**Approved by OMB**

**3060-1122**

**Expires: March 31, 2025**

**Estimated time per response: 10-55 hours**

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (the Bureau) seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act, as amended by Section 902.[[1]](#footnote-2)

**Instructions for Filling Out the Questionnaire**

**Please read and follow these general instructions:**

* Please complete all sections of this form.
* Please enter only numeric responses where requested.
	+ Dollar or percentage signs, decimal points, and thousands separator commas are acceptable.
	+ Blank responses, “None”, “Unknown”, or “N/A” are also acceptable.
	+ To facilitate the Bureau’s calculations for the Annual Fee Report, please avoid stray characters such as: \*, ~, (), or [] in numeric responses.
* Use the associated Addendum fields to enter other information, such as footnotes, qualifiers, text, descriptions, and/or explanations.
* All responses should pertain to calendar year (January 1 – December 31), not fiscal year.
* Unless otherwise directed, please provide requested information directly on this form, rather than submit, refer to, and/or rely on supplemental materials.
* Please consolidate separate response forms (and/or responses to individual questions) completed by counties, municipalities, or other local jurisdictions into one response form for the entire state, using sums and averages as appropriate.
1. **Filing Information**

**A1. Name of State or Jurisdiction**

|  |
| --- |
| **State or Jurisdiction** |
| Virginia |

**A2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Title** | **Organization** |
| Lewis Cassada | Program Manager | Virginia Department of Emergency Management |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section A** |
| None |

1. **Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**

**B1. Please provide the total number of active primary and secondary Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that received funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2021. PSAPs that did not receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees need not be included in the response boxes, but may be reported in Addendum Section B1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PSAP Type[[2]](#footnote-3)** | **Number of PSAPs** |
| Primary | 119 |
| Secondary | 0 |
| **Total** | 119 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B1** |
| Only Primary PSAPs in Virginia recieve disbursments from the E9-1-1 Fund. |

**B2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators[[3]](#footnote-4) in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2021. Telecommunicators that were not funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees need not be included in the response boxes, but may be reported in Addendum Section B2.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Telecommunicator Type** | **Number of Active Telecommunicators Funded by 911/E911 Fees** |
| Full Time |       |
| Part Time |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B2** |
| Virginia's E9-1-1 Fund does not directly support PSAP positions.  |

**B3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Amount ($)** | Unknown |

**B3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
| For the annual period ending December 31, 2020, PSC staff only sees funds that are collected by the Virginia Department of Taxation as part of the Wireless E9-1-1 Fund. We do not collect information on any other costs. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B3** |
|  |

**B4. Please provide the total number of 911 voice calls that your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Type of Service** | **Total 911 Voice Calls** |
| Wireline | 531,828 |
| Wireless  | 3,563,467 |
| VoIP | 276,331 |
| Other (report 911 texts separately below in B.4a) |       |
| **Total** | 4,371,626 |

**B4a. Please provide the total number of 911 texts that your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Texts to 911 | Unknown |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B4** |
| Totals were taken from our state-wide ECATS call accounting "CLASS OF SERVICE" totals for the period from 1/1/2021 to 12/31/2021. We do not have modules in all PSAPs to record the number of text sessions. |

1. **Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**

**C1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian Tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)?** *Check one.*

* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. [ ]

**C1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.**

|  |
| --- |
| The Commonwealth of Virginia has established a funding mechanism for the support and implementation of wireless E-911. The state E-911 surcharge on wireless telephone service is imposed pursuant to Code of Va. § 56-484.17 and § 56-484.17:1 ( https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title56/chapter15/section56-484.17/ and https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title56/chapter15/section56-484.17:1/ ) |

**C1b. If YES to C1, during the annual period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism?** *Check one (leave blank if NO to C1).*

* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. [ ]
* Unknown ………..….. [ ]

