**Approved by OMB**

**3060-1122**

**Expires: March 31, 2021**

**Estimated time per response: 10-55 hours**

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

1. **Filing Information**
2. **Name of State or Jurisdiction**

|  |
| --- |
| **State or Jurisdiction** |
| State of Ohio |

1. **Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Title** | **Organization** |
| Rob Jackson | State 9-1-1 Administrator | Ohio 9-1-1 Program Office |

1. **Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**
2. **Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2018:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PSAP Type[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Total** |
| Primary | 138 |
| Secondary | 38 |
| **Total** | 176 |

1. **Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators[[2]](#footnote-2) in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2018:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Number of Active Telecommunicators** | **Total** |
| Full-Time | 659.5 |
| Part-time | 100 |

1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2018, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Amount**  **($)** | $354,344,576.66 |

**3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
| Answer to #3 is total of all reported spending by county - \*See attached spreadsheet for specific counties. |

1. **Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Type of Service** | **Total 911 Calls** |
| Wireline | 769,955 |
| Wireless | 5,301,420 |
| VoIP | 447,835 |
| Other | 115,075 |
| **Total** | 6,761,648 |

\*Several counties could not break out the source for calls. These are reflected in the “Total” line only, which accounts for 127,300 9-1-1 calls not reflected in the subgroups.

1. **Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**
2. **Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)?** *Check one.*

* Yes ………………….. X
* No ………………..…..

**1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.**

|  |
| --- |
| Ohio Revised Code Chapter 128  \*See attached data for individual county responses. |

**1b. If YES, during the annual period January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.**

|  |
| --- |
| No adjustment at the state level  \*See attached data for individual county responses. |

1. **Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees?** *Check one*.

* The State collects the fees …………………………………..
* A Local Authority collects the fees ………………………..
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies

(*e.g.*, state and local authority) collect the fees …………….. X

1. **Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

|  |
| --- |
| 25 cent surcharge on cell phones at the state level.  \*See attached data for individual county responses |

1. **Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.** | | |
| **Jurisdiction** | **Authority to Approve**  **Expenditure of Funds**  ***(Check one)*** | |
| **Yes** | **No** |
| State | **X** |  |
| Local  (*e.g.*, county, city, municipality) | **X** |  |
| **1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (*e.g.*, limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)** | | |
| State level only reviews for allowable category of expenses from the state collected and disbursed funds.  \*See attached for county responses. | | |

1. **Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? *Check one*.**

* Yes ………………….. X
* No ………………..…..

**2a.** **If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

|  |
| --- |
| Ohio Revised Code Chapter 128  \*See attached for county responses. |

**2b.** **If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**
2. **Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

|  |
| --- |
| State collected funds from the 25 cent cell phone surcharge are used as follows:  1% kept by Department of Taxation to process fund collection and disbursement  2% to fund ESINet Steering Committee and DAS Ohio 9-1-1 Program Office  97% Disbursed to county by formula originally developed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. These funds are used for 9-1-1 equipment, training, personnel, etc.  \*See attached data for individual county responses. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. *Check all that apply*.** | | | |
| **Type of Cost** | | **Yes** | **No** |
| **Operating Costs** | Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software) | **X** |  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software) | **X** |  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility | **X** |  |
| **Personnel Costs** | Telecommunicators’ Salaries | **X** |  |
| Training of Telecommunicators | **X** |  |
| **Administrative Costs** | Program Administration | **X** |  |
| Travel Expenses | **X** |  |
| **Dispatch Costs** | Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch |  | **X** |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks |  | **X** |
| **Grant Programs** |  | **If YES, see 2a.** | **X** |
| **2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2018, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.** | | | |
| \*See attached for county responses to the above questions 2 and 2a. | | | |

1. **Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.** | | |
| **Service Type** | **Fee/Charge Imposed** | **Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance**  **(*e.g.*, state, county, local authority, or a combination)** |
| Wireline |  | \*See attached data for individual counties |
| Wireless | 25 cents per cell phone per month |  |
| Prepaid Wireless | .05% at sale |  |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) |  | \*See attached data for individual counties |
| Other |  | \*See attached data for individual counties |

1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2018, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Service Type** | **Total Amount Collected ($)** |
| Wireline | $593,691.33 |
| Wireless | $25,689,296.16 (state collection) |
| Prepaid Wireless | 0 |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) | $9,834.50 |
| Other | $7,128,857.23 |
| **Total** | $33,421,679.22 (see 2a) |

