UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

+ + + + +

"EXPLORING STRATEGIES THAT HAVE ADVANCED MEDIA DIVERSITY" BROADCAST SYMPOSIUM

THURSDAY
MARCH 7, 2019

+ + + + +

The Symposium was held in the Commissioners Meeting Room, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, D.C., at 9:00 a.m., Henry Rivera, Chair, Broadcast Diversity and Development Working Group, ACDDE, and Sarah Whitesell, Deputy Bureau Chief, Media Bureau, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

AJIT PAI, Chairman

MICHAEL O'RIELLY, Commissioner

BRENDAN CARR, Commissioner

GEOFFREY STARKS, Commissioner

PANELISTS:

MAXWELL AGHA, Panelist, ICN-FV

RAUL ALARCON, Panelist, Spanish Broadcasting System

MARCELLUS ALEXANDER, Moderator, NAB Education Foundation

CAROLINE BEASLEY, Panelist, Beasley Media Group, LLC

MARIA BRENNAN, Panelist, Women in Cable Telecommunications

SHEILA BROOKS, Panelist, SRB Communications, LLC RONALD BUSBY, Moderator, U.S. Black Chambers, Inc.

VICTOR CERDA, Panelist, V-Me Media

JEFF CHANG, Panelist, Chang Media Group

MAURITA COLEY FLIPPIN, Panelist, MMTC

DANIEL J. DAMON, Panelist, U.S. Bank

JANNETTE DATES, Moderator, Howard University
School of Communications

MICHELLE DUKE, Moderator, NAB Education Foundation

SUZANNE GOUGHERTY, Moderator, MMTC Broadcasting, LLC

KIM GUTHRIE, Panelist, Cox Media Group

RASHAAN HARRIS, Panelist, Emma Bowen Foundation for Minority Interests in Media

DAVID HONIG, Panelist, MMTC

DON JACKSON, Panelist, Central City Productions RONALD JOHNSON, Moderator, Wireless

Infrastructure Association

SHERMAN KIZART, Moderator, Kizart Media Partners GARRET KOMJATHY, Panelist, U.S. Bank

BRIAN LAWLOR, Panelist, E.W. Scripps TV Stations
Group

MARK LIPP, Panelist, Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth SARA LOMAX-REESE, Moderator, WURD Radio

CHESLEY MADDOW-DORSEY, Panelist, American Urban Radio Networks

PAULA MADISON, Panelist, Madison Media Management JANE MAGO, Panelist, NAB

DUJUAN MCCOY, Panelist, Bayou City Broadcasting BRIAN MCNEILL, Panelist, Alta Communications DEANA MYERS, Panelist, TMT Kagan JOHN OXENDINE, Moderator, Blackstar, LLC
RUSSELL M. PERRY, Panelist, Perry Publishing and
Broadcasting

STEVEN C. ROBERTS, Panelist, Roberts Radio Broadcasting

ALFREDO RODRIGUEZ, Moderator, DISHLatino
ORLANDO ROSALES, Moderator, Media Vista Group
JOHNNY SHAW, Panelist, Shaw Broadcasting, LLC
NIMISHA SHUKLA, Panelist, South Asian
Broadcasting

JEFFREY SMULYAN, Panelist, Emmis Communications
MELODY SPANN COOPER, Panelist, Midway
Broadcasting Group, WVON Chicago
DIANE SUTTER, Panelist, ShootingStar Broadcasting

NICOL TURNER-LEE, Moderator, Brookings
Institution

AUGUSTO VALDEZ, Panelist, Condista
FRANK WASHINGTON, Panelist, Broadcast Investor
BRENT WILKES, Moderator, Wilkes Strategies
JAMES WINSTON, Moderator, NABOB
PEDRO ZAMORA, Panelist, Zamora Entertainment

ALSO PRESENT:

