. If YES, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.			
Amount (\$)	West Service Contract \$1,147,810.33		

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.					
Type of ESInet	Yes	No	If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet	If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?	
				Yes	No
a. A single, state-wide ESInet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Local (e.g., county) ESInet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	County – 3 Primary PSAPS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. Regional ESInets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Name of Regional ESInet:	Washoe County does not name it.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Name of Regional ESInet:		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2017.**

Text-to-911 is implemented across all PSAPs in the West NG 911 system. An official “roll-out” and public notification of Text-to-911 is planned for the 2018 reporting period.

Question	Total PSAPs Accepting Texts
<b>5. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?</b>	One of three (Washoe County PSAP)
Question	Estimated Number of PSAPs that will Become Text Capable
<b>6. In the next annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?</b>	All are text capable

**J. Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures**

Question	Check the appropriate box	If Yes, Amount Expended (\$)
----------	---------------------------	------------------------------



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

<b>1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?</b>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	unknown
---	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------

Question	Total PSAPs
<b>2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?</b>	unknown

Question	Yes	No	Unknown
<b>3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology <i>Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity</i> (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**K. Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**

- 1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges. If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (e.g., Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

No formal assessment has been completed. All expenditures are approved by the appointed E911 committee and overseen by Washoe County's District Attorney's office. The focus of the E911 committee is to continue to complete the transition to a fully implemented next generation E911 system. It is the opinion of assigned E911 Committee Members and supporting counsel that these funds allow for enhanced training, current hardware, and software that provides for an emergency response system that meets the region's needs.

Through the 2017-2018 Master Plan update process, recommendations of the previously adopted 2013 plan underwent review and assessment. Additionally, legislative changes within the reporting period led to an internal review and refinement of the uses deemed appropriate for funding through this mechanism.

The 911/E911 fees allowed for support of the regional emergency response system. The fund funded expenditures for:

- Paying recurring and nonrecurring charges for telecommunication services necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system.
- Paying costs for personnel and training associated with the routine maintenance and updating of the database for the system.
- Purchasing, leasing or renting the equipment and software necessary to operate the enhanced telephone system, including, without limitation, equipment and software that identify the number or location from which a call is made; and
- Paying costs associated with any maintenance, upgrade and replacement of equipment and software necessary for the operation of the enhanced telephone system.