**Approved by OMB**

**3060-1122**

**Expires: March 31, 2025**

**Estimated time per response: 10-55 hours**

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (the Bureau) seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act, as amended by Section 902.[[1]](#footnote-2)

**Instructions for Filling Out the Questionnaire**

**Please read and follow these general instructions:**

* Please complete all sections of this form.
* Please enter only numeric responses where requested.
	+ Dollar or percentage signs, decimal points, and thousands separator commas are acceptable.
	+ Blank responses, “None”, “Unknown”, or “N/A” are also acceptable.
	+ To facilitate the Bureau’s calculations for the Annual Fee Report, please avoid stray characters such as: \*, ~, (), or [] in numeric responses.
* Use the associated Addendum fields to enter other information, such as footnotes, qualifiers, text, descriptions, and/or explanations.
* All responses should pertain to calendar year (January 1 – December 31), not fiscal year.
* Unless otherwise directed, please provide requested information directly on this form, rather than submit, refer to, and/or rely on supplemental materials.
* Please consolidate separate response forms (and/or responses to individual questions) completed by counties, municipalities, or other local jurisdictions into one response form for the entire state, using sums and averages as appropriate.
1. **Filing Information**

**A1. Name of State or Jurisdiction**

|  |
| --- |
| **State or Jurisdiction** |
| Colorado |

**A2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Title** | **Organization** |
| Daryl Branson | State 911 Program Manager | Colorado Public Utilities Commission, Department of Regulatory Agencies |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section A** |
|       |

1. **Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**

**B1. Please provide the total number of active primary and secondary Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that received funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2021. PSAPs that did not receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees need not be included in the response boxes, but may be reported in Addendum Section B1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PSAP Type[[2]](#footnote-3)** | **Number of PSAPs** |
| Primary | 82 |
| Secondary | 4 |
| **Total** | 86 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B1** |
|       |

**B2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators[[3]](#footnote-4) in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2021. Telecommunicators that were not funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees need not be included in the response boxes, but may be reported in Addendum Section B2.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Telecommunicator Type** | **Number of Active Telecommunicators Funded by 911/E911 Fees** |
| Full Time | 601 |
| Part Time |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B2** |
| As reported by Colorado's local 9-1-1 governing bodies, a total of 601 FTEs were funded with 911 surcharge funds in 2021, although we are unable to provide a breakdown of how many were full-time or part-time. It should also be noted that some governing bodies did not respond to our data request, so the actual number is likely higher. A total number of 1,911.11 FTEs were reported by all 9-1-1 governing bodies that responded to our data request. |

**B3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Amount ($)** | $149,890,794 |

**B3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B3** |
| This is the total amount reported by local 9-1-1 governing bodies that responded to our data request. The actual total may be higher. |

**B4. Please provide the total number of 911 voice calls that your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Type of Service** | **Total 911 Voice Calls** |
| Wireline | 189,003 |
| Wireless  | 2,980,585 |
| VoIP | 171,216 |
| Other (report 911 texts separately below in B.4a) | 0 |
| **Total** | 3,340,804 |

**B4a. Please provide the total number of 911 texts that your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Texts to 911 | 10,675 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B4** |
| Colorado's 9-1-1 System Service Provider changed the service that it uses for providing call volume statistics in 2021. The numbers provided here are an extrapolation based on partial-year data.Text-to-911 call volume is based on responses collected from Colorado's local 9-1-1 governing bodies. Not all governing bodies responded to the data request, so the actual total may be higher. |

1. **Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**

**C1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian Tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)?** *Check one.*

* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. [ ]

**C1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.**

|  |
| --- |
| § 29-11-102, Colorado Revised Statute (C.R.S.) authorizes the establishment of local emergency telephone charges by local 9-1-1 governing bodies.§ 29-11-102.3, C.R.S. authorizes the establishment of a state 9-1-1 surcharge.§ 29-11-102.5, C.R.S. authorizes the establishment of a prepaid wireless 9-1-1 charge. |