HENRY RIVERA, Chair, Broadcast Diversity and
Development Working Group, ACDDE

SARAH WHITESELL, Deputy Bureau Chief, Media
Bureau

JAMILA BESS JOHNSON, DFO, ACDDE

KRISTEN WELKER, NBC News White House
Correspondent

CONTENTS

Welcome and Introduction: Sarah Whitesell, Deputy Bureau Chief,
Media Bureau 6
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai6
Remarks: Kristen Welker, NBC News White House
Correspondent
Welcome Remarks:
FCC Commissioner Michael O'Rielly26
FCC Commissioner Geoffrey Starks 28
Diane Sutter, Chair, ACDDE
Henry Rivera, Chair, Broadcast Diversity
and Development Working Group, ACDDE33
Panel 1:
Success Stories from Pioneers
Panel 2:
Success Stories from Today's Radio
Entrepreneurs
Panel 3:
Success Stories from Today's
Television Entrepreneurs
Remarks:
FCC Commissioner Brendan Carr
Panel 4:
Success Stories from Today's
Broadband and OTT Entrepreneurs and
Content Providers
Panel 5:
How Diverse Entrepreneurs Can Use
Mergers and Acquisitions as an
Opportunity for Entry and Growth 221

CONTENTS

Panel 6:
The Important Rule of Lenders
and Investors in Creating Success
Stories
Panel 7:
The Important Role of Incubators
and Mentors in Creating Success
Stories
Panel 8: Success Stories from Organizations
Whose Mission It is to Foster Minority
and Women Entrepreneurs
Closing Remarks
Diane Sutter
Jamila Bess Johnson 405
Adjourn

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(9:02 a.m.)

MS. WHITESELL: Good morning. I'm
Sarah Whitesell, Deputy Chief of the Media
Bureau. On behalf of the Bureau and our very
hard-working partners on the Advisory Committee
on Diversity and Digital Empowerment, I'm
thrilled to welcome you to today's symposium.

This is a special day. It marks the first time we've gathered luminaries from across the broadcast industry to share their wit and wisdom with us on what we can do to promote broadcast diversity.

We're excited to have so many members of the Advisory Committee here today and we look forward to hearing from a very diverse group of speakers. But given that today's agenda packs about as many speakers and panels into one day as is humanly possible, I'm going to waste no time in turning things over to Chairman Pai, who will kick off the day.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN PAI: Well thank you so much, Sarah. And good morning. Welcome to the FCC's symposium on media diversity. Thanks to everyone for coming. We have got a great day lined up for you. But before I get to the program, a little bit of history.

On my first full day as Chairman, a little over two years ago, I spoke to the Commission's terrific staff here in this very room. And I said that my highest priority would be bringing the benefits of the digital age to all Americans regardless of race, or gender, or religion, or sexual orientation, or anything else.

And consistent with this pledge, I
moved quickly to recharter the agency's Advisory
Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment, a
committee that had gone dormant. And when it was
chartered in July of 2017, I charged this
important committee and its leaders with
developing real world solutions to spur diversity
and digital empowerment, especially in under-

served communities across this country.

I asked them to bring recommendations that could be quickly implemented in order to get solutions to the public rapidly. And one of the deliverables to come out of this Advisory

Committee is today's workshop. And boy, have they ever delivered.

To start we've got a special guest,

NBC News White House Correspondent, Kristen

Welker, who will be giving the featured remarks

of this opening session. We've had politicians,

and journalists, and many other luminaries come

to this room. This is the first time we've ever

had a movie star, the star of Transformers 3,

here at the FCC. So thank you, Ms. Welker, for

being with us.

In addition to that we also have eight all-star panels on tap. You're going to hear from experts in broadcast radio, in television, over the top content providers, finance, media mergers and acquisitions, and groups that specialize in training those who are interested

in media employment and ownership.

Now building out eight panels takes a lot of work. And that means that I have a lot of people to thank. First I want to thank the Advisory Committee's entire Broadcast Diversity and Development Working Group for its great planning efforts, and especially the chair of the working group, the indefatigable former FCC Commissioner, Henry Rivera.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN PAI: Henry and the working group have done a fantastic job of putting together a wonderful program in such a short period of time, including several weeks when the FCC staff was furloughed. So Henry and your team, thank you for herding the cats so ably and quickly.

Thanks also to Diane Sutter and Anna Gomez, the Chair and the Vice Chair of the Advisory Committee, for their outstanding leadership. Thank you to all of the experts who are going to be participating in today's panels,

including the moderators for all of the advanced preparation for today's event.