**C1c. If YES to C1b., provide a description of amendments, enlargements, or alterations to the funding mechanism, if applicable.**

|  |
| --- |
| The rates on the prepaid and postpaid wireless 9-1-1 fees increased 10%. The prepaid fee rose $.05, from $.50 to $.55. The pospaid fee rose $.07, from $.75 to $.82. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section C1** |
|       |

**C2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees**? *Check one*.

* The State collects the fees ………………………………….. [x]
* A local authority collects the fees ……………………….… [ ]
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies

 (*e.g.*, state and local authority) collect the fees …………….. [ ]

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section C2** |
|       |

**C3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

|  |
| --- |
| A payment equal to all wireless E-911 surcharges is remitted within 30 days to the Virginia Department of Taxation (TAX). TAX, after subtracting its direct costs of administration, deposits all remitted wireless E-911 surcharges into the state treasury. These monies are then deposited into the Wireless E-911 Fund, a special nonreverting fund created in the state treasury. The collected wireless surcharge funds are made available to the localities pursuant to Code of Va. § 56-484.17 and § 56-484.17:1. ( https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title56/chapter15/section56-484.17/ and https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title56/chapter15/section56-484.17:1/ ) The distribution of wireless E-911 funding is as follows: • Beginning July 1, 2012, 60 percent of the Wireless E-911 Fund shall be distributed on a monthly basis to the PSAPs according to each PSAP's average pro rata distribution from the Wireless E-911 Fund for fiscal years 2007-2012. On or before July 1, 2018, and every five years thereafter, TAX shall recalculate the distribution percentage for each PSAP based on population and call load data of the PSAP for the previous five fiscal years. • The remaining 40 percent of the Wireless E-911 Fund, shall be distributed to PSAPs or on behalf of PSAPs based on grant requests received by the Board each fiscal year. In 2006, legislation replaced many of the historic state and local communications taxes and fees with a centrally administered communications sales and use tax and a uniform statewide E-911 tax on landline telephone service. The landline E-911 tax is imposed at the rate of $0.75 per line. The landline E-911 tax is collected and remitted monthly by communications services providers to TAX and deposited into the Communications Sales and Use Tax Trust Fund. Funding is distributed by TAX to localities on a monthly basis. Description of Virginia Communication Taxes : https://www.tax.virginia.gov/communications-taxes / Guidelines and Rules for Virginia Communication taxes: https://www.tax.virginia.gov/sites/default/files/taxforms/communications-taxes/any/ct-2-any.pdf After locality’s share of the net revenue from the Communication Sales and Use Tax Trust Fund is distributed, those funds are no longer tracked by the State.  |

1. **Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

**D1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.** *Check one*.

* The State has authority to approve the expenditure of funds ………………….….. [ ]
* One or more local authorities has authority to approve the expenditure of funds… [ ]
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies (e.g., state or local authority) have authority to approve the expenditure of funds ………………………………. [x]

**D1a. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (*e.g.*, limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.).**

|  |
| --- |
| State: The 9-1-1 Services Board is the entity within the Commonwealth of Virginia that has the authority to approve the expenditures of funds collected for wireless E-911 purposes. Pursuant to Code of Va. § 56-484.14, the Board can “collect, distribute, and withhold moneys from the Wireless E-911 Fund”. ( https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title56/chapter15/section56-484.14/) Local: Sixty percent of the Wireless E-911 Fund is distributed to PSAPs to support operations. This money becomes part of the general budgets of localities. It is understood that this funding should be fully expended to support the local PSAP. It is up to each locality to determine the best way to accomplish this. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section D1** |
|       |

**D2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? *Check one*.**

* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. [ ]

**D2a.** **If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

|  |
| --- |
| Pursuant to Code of Va. § 56-484.17 (https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title56/chapter15/section56-484.17/) , at the end of each fiscal year, on a schedule adopted by the 9-1-1 Services Board, the Board audits the wireless grant funding received by all recipients to ensure that it was utilized in accordance with the grant requirements. In addition, the Auditor of Public Accounts annually audits the Wireless E-911 Fund. |