**C1b. If YES to C1, during the annual period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism?** *Check one (leave blank if NO to C1).*

* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. [ ]
* Unknown ………..….. [ ]

**C1c. If YES to C1b., provide a description of amendments, enlargements, or alterations to the funding mechanism, if applicable.**

|  |
| --- |
| Beginning in January of 2021, a new state 9-1-1 surcharge was authorized by § 29-11-102.3, C.R.S., in addition to the local emergency telephone charges and the prepaid wireless 9-1-1 charge already authorized by statute. This state 9-1-1 surcharge is set annually by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission up to 50 cents per line per month, is collected by the Commission, and is distributed to the 9-1-1 governing bodies based on a formula.Also beginning in January of 2021, the wireless prepaid 9-1-1 charge changed from a percentage rate of 1.4% to a flat per transaction rate of $1.38. This rate is adjusted annually by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section C1** |
|       |

**C2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees**? *Check one*.

* The State collects the fees ………………………………….. [ ]
* A local authority collects the fees ……………………….… [ ]
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies

 (*e.g.*, state and local authority) collect the fees …………….. [x]

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section C2** |
|       |

**C3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

|  |
| --- |
| The local emergency telephone charges are remitted by telecommunications providers directly to Colorado's 58 local 9-1-1 governing bodies, which in turn fund a majority of Colorado's 86 Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs).The state 9-1-1 surcharge is collected by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission and distributed to the 9-1-1 governing bodies based on the number of SIP connections each governing body is purchasing from the state's 9-1-1 system service provider. Distributions are made on a monthly basis. A maximum of 4% of ther revenues may be retained by the Commission to recover actual costs of administering the surcharge.The wireless prepaid 9-1-1 charge is collected by the Colorado Department of Revenue and distrubted to the governing bodies on a monthly basis based on the number of wireless 9-1-1 calls received by all of the PSAPs associated with that governing body. A maximum of 4% of the revenues may be retained by DOR to recover actual costs of administering the charge. |

1. **Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

**D1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.** *Check one*.

* The State has authority to approve the expenditure of funds ………………….….. [ ]
* One or more local authorities has authority to approve the expenditure of funds… [x]
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies (e.g., state or local authority) have authority to approve the expenditure of funds ………………………………. [ ]

**D1a. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (*e.g.*, limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.).**

|  |
| --- |
| Local 9-1-1 governing bodies have full authority to decide how to use all 9-1-1 funds received directly from telecommunications provider or distributed to them by the Colorado PUC or Colorado DOR. Funds must be spent on eligible expenses pursuant to § 29-11-104, C.R.S., and governing bodies are subject to audit requirements unless otherwise exempted. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section D1** |
|       |

**D2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? *Check one*.**

* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. [ ]

**D2a.** **If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

|  |
| --- |
| § 29-11-104, C.R.S. prescribes the allowable uses of 9-1-1 funds, which includes local emergency telephone charges, the state 9-1-1 surcharge, and the wireless prepaid 9-1-1 charge. |

**D2b.** **If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**

|  |
| --- |
|       |

1. **Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**

**E1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

|  |
| --- |
| We are unable to provide a full list of activities, programs, and organizations that receive funding from each of Colorado's 58 local 9-1-1 governing bodies, which have the authority to direct spending as they see fit, provided the spending is in compliance with § 29-11-104, C.R.S. No 9-1-1 funds are expended by the state, other than to pay the administrative costs of adminitering the state 9-1-1 surcharge and wireless prepaid 9-1-1 charge.  |