And thank you also to our team here at the FCC, including the Media Bureau led by Chief Michelle Carey, along with Deputy Chief Sarah Whitesell, who you just heard from earlier; and Industry and Analysis Chief Brendan Holland; the designated Federal Officer, Jamila Bess Johnson, and Deputy DFO, Brenda Villanueva; the Office of Communications Business Opportunities led by Sanford Williams, who's the Chief; and the many other staff members who have worked extremely hard to make this symposium a success. And particularly those who enabled us to use this commission meeting room today.

Finally, thanks to three of my colleagues, Commissioners O'Rielly, and Carr, and Starks, who are also here this morning, if not in the room yet. Make no mistake, promoting opportunities for women and minorities in broadcasting is a bipartisan issue at the Commission as evidenced by the presence of four

of our members.

This work can have a very important and lasting impact. What happens in these walls today is not limited to just today. On Monday, I had the privilege of speaking at the Women in Cable Telecommunications 40th Anniversary Celebration led by Maria Brennan, who is here today.

And I pointed out that empowering
women to turn their potential into power in the
communications sector isn't just good for women
and their communities. It's also good for
business and it's good for America's future.

The best evidence of that last point was hearing an African-American female executive describe how she had an interest in learning about engineering when she was a child, and how she pursued that interest in school.

And then how she was leading now in a prominent media distribution company. And critically, how she was determined to pay it forward by sharing her story with young women,

including her own daughter, who I'd had the chance to meet, in order to enable them to dream big and to set their ceilings as high as that ceiling could possibly be.

It was inspiring for me to hear and it was a useful reminder that the communications sector holds special promise for all Americans to be able to achieve their dreams. And I would hope that this sector will be the leading edge when it comes to reflecting the richness and diversity of our great country.

Now aside from today's workshop, the Advisory Committee has been busy keeping up on other initiatives. The Broadcast Diversity and Development Working Group coordinated comprehensive comments on our proposed broadcast incubator program. Those comments were a big help as we developed and eventually adopted the program last summer. This is something that had been talked about for over a generation. We finally got it done last year.

We anticipate being able to begin

accepting applications to participate in this broadcast incubator program later this spring.

This will be a big step forward towards increasing diverse participation in broadcasting.

And by that time we hope to have all of the forms and processes in place to launch the program.

If anyone wants more information now to prepare for that application process, we have some materials available today in the back. And we hope that you'll visit the FCC's web page on the incubator program.

We've already done one webinar on the nuts and bolts of how the program works, which you can see also on that website. And we'll continue to do more outreach.

I hope that today might also be an opportunity for existing broadcasters who are in a position to help to connect with small broadcasters who are in attendance, and to start thinking about partnerships.

Many of the moderators and panelists today are experts in finance and broadcasting. I

think they're wonderful resources for those who might be interested in applying to participate in the FCC's incubator program.

So anyway, after all of this
blathering on my part, here's the bottom line. I
hope that this workshop makes a difference for
you, for you personally and professionally. If
you'll take time to introduce yourself to each
other, to network, to make connections, this day
is for you. And oh, one last piece of business,
before I hand things over.

As I mentioned earlier, we initially chartered the Advisory Committee in July of 2017 for a two-year period. And I'm pleased to announce today that I will start the process of rechartering the Advisory Committee next month.

I can promise you this, the Advisory

Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment is
a real asset to this Commission and to the

country. And it will not wither away on my

watch.

Oh behalf -- oh, thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN PAI: On behalf of the agency we are so grateful to all the members of the current committee and working groups for sacrificing their time, for devoting their efforts to these important issues.

And now it is my pleasure to turn the stage over to our featured speaker, Kristen
Welker. Ms. Welker is more accustomed to the rarified air of 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue. So we're very grateful that she could find her way to our humble abode here in Southwest Washington.

I had the pleasure of meeting Kristen earlier this morning. And I think you will find very quickly that she is one of the most talented journalists we have. She's a real believer in the cause to which we are devoting our efforts today.

And she's been -- she's seen it all in her incredible career in broadcasting, from local news on both coasts, to covering the White House today, and as I pointed out, a few IMDb entries

along with that.