**D2b.** **If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**

|  |
| --- |
|       |

1. **Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**

**E1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

|  |
| --- |
| The Wireless E-911 Fund provides funding for the Virginia Department of Emergency Management's 9-1-1 and Geospatial Services Bureau (NGS). The NGS is a consolidated, centralized program for delivery of services to local government public safety and geospatial services. The NGS’s responsibilities fall into two primary categories:• Public safety communications support, which includes support of the 9-1-1 Services Board, providing technical assistance to all PSAPs, planning for the future of E9-1-1 and supporting the operation of the Virginia Emergency Operation Center (VEOC).• Geospatial support, which includes support of the Virginia Geographic Information Network (VGIN) Advisory Board, coordination of enterprise geospatial services, and the establishment of a geospatial data clearinghouse and catalog.The NGS’s strategy is to focus on the following key components:• A strong commitment to helping our constituents achieve their business‐oriented success;• An effective collaborative approach that leverages the Commonwealth’s economies of scale potentials that provides more cost effective solutions for small to mid‐size state agencies and local government; and• A governance model that is coordinated among all interested stakeholders including the Boards and professional associations.The services offered by the NGS fall into one of three categories:Consultative Services – Providing professional, unbiased technical assistance and consultation to customers.Governance Services – Coordinating with stakeholders to develop and promulgate standards and best practices to ensure that investments made by the Commonwealth are managed in an efficient and effective manner.Collaborative Services – Leading or supporting efforts that increase collaboration among local and state agencies that improve efficiency and the delivery of services to the citizens of the Commonwealth |

|  |
| --- |
| **E2. Please identify the uses of the collected funds.[[4]](#footnote-5) *Check all that apply*.** |
| **Type of Cost** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **PSAP operating costs, including technological innovation that supports 911** | Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of PSAP building/facility | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| NG911, cybersecurity, pre-arrival instructions, and emergency notification systems (ENS) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **PSAP personnel costs** | Telecommunicators’ Salaries | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Training of Telecommunicators | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **PSAP administrative costs** | Program Administration | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Travel Expenses | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Costs for integration and interoperability of 911 systems and public safety/first responder radio systems** | Integrating public safety/first responder dispatch and 911 systems, including lease, purchase, maintenance, and upgrade of CAD hardware and software to support integrated 911 and public safety dispatch operations | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Providing for the interoperability of 911 systems with one another and with public safety/first responder radio systems | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Grant programs** |  | **[x]** **If YES, see E2a.** | **[ ]**  |
| **E2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2021, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of such grants.** |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section E2** |
| The PSAP Grant Program is a multi-million dollar grant program administered by the Virginia 9-1-1 Services Board. The purpose of the program is to financially assist Virginia primary Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) with the purchase of equipment and services that support the continuity and enhancement of wireless E9-1-1. Funding is made available through the Code of Virginia and administered by the Board |

1. **Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

|  |
| --- |
| **F1. Please describe the amount of fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.** |
| **Service Type** | **Fee/Charge Imposed** | **Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance***Check one for each Service Type.* |
| **State** | **County or Local Authority** | **Combination of State and County/Local** |
| **Wireline – monthly fee ($)** | $.75 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Wireless – monthly fee ($)** | $.82 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Prepaid Wireless – provide *either* flat fee ($) or percentage (%) per retail transaction** *(leave inapplicable cell blank)* | $.55 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
|      % |
| **Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) – monthly fee ($)** | $.55 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Other – monthly fee ($)** | $      | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F1** |
|       |

**F2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Service Type** | **Total Amount Collected ($)** |
| Wireline |       |
| Wireless | $67,098,001.87 |
| Prepaid Wireless |       |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) |       |
| Other |       |
| **Total** | $67,098,001.87 |

**F2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
| 9-1-1 fees are collected by the Virginia Department of Taxation and departmental staff is only able to provide a combined figure of $67,098,001.87 for pre-paid and post-paid wireless revenue. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F2** |
|       |