|  |
| --- |
| **E2. Please identify the uses of the collected funds.[[4]](#footnote-5) *Check all that apply*.** |
| **Type of Cost** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **PSAP operating costs, including technological innovation that supports 911** | Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of PSAP building/facility | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| NG911, cybersecurity, pre-arrival instructions, and emergency notification systems (ENS) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **PSAP personnel costs** | Telecommunicators’ Salaries | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Training of Telecommunicators | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **PSAP administrative costs** | Program Administration | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Travel Expenses | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Costs for integration and interoperability of 911 systems and public safety/first responder radio systems** | Integrating public safety/first responder dispatch and 911 systems, including lease, purchase, maintenance, and upgrade of CAD hardware and software to support integrated 911 and public safety dispatch operations | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Providing for the interoperability of 911 systems with one another and with public safety/first responder radio systems | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Grant programs** |  | **[ ]** **If YES, see E2a.** | **[x]**  |
| **E2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2021, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of such grants.** |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section E2** |
|       |

1. **Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

|  |
| --- |
| **F1. Please describe the amount of fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.** |
| **Service Type** | **Fee/Charge Imposed** | **Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance***Check one for each Service Type.* |
| **State** | **County or Local Authority** | **Combination of State and County/Local** |
| **Wireline – monthly fee ($)** | $.79-3.09 | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **Wireless – monthly fee ($)** | $.79-3.09 | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **Prepaid Wireless – provide *either* flat fee ($) or percentage (%) per retail transaction** *(leave inapplicable cell blank)* | $1.38 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
|      % |
| **Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) – monthly fee ($)** | $.79-3.09 | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **Other – monthly fee ($)** | $      | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F1** |
| Colorado has local emergency telephone charges, which are applied by local 9-1-1 governing bodies, that apply to wireless, wireless, and VoIP services, excluding prepaid wireless services. The rate of these charges varies by jurisdiction, ranging from $0.70 per line per month to $3.00 per line per month. Colorado also has a state 9-1-1 surcharge, currently set at $0.09 per line per month. This charge also applies to wireline, wireless, and VoIP services, excluding prepaid wireless.Together, these two charges mean that, depending on the address of the customer, the total rate being charged is between $0.79 and $3.09 per line per month. |

**F2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Service Type** | **Total Amount Collected ($)** |
| Wireline | 673,817.99 |
| Wireless | 4,857,718.28 |
| Prepaid Wireless | 9,695,803.75 |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) | 1,080,486.88 |
| Other | 101,186,061 |
| **Total** | 117,493,887.90 |

**F2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F2** |
| "Other" is the total amount of emergency telephone charge revenue reported by Colorado's local 9-1-1 governing bodies. Many of them do not track whether the funds were received from wireless, wireline, or VoIP customers, so they are provided in a combined fashion. Not all governing bodies responded to our data request, so the actual total may be higher.The amounts provided for the "wireline," "wireless," and "VoIP" fields were the revenues generated by the state 9-1-1 surcharge. |

**F3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.**

|  |
| --- |
| In 2021, Colorado distributed a total of $1,105,295.97 in federal grant funds and a total of $391,383.55 in non-federal matching funds made available by the federal 911 grant program, CFDA Number 20.615.Additionally, the cities and counties that operate most of Colorado's PSAPs provide general funds to make up the difference between the total costs of operating all of Colorado's PSAPs and the funds raised through our various 9-1-1 surcharges. A small number of local government entities also have dedicated sales taxes to off-set these costs.  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **F4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **F4a.** **If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.** |
| As stated in the answer to question 3, above, it takes a combination of 9-1-1 surcharge funds, local general funds, and to some small extent dedicated sales taxes to pay for the operations of Colorado's PSAPs. Additionally, Colorado was the recipient of federal 911 grant funds, which have been used for the migration of Colorado's PSAPs to an ESInet. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F4** |
|       |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **F5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.** | **Percent (%)** |
| State 911 Fees | 10.9 |
| Local 911 Fees | 67.5 |
| General Fund - State | 0.0 |
| General Fund - County | 20.9 |
| Federal Grants | 0.7 |
| State Grants | 0.0 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F5** |
| Note regarding state general funds and county general funds: Most of the costs of providing 9-1-1 service in Colorado are either recovered through 9-1-1 surcharges or through local general funds. Three of Colorado's primary PSAPs and two secondary PSAPs are operated by Colorado State Patrol, however, and we are unable to determine what portion of the operating costs of those PSAPs are paid for with state funds versus local funds. All general funds are listed as "local" for this reason, although a small portion of those funds may in fact be state funds. "General Fund - County" may also include municipal funds. |