In doing what she does, in showing up every day and working hard, and calling the balls and strikes, she has been a terrific advocate and role model on broadcast diversity issues. And I'm really excited that she took time out of her exceptionally busy schedule to be here with us today. Please join me in welcoming Kristen Welker.

(Applause.)

MS. WELKER: Good morning everyone.

Thank you so much for having me. And thank you

Commission Pai for having me, for that really

warm introduction. And it was so great to spend

some time with you this morning.

It is really such an honor to be here because diversity is a goal and a value that I hold very dear. So I want to thank all of you for having me and for showing up today. I think it really speaks to the fact that this is clearly something that's important to all of you. So thank you for having me here.

I am excited to be a part of the Commission's first comprehensive symposium on media, diversity, and broadcasting. I think it's fantastic that you are doing this. It shows your commitment to diversity at all levels.

A little bit of a background about myself, I've actually covered the White House since 2011. So that has spanned two presidencies, hundreds of news-making events, thousands of miles in foreign travel including a number of different legs on Air Force One. And I have to say it has truly been the honor of a lifetime to participate in and to cover our democracy at the very highest levels. And of course it is a great challenge every single day.

As you all know, this is a unique moment in our country, in our nation's history. The news cycle is moving faster than ever before, something that Chairman Pai and I got to talk about earlier today. And the press is frankly coming under more scrutiny than ever before, which creates new hurdles every day for the

media.

But one of the bright lights I think about this remarkable moment in which we find ourselves is diversity. And you are seeing an increasing commitment to diversity everywhere.

That includes the White House press corps. And frankly the White House press corps has never perhaps had more gender equity or diversity.

If you just look at our NBC news White House team, which I am so proud to be a part of, you might not know this, but three of our five correspondents are women. Two of us are African American. The leader of our entire unit, a woman named Stacey Klein-Schmerling, is a woman. She's a young woman and she has risen up through the ranks with incredible grace and success and strength.

Our Bureau Chief, some of you may know him, Ken Strickland. He is African American, someone who served time on Capitol Hill and the White House. He's an incredible leader. And a number of our producers within our White House

unit are women. And frankly they are simply the best in the business. They are incredible and we have an incredible team. And I'm just so proud every day that I come into work with them.

And I've actually noticed over my eight years of being at the White House that the entire press corps has actually become more diverse.

When I first arrived in Washington, I would often sit in the front row of that press briefing room and look around and realize that I would be, a lot of times, the only woman, the only woman of color sitting in that front row asking questions of then Press Secretary Jay Carney, Josh Earnest, who of course served under former President Obama. And it was remarkable to kind of look around and have that realization.

And in eight short years I can tell
you that the number of women sitting in that
front row, the number of people of color, of
women of color, have actually increased. That
means more access to asking questions, pressing

the administration at the highest of levels. And that has been really remarkable to witness and to watch.

And why is it important? It's important to have a diversity of voices when it comes to our media and broadcasting coverage because it informs the type of stories that we cover, how we cover them, the questions that are being asked, making sure that we are asking questions on behalf of Americans in every different race, socioeconomic category.

Having said that, and I don't have to tell you this, it's why we're here today, there's still a lot more work that we need to do, that we need to do collectively to make sure that women and people of color are getting opportunities at all levels. Not only to be correspondents, and managers, but bureau chiefs, presidents of news networks. So this still is clearly a work in progress. And it's still frankly an uphill battle.

And I first realized that there were

still great challenges to be faced very early on in my career. My first on-air job was in Redding, California. It's Market 133, I called it do-it-yourself news. I did all my own camera work. I wrote all my own scripts, which I still do.

And basically -- operated my own teleprompter when I anchored the weekend newscast. I even did the weather. And I learned so much from working there. One of the things that I learned and one of the things that I realized early on was that I was the only woman of color on air in all of Redding, California.

Now it is a relatively small market, but still that was a remarkable feeling to have, to be the only woman of color on air. It made me realize that I was under immense scrutiny every day. And frankly on a daily basis it made me feel like I had to be perfect. I'm sure some of you have had that feeling at various points in your career.

I had that experience again when I

arrived at a mid-sized market. And I recall one of my colleagues saying to me, you must feel pretty lucky that you're here. And I said, oh I do, I feel very lucky. And this colleague said, well, you know you got the black slot.