**F3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.**

|  |
| --- |
| None. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **F4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **F4a.** **If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.** |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F4** |
|       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **F5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.** | **Percent (%)** |
| State 911 Fees | 50% |
| Local 911 Fees | 50% |
| General Fund - State |       |
| General Fund - County |       |
| Federal Grants |       |
| State Grants |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F5** |
|       |

1. **Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

For the purposes of this questionnaire, diversion is the obligation or expenditure of a 911 fee or charge for a purpose or function other than the purposes and functions identified in 47 CFR § 9.23 of the Commission’s rules as acceptable.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2021, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction obligated or expended solely for acceptable purposes and functions as provided under 47 CFR § 9.23?** *Check one*. | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G1a.** **If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were obligated or expended for purposes or functions other than those designated as acceptable under 47 CFR § 9.23, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the purposes or functions for such funds.** |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Identify the purposes or functions other than those designated as acceptable by the Commission for which the 911/E911 funds were obligated or expended. (*If you need more rows for your response, please enter the information in Addendum Section G1.*)** |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G1** |
| In previous years, Virginia has reported "No" and listed a $3,700.000 transfer to support State Police telecommuincators and a $8,000,000 transfer to support Sheriff's telecommuincators. Those transfers still exisit, but are now in complaince with 47 CFR 9.23. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2021, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction obligated or expended for the purchase, maintenance, replacement, or upgrade of public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure?** *Check one*. | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **G2a. If YES to G2, are all of the public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure on which funds were obligated or expended used to deliver 911-originated information to emergency responders? For the purposes of this questionnaire, 911-originated information includes all data and information delivered between the 911 request for assistance and the emergency responders.**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G2a(i). If NO to G2a, please explain.** |
|  |
| **G2b.** **If YES to G2, please itemize the amounts that were obligated or expended and include descriptions of the public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure.**  |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Description of such obligations or expenditures. (*If you need more rows for your response, please enter the information in Addendum Section G2.*)** |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G2** |
|       |

**Safe Harbor for Multi-Purpose Fees**. Section 9.23(d) of the rules provides an elective safe harbor for states and taxing jurisdictions that designate multi-purpose fees or charges for “public safety,” “emergency services,” or other similar purposes where a portion of those fees or charges supports 911 services. *See* 47 CFR § 9.23(d). The rule provides that the obligation or expenditure of such a fee or charge will not constitute diversion if the state or taxing jurisdiction (i) specifies the amount or percentage of such fees or charges that is dedicated to 911 services; (ii) ensures that the 911 portion of such fees or charges is segregated and not commingled with any other funds; and (iii) obligates or expends the 911 portion of such fees or charges for acceptable purposes and functions as defined under the Commission’s rules.

**G3. Does your state or taxing jurisdiction collect fees or charges designated for “public safety,” “emergency services,” or other similar purposes where a portion of those fees or charges supports 911 services?** *Check one.*

* Yes ………………….. [ ]
* No ………………..….. [x]

**If YES to G3, please answer Questions G3a – G3c below.** *(If NO to G3, leave blank.)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question**  | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3a. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction specify the amount or percentage of such fees or charges that is dedicated to 911 services?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Question** | **Response** |
| **G3a(i). Cite to the authority by which the state or taxing jurisdiction specifies the amount or percentage.**  |       |
| **G3a(ii). Indicate the amount or percentage of such a fee dedicated to 911 services. Provide *either* dollar amount or percentage.** *(Leave inapplicable cell blank.)* | $      |
|      % |
| **Question**  | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3b. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction ensure that the 911 portion of such fees or charges is segregated and not commingled with any other funds?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G3b(i). Cite to the authority by which the state or taxing jurisdiction segregates such fees.** |
|       |
| **Question**  | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3c. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction obligate or expend the 911 portion of such fees or charges only for the purposes and functions designated by the Commission as acceptable pursuant to 47 CFR § 9.23?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G3c(i). If NO to G3c, please explain.** |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G3** |
|       |