1. **Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

For the purposes of this questionnaire, diversion is the obligation or expenditure of a 911 fee or charge for a purpose or function other than the purposes and functions identified in 47 CFR § 9.23 of the Commission’s rules as acceptable.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2021, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction obligated or expended solely for acceptable purposes and functions as provided under 47 CFR § 9.23?** *Check one*. | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G1a.** **If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were obligated or expended for purposes or functions other than those designated as acceptable under 47 CFR § 9.23, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the purposes or functions for such funds.** |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Identify the purposes or functions other than those designated as acceptable by the Commission for which the 911/E911 funds were obligated or expended. (*If you need more rows for your response, please enter the information in Addendum Section G1.*)** |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G1** |
| Based on our understanding of 47 CFR § 9.23, it is congruent with § 29-11-104, C.R.S., which prescribes the allowable uses of 9-1-1 funds in Colorado. In our annual data collection, all governing bodies that responded attested that they have not spent any funds in a manner non-compliant with section 104. However, 15 of our 58 governing bodies did not respond to the data collection effort. We are not aware of any examples of funds being spent in a manner that is non-compliant with section 104 or 47 CFR § 9.23. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2021, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction obligated or expended for the purchase, maintenance, replacement, or upgrade of public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure?** *Check one*. | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G2a. If YES to G2, are all of the public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure on which funds were obligated or expended used to deliver 911-originated information to emergency responders? For the purposes of this questionnaire, 911-originated information includes all data and information delivered between the 911 request for assistance and the emergency responders.**  | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G2a(i). If NO to G2a, please explain.** |
|  |
| **G2b.** **If YES to G2, please itemize the amounts that were obligated or expended and include descriptions of the public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure.**  |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Description of such obligations or expenditures. (*If you need more rows for your response, please enter the information in Addendum Section G2.*)** |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |
|       |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G2** |
| This question is answered to the best of our knowledge. Please see the addendum to Section G1 for further context. |

**Safe Harbor for Multi-Purpose Fees**. Section 9.23(d) of the rules provides an elective safe harbor for states and taxing jurisdictions that designate multi-purpose fees or charges for “public safety,” “emergency services,” or other similar purposes where a portion of those fees or charges supports 911 services. *See* 47 CFR § 9.23(d). The rule provides that the obligation or expenditure of such a fee or charge will not constitute diversion if the state or taxing jurisdiction (i) specifies the amount or percentage of such fees or charges that is dedicated to 911 services; (ii) ensures that the 911 portion of such fees or charges is segregated and not commingled with any other funds; and (iii) obligates or expends the 911 portion of such fees or charges for acceptable purposes and functions as defined under the Commission’s rules.

**G3. Does your state or taxing jurisdiction collect fees or charges designated for “public safety,” “emergency services,” or other similar purposes where a portion of those fees or charges supports 911 services?** *Check one.*

* Yes ………………….. [ ]
* No ………………..….. [x]

**If YES to G3, please answer Questions G3a – G3c below.** *(If NO to G3, leave blank.)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question**  | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3a. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction specify the amount or percentage of such fees or charges that is dedicated to 911 services?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Question** | **Response** |
| **G3a(i). Cite to the authority by which the state or taxing jurisdiction specifies the amount or percentage.**  |       |
| **G3a(ii). Indicate the amount or percentage of such a fee dedicated to 911 services. Provide *either* dollar amount or percentage.** *(Leave inapplicable cell blank.)* | $      |
|      % |
| **Question**  | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3b. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction ensure that the 911 portion of such fees or charges is segregated and not commingled with any other funds?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G3b(i). Cite to the authority by which the state or taxing jurisdiction segregates such fees.** |
|       |
| **Question**  | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3c. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction obligate or expend the 911 portion of such fees or charges only for the purposes and functions designated by the Commission as acceptable pursuant to 47 CFR § 9.23?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **G3c(i). If NO to G3c, please explain.** |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G3** |
|       |