And this wasn't that long ago. And it sort of stopped me cold. It stopped me in my tracks. And it made me realize that at various media markets throughout the country, journalists of color are still in short supply. And I think it underscores just how uphill of a battle we still have when it comes to making sure that there is true diversity at media markets all across the country.

And even more recently, and again I don't have to tell you guys this, but when I arrived at the White House, I was keenly aware that the majority of those who held power in elected offices here in Washington, were and are men. And it underscored again for me the long road ahead. And it only added fuel to my fire to be a good journalist. Not only a female

journalist, a journalist of color, but just a good journalist.

And I really got through I think some of those challenges -- and to be honest when I arrived in Washington, I felt pretty intimidated, for all of the reasons that you feel intimidated coming to Washington. But I got through it by making sure that I prepared hard, by working even harder.

And to strive to always do my best every single day and to let those little voices of self-doubt in the back of your head, to sort of push that aside and to keep reminding myself that I deserve to be here. And it's important that I'm here as well.

I also think a critical part of making sure that there is diversity at all levels is making sure that we are mentoring the next generation of broadcasters, of folks who want to be journalists. That's something that I take very seriously.

I try to, to the extent that I can,

have coffee with some of the younger journalists, make sure I'm having phone calls with them, answering their questions, trying to help them sort through what are the next steps in their career.

I think it's important to do for young women journalists, young journalists of color, but frankly young journalists in general so that we are instilling these values, this set of values in the next generation of journalists.

And I deeply believe that diversity relates not only to gender and race, but also to socioeconomic status. And I think in order to recruit a diverse talent base it's important to look beyond all of those obvious places. To recruit from areas where people have important, but also under-represented life experiences.

Because of course, what is the key to being a good journalist? The key to being a good journalist is being able to understand a broad range of experiences. And in order to have a broad range of experiences, you have to have

actually had a different perspective and to bring that to the table.

And I think that makes journalism

better. I think it makes our media markets

better. Frankly I think it makes our democracy

better, the more diverse we are.

So I just want to thank Chairman Pai for having me here today. It's a real honor. I want to thank the FCC's Media Bureau, and the Advisory Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment for all of your efforts to highlight this important topic.

I also want to thank Commissioner
O'Rielly, Starks, and Carr for your hospitality
and participation. I know that the work that you
all are about to embark on today is really
important.

Again, this is the first time that you are all coming together in this type of a setting, but I think it underscores everyone's commitment here in this room to making sure that we have more diversity at all levels. And I'm so

honored to be a part of it, so thank you so much. 1 2 (Applause.) MS. WHITESELL: Thanks so much for 3 taking the time to share those remarks with us 4 5 this morning. Next Commissioner O'Rielly is up. 6 (Applause.) Thank you all, 7 COMMISSIONER O'RIELLY: 8 thank you so much. I appreciate it. I will be 9 brief, especially since I've been told to be. 10 (Laughter.) 11 COMMISSIONER O'RIELLY: Welcome to the 12 Commission. I see many people here are more familiar with the Commission than I am, so 13 14 welcome back. I'm probably not the perfect prototype for this event, as a shorter, fatter, 15 16 Irishman. But you should know that I have great 17 interest in this topic, and being here is 18 important to me. We have a lot of work to go. Ι 19 want to make a couple points and then I'll get 20 out of your hair. 21 Number one, while there are remarkable success stories to date in the diversity of 22

voices area, so much more work to do, and so much more can be done.

Number two, we must be willing to challenge the status quo. Our old rules and practices haven't worked. Have to be willing to try new directions.

Number three, new rules and the incubator program will provide new and exciting opportunities for new entrants. Please take advantage of this whether you're on the operator/owner side, or on the prospective interested side. We would love your engagement and help to make this a successful program that the Chairman has built.

Number four, we need your help in designing and implementing an incubator program on the television side. Our incubator program is designed for the radio side. We need just the same on the television side, and we need your help. And I've gone to a number of different groups and said, please help us design and people are going to provide some ideas, and we could use

your help as well.

from a cold as my entire household is, so I'll try to keep, you know, not touching things up here. I wish you well for the rest of your conference and the rest of your day. And know my door is always open on this very important topic. Thank you so very much.