1. **Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **H1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been obligated or expended for acceptable purposes and functions as designated under the Commission’s rules?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **H1a.** **If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2021.** *(Enter “None” if no actions were taken.)* |
| During this period, 60% of the Wireless E-911 fund, approximately $29 million, was distributed by the Virginia Department of Taxation directly to PSAPs. The distribution to each PSAP is based on pre-determined percentages calculated using population and call load data |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section H1** |
|       |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **H2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider’s number of subscribers?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| **H2a. Did your state conduct an audit of service providers in connection with such auditing authority during the annual period ending December 31, 2021?** *Check one; check N/A if Question H2 response above is NO*.  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **H2b. If YES to H2 and H2a, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority for the annual period ending December 31, 2021.** *(Leave blank if not applicable / no actions were taken.)* |
| Although Virginia’s Department of Taxation has the authority to audit service providers, PSC staff does not know if TAX has audited any service providers. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section H2** |
|       |

1. **Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **I1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 (NG911) as within the scope of acceptable purposes and functions for the obligation or expenditure of 911 fees or charges?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **I1a. If YES, please cite any specific legal authority:** |
| Within the Commonwealth’s current biennial budget, $7M is available both years to the Public Safety Communications Division. (https://budget.lis.virginia.gov/item/2022/2/HB29/Reenrolled/1/414.20/) In each year, $1M of the Division’s funding is to be used for the development and deployment of improvements in the statewide E-911 network. However, this $1M in funding remains unallotted until the expenditure has been approved by the 9-1-1 Services Board. Each year, PSC staff must request the use of this funding for specific projects, or the amount rolls into the funding for the PSAP Grant Program in the subsequent year.In addition, the PSAP Grant Program provides funding for NG9-1-1 projects. The guidelines document for this program is approved annually by the Board.  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **I2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2021, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on NG911 programs?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **I2a. If YES, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended during the annual period.** |
| **Amount****($)** | 18,442,774.15 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I2** |
| In Virgina, our NG9-1-1 deployment project is not tracked on an annual basis. We have awared $62,655,765.26 for deplyoment and of that amoutn we have spend $18,442,774.15. Our NG9-1-1 deployment project can be seen here : https://vgin.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=d8426fe09efc4ad1b4fd756e1fb4d47b |

|  |
| --- |
| **I3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, please provide the number of PSAPs that operated on each type of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.**  |
| **Type of ESInet** | **Yes** | **No** | **If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet** | **If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?** |
| **Yes** | **No** |
| I3a. A single, state-wide ESInet | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | 19 PSAPs were on the AT&T ESInet at the end of 2021. | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| I3b. Local (*e.g.*, county) ESInet(s) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | 2 localites, the counties of Franklin and Patrick | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| I3c. Regional ESInets | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | [If one Regional ESInet is in operation, provide the total PSAPs on the first line below. If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet.] |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 1:Southwest | 4 localities (Counties of Dickenson, Lee, and Wise and the City of Norton | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 2:New River Valley | 3 localities (Montgomery County and the Towns of Blacksburg and Christiansburg | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 3:Bland - Twin - Wythe | Six localities (Counties of Bland, Carroll, Grayson, and Wythe, the City of Galax, and the Town of Wytheville) | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 4:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 5:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 6:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 7:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **If more Regional ESInets operate in your state or taxing jurisdiction, please list the names of Regional ESInets 8 and higher, and numbers of associated PSAPs, in the space below:** |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I3** |
|       |