1. **Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **H1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been obligated or expended for acceptable purposes and functions as designated under the Commission’s rules?** *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **H1a.** **If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2021.** *(Enter “None” if no actions were taken.)* |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section H1** |
|       |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **H2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider’s number of subscribers?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| **H2a. Did your state conduct an audit of service providers in connection with such auditing authority during the annual period ending December 31, 2021?** *Check one; check N/A if Question H2 response above is NO*.  | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **H2b. If YES to H2 and H2a, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority for the annual period ending December 31, 2021.** *(Leave blank if not applicable / no actions were taken.)* |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section H2** |
|       |

1. **Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **I1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 (NG911) as within the scope of acceptable purposes and functions for the obligation or expenditure of 911 fees or charges?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **I1a. If YES, please cite any specific legal authority:** |
| § 29-11-104, C.R.S. does not specifically mention NG911, but it allows the use of funds for 9-1-1 call delivery to a PSAP and PSAP equipment and does not specify the technology or mode of transport for delivery of those 9-1-1 calls or the type of equipment used in the PSAP, so NG911 call delivery and NG911 PSAP equipment are both allowable expenses. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **I2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2021, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on NG911 programs?** *Check one.* | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **I2a. If YES, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended during the annual period.** |
| **Amount****($)** | $11,888,108 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I2** |
| This figure is based on reporting from the 9-1-1 governing bodies. Not all governing bodies responded to our data collection effort, so the actual figure may be higher. |

|  |
| --- |
| **I3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2021, please provide the number of PSAPs that operated on each type of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.**  |
| **Type of ESInet** | **Yes** | **No** | **If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet** | **If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?** |
| **Yes** | **No** |
| I3a. A single, state-wide ESInet | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | 83 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| I3b. Local (*e.g.*, county) ESInet(s) | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  | unknown | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| I3c. Regional ESInets | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  | [If one Regional ESInet is in operation, provide the total PSAPs on the first line below. If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet.] |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 1:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 2:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 3:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 4:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 5:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 6:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 7:      |       | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **If more Regional ESInets operate in your state or taxing jurisdiction, please list the names of Regional ESInets 8 and higher, and numbers of associated PSAPs, in the space below:** |
|       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I3** |
| As of the end of 2021, all but three of our PSAPs were migrated to a single statewide ESInet provided by our 9-1-1 system service provider. Some local ESInets in the state exist between the PSAP and the statewide ESInet, but it is not known how many of these local ESInets are currently in service. |

**I4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2021.**

|  |
| --- |
| A statewide migration of all of Colorado's PSAPs from a legacy E9-1-1 network to an ESInet began in 2020, and this migration continued in 2021. It was nearly complete at the end of 2021, but three PSAPs remained to be migrated to the ESInet. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **I4a. Based on your response to I4, please indicate which categories of NG911 expenditures from this non-exhaustive list apply.** | ***Check all that apply*.** |
| **General Project or Not Specified** | **[ ]**  |
| **Planning or Consulting Services** | **[ ]**  |
| **ESInet Construction** | **[x]**  |
| **NG911 Core Services** | **[ ]**  |
| **Hardware or Software Purchases or Upgrades** | **[ ]**  |
| **GIS** | **[ ]**  |
| **NG911 Security Planning** | **[ ]**  |
| **Training** | **[ ]**  |

**I5. As of December 31, 2021, how many PSAPs within your state have implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts? Please refrain from non-numeric responses such as “all PSAPs.” Enter any text in Addendum Section I5.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Total Number of PSAPs Accepting Texts as of December 31, 2021** | 75 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I5** |
| This number includes secondary PSAPs that are "accepting" texts by virtue of their primary PSAP accepting text-to-911 calls on their behalf. 11 PSAPs in Colorado do not receive text-to-911 calls. |