**2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
| \*Other funding at the local level comes from general funds and other local, non 9-1-1 specific funding sources.  \*See attached data for individual county responses. |

1. **Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.**

|  |
| --- |
| \*Other funding at the local level comes from general funds and other local, non 9-1-1 specific funding sources.  \*See attached data for individual county responses. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2018, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services?** *Check one.* | **X** |  |
| **4a.** **If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.** | | |
| \*Other funding at the local level comes from general funds and other local, non 9-1-1 specific funding sources.  \*See attached data for individual county responses. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.** | **Percent** |
| State 911 Fees | 26.16% |
| Local 911 Fees | 43.54% |
| General Fund – State | 16.93% |
| General Fund - County | 71.56% |
| Federal Grants | 5.00% |
| State Grants | 3.33% |

\*All percentages for Question 5 are the averages for reporting counties in the State of Ohio – as each county funds their 9-1-1 systems in their unique manner.

1. **Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **In the annual period ending December 31, 2018, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism?** *Check one*. | | **X** |  |
| **1a.** **If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.** | | | |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. (*Add lines as necessary*)** | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |

1. **Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911?** *Check one.* | **X** |  |
| **1a.** **If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2018.** *(Enter “None” if no actions were taken.)* | | |
| Ohio Revised Code Chapter 128 – Oversight and review of use of state Wireless 9-1-1 Government Assistance Fund (WGAF - 25 cent per cell phone per month fee). WGAF Reconciliation forms submitted and reviewed annually. | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider’s number of subscribers?** *Check one.* | **X** |  |
| **2a. If YES, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2018.** *(Enter “None” if no actions were taken.)* | | |
| State Auditor’s Office has this authority if needed. | | |

1. **Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes?** *Check one.* | **X** |  |
| **1a. If YES, in the space below, please cite any specific legal authority:** | | |
| Ohio Revised Code Chapter 128 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **In the annual period ending December 31, 2018, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs?** *Check one.* | | **X** |  |
| **2a. If YES, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.** | | | |
| **Amount**  **($)** | Variable amounts for consultants on NG9-1-1 RFP work, as well as NG9-1-1 pilot projects for network usage – this is combined with local funding of pilot participants.  Counties = $5,847,210.92  State – Exact amount unavailable for calendar year due to Fiscal Year calculations. Estimated cost is approximately $200,000.00 | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2018, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.** | | | | | |
| **Type of ESInet** | **Yes** | **No** | **If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet** | **If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?** | |
| **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. A single, state-wide ESInet |  | **X** |  |  |  |
| 1. Local (*e.g.*, county) ESInet |  | **X** |  |  |  |
| 1. Regional ESInets |  | **X** | [If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet] |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet: | | | \*See attached for county responses – they have reported using an ESINet, but the systems are not yet at the level to classify them as an ESINet |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet: | | |  |  |  |

1. **Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2018.**

|  |
| --- |
| State of Ohio RFP for statewide NG9-1-1 Core Services and ESINet process underway.  \*See attached for county responses. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Total PSAPs**  **Accepting Texts** |
| 1. **During the annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?** | 13 |
| **Question** | **Estimated Number of PSAPs**  **that will Become Text Capable** |
| 1. **In the next annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?** | 38 |

1. **Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Check the appropriate box** | | **If Yes,**  **Amount Expended ($)** |
| 1. **During the annual period ending December 31, 2018, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?** | Yes | No  **X** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Total PSAPs** |
| 1. **During the annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?** | 32 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **Unknown** |
| 1. **Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity* (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?** |  |  | **X** |

1. **Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**
2. **Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges.**  **If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

|  |
| --- |
| Ohio is a Home Rule state and as such, counties have operational control of all 9-1-1 operations through the County 9-1-1 Planning Committee. The Ohio ESINet Steering Committee, through the Ohio 9-1-1 Program Office and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio have limited regulatory authority and duties.  9-1-1 funds collected at the state level are monitored and the program ensures proper use for 9-1-1 purposes as outlined in Ohio Revised Code Chapter 128. Locally collected funds are also outlined as it pertains to allowable uses through the Ohio Revised Code when the fund collection is through tax levies or other collection methods.  \*See attached data for individual county responses. |

1. A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. *See* National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), Apr. 13, 2018, at 162, available at <https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.22-2018_FINAL_2.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. *See* *Master Glossary* at 192. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)