**I4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2021.**

|  |
| --- |
| Virgina's NG9-1-1 deployment dashbord can be found here : https://vgin.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=d8426fe09efc4ad1b4fd756e1fb4d47b  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **I4a. Based on your response to I4, please indicate which categories of NG911 expenditures from this non-exhaustive list apply.** | ***Check all that apply*.** |
| **General Project or Not Specified** | **[x]**  |
| **Planning or Consulting Services** | **[ ]**  |
| **ESInet Construction** | **[x]**  |
| **NG911 Core Services** | **[x]**  |
| **Hardware or Software Purchases or Upgrades** | **[ ]**  |
| **GIS** | **[ ]**  |
| **NG911 Security Planning** | **[ ]**  |
| **Training** | **[ ]**  |

**I5. As of December 31, 2021, how many PSAPs within your state have implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts? Please refrain from non-numeric responses such as “all PSAPs.” Enter any text in Addendum Section I5.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Total Number of PSAPs Accepting Texts as of December 31, 2021** | 119 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I5** |
|       |

**I6. By the end of the *next* annual period ending December 31, 2022, how many *total* PSAPs do you anticipate will have implemented text-to-911 and will be accepting texts?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Estimated Total Number of PSAPs Accepting Texts as of December 31, 2022** | 120 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I6** |
|       |

1. **Cybersecurity Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Check the appropriate box** | **If Yes,****Amount Expended ($)** |
| **J1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2021, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?**  | Yes**[ ]**  | No**[x]**  |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J1** |
|       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Total PSAPs** |
| **J2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2021, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?** | Unknown |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J2** |
|       |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **Unknown** |
| **J3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity* (April 2018) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?**[[5]](#footnote-6) *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J3** |
|       |

1. **Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**

**K1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges.**  **If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

|  |
| --- |
| The Code of Virginia (§56-484.14) ( https://law.lis.virginia.gov/vacode/title56/chapter15/section56-484.14/) requires the 9-1-1 Services Board to report annually to the Governor, the Senate Committee on Finance, the House Committee on Appropriations, and the Virginia State Crime Commission on the following:(i) the state of enhanced 9-1-1 services in the Commonwealth,(ii) the impact of, or need for, legislation affecting enhanced 9-1-1 services in the Commonwealth, (iii) the need for changes in the E-911 funding mechanism provided to the Board, as appropriate, and (iv) monitor developments in enhanced 9-1-1 service and multi-line telephone systems and the impact of suchtechnologies upon the implementation of Article 8 (§ 56-484.19 et seq.) of Chapter 15 of Title 56. |

1. **Underfunding of 911**

For the purposes of this questionnaire, underfunding occurs when funding levels are below the levels required for optimal performance of 911 operations.

**L1. Describe the impact of any underfunding of 911 services in your state or taxing jurisdiction during the annual period ending December 31, 2021.**

|  |
| --- |
| Unknown |

**L2. Describe how any fee diversion affected 911 underfunding in your state or taxing jurisdiction during the annual period ending December 31, 2021.** *Indicate N/A if your state or taxing jurisdiction did not divert.*

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

**We have estimated that your response to this collection of information will take an average of 10 to 55 hours. Our estimate includes the time to read the instructions, look through existing records, gather and maintain required data, and actually complete and review the form or response. If you have any comments on this estimate, or on how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write the Federal Communications Commission, Office of Managing Director, AMD‑PERM, Washington, DC 20554, Paperwork Reduction Act Project (3060‑1122). We will also accept your PRA comments via the Internet if you send an e-mail to** **PRA@fcc.gov****.**

**Please DO NOT SEND COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. You are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number and/or we fail to provide you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060‑1122.**

**THIS NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, PUBLIC LAW 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. SECTION 3507.**

1. *See* Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, Public Law 116-260, Division FF, Title IX, section 902. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. *See* National Emergency Number Association (NENA), Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology at 174 (June 22, 2021), <https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/nena-adm-000.24-2021_final_2.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. For the purposes of this questionnaire, a telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. *See* https://nenawiki.org/wiki/Telecommunicator. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. *See* 47 CFR § 9.23(b)(1)–(5). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity (2018), <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/cswp/nist.cswp.04162018.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)