**I6. By the end of the *next* annual period ending December 31, 2022, how many *total* PSAPs do you anticipate will have implemented text-to-911 and will be accepting texts?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Estimated Total Number of PSAPs Accepting Texts as of December 31, 2022** | 75 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I6** |
| The decision regarding whether or not to accept text-to-911 calls is made by the PSAP and the local 9-1-1 governing body. We are not aware of any implementation efforts currently underway. |

1. **Cybersecurity Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Check the appropriate box** | **If Yes,****Amount Expended ($)** |
| **J1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2021, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?**  | Yes**[ ]**  | No**[x]**  |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J1** |
| While the state spent no funds on cybersecuirty programs for PSAPs, the local 9-1-1 governing bodies reported that they expended $3,207,577 on cybersecurity-related expenses. Not all governing bodies responded to our data collection effort, so the actual figure may be higher. Several governing bodies also reported that their cybersecurity expenses are included in the budgets of the county or city that operates their PSAP(s), so they were unable to provide a figure. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Total PSAPs** |
| **J2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2021, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?** |       |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J2** |
| We are unable to provide a response to this question. There is no regional or state-run cybersecurity program for PSAPs, but we do not have information regarding how many PSAPs may have impelemtned their own programs beginning in 2021. 41 of the 58 local 9-1-1 governing bodies reported spending some funding on cybersecurity programs directly or by participating in the programs of the county or city that operates their PSAP(s). |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **Unknown** |
| **J3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity* (April 2018) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?**[[5]](#footnote-6) *Check one.* | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J3** |
|   |

1. **Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**

**K1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges.**  **If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

|  |
| --- |
| A copy of the 2020-2021 State of 9-1-1 Report will be provided. This is a comprehensive report from the Colorado Public Utilities Commission to the Colorado General Assembly on the state of 9-1-1 services in Colorado. |

1. **Underfunding of 911**

For the purposes of this questionnaire, underfunding occurs when funding levels are below the levels required for optimal performance of 911 operations.

**L1. Describe the impact of any underfunding of 911 services in your state or taxing jurisdiction during the annual period ending December 31, 2021.**

|  |
| --- |
| Because local 9-1-1 governing bodies are able to set their own local emergency telephone charge rates, which generate the bulk of the 9-1-1 funds available to them, the adequacy of funding may vary widely from jurisdiction to jurisdiction in Colorado. We are unable to provide specific examples of impacts from underfunding. |

**L2. Describe how any fee diversion affected 911 underfunding in your state or taxing jurisdiction during the annual period ending December 31, 2021.** *Indicate N/A if your state or taxing jurisdiction did not divert.*

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

**We have estimated that your response to this collection of information will take an average of 10 to 55 hours. Our estimate includes the time to read the instructions, look through existing records, gather and maintain required data, and actually complete and review the form or response. If you have any comments on this estimate, or on how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write the Federal Communications Commission, Office of Managing Director, AMD‑PERM, Washington, DC 20554, Paperwork Reduction Act Project (3060‑1122). We will also accept your PRA comments via the Internet if you send an e-mail to** **PRA@fcc.gov****.**

**Please DO NOT SEND COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. You are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number and/or we fail to provide you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060‑1122.**

**THIS NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, PUBLIC LAW 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. SECTION 3507.**

1. *See* Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, Public Law 116-260, Division FF, Title IX, section 902. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. *See* National Emergency Number Association (NENA), Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology at 174 (June 22, 2021), <https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/nena-adm-000.24-2021_final_2.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. For the purposes of this questionnaire, a telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. *See* https://nenawiki.org/wiki/Telecommunicator. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. *See* 47 CFR § 9.23(b)(1)–(5). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity (2018), <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/cswp/nist.cswp.04162018.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)