(Applause.)

MS. WHITESELL: Thank you so much. And now we'll hear from Commissioner Starks.

(Applause.)

COMMISSIONER STARKS: Hello, good morning. I know you guys have a long day ahead of you. And so I'm excited, I'm glad I'm catching you here in the morning versus later once things really get going. I'm sure it's a jam-packed day that is going to be fulfilling.

So welcome to the FCC. I know the Chairman and obviously Commissioner O'Rielly already welcomed you, but here's my welcome. I was sworn in just a little bit over a month ago.

I'm Geoffrey Starks, the newest Commissioner here at the FCC, and wanted to come down a little bit this morning.

Thank you in advance for the very important work that you're going to do here today. Advancing media diversity is a goal that is deeply important and of course personal to me.

I think I speak for a lot of folks in the room when I say that it is critically important to celebrate the success stories and the entrepreneurs and the pioneers that we have in this room. But I think we all long for a future where diversity in the media space isn't just a success story, but it is the story.

And a story so commonplace that it is all together unremarkable. A future where it's no longer a surprise for our kids that when they turn on the TV or the radio, that they see folks that look like them, that look like America. That is something that I'm working for here every day.

And I'm eager to hear your work

throughout the day. And I know we of course have a ways to go, we all know that. And I hope that this event really does move us forward. It's work that has to be done. And so I encourage all of you to tell your stories.

And tell them truthfully, tell them hard. Don't leave out the barriers that you've faced, the hardships that you've overcome. And lean into them. And help us here at the Commission better understand how things really are, the lived experience, the boots on the ground experience. What we can do from a policy perspective. And how we can help leave the ladder down for the next generation of folks that are coming on to this space.

And so to everyone in the room I really do hope, obviously within the contours of what has been outlined, that we really do memorialize what you all talk about here today. You know, share your transcripts, your reports, your white papers, blogs, social media.

This work is too important, like all

of us being busy folks, where it's just one of those things that washes away. And so this discourse can't be lost to the history here, the history of the FCC, and where we need to go.

Please do know that my door is always open. I'm just on the eighth floor here. And so I'll look forward to talking with folks that have identified a problem, and more importantly, its solutions. We can all identify problems. But we need to be in the solutions business here as well.

So thank you all for having me this morning. Again, I'm Geoffrey Starks, please follow me on Twitter, because EEO is something that I'm really focused on. I want to be able to share your stories and the successes that we have from the day. And I'll look forward to seeing you guys all later. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SUTTER: Well another very hardy good morning to all of you. Thank you for being here. I'm Diane Sutter, president and CEO of

ShootingStar Broadcasting. And I am privileged to serve as the Chair of this current FCC Diversity Committee. And it is a labor of love for all of us who serve, and many of them are here today.

But especially I want to thank Henry Rivera, and the Broadcast Diversity Working Group. They put this entire program together.

The Chairman was very interested in this, as you know. Wanted to have a day that would share success stories and how to make it work, and so you can leave from here with practical information, contacts and resources that you can use to help move you forward in your career.

And so the Chairman requested that we got together to work on it. The Commission had a slight hiatus for the better part of five weeks, and poof, a symposium came together. So we thank you all for being here.

The goal for each of us I think is to make it so that we are no longer having women and

people of color be the first or the only anywhere, and making sure that our broadcast industry reflects that of those we serve.

And today is the day that we're moving forward on that. We thank you for being here.

And now I'd like you to meet the man that put this all together, Henry Rivera.

(Applause.)

MR. RIVERA: Well good morning everyone. We're glad you're here. On behalf of the Working Group of the Advisory Committee we're -- we worked very hard to put this together, frankly. And you're in for a -- great deal of information's going to be coming your way.

You'll be drinking from the fire hose. But I think it'll all be worthwhile at the end.

So thank you very much working group, and panelists for being here and agreeing to make the trip to Washington. I have to thank Jamila Bess Johnson. I don't know where she went -- oh there she is --

(Applause.)

MR. RIVERA: And really her entire team here at the FCC, they worked very hard to put this together. And as Diane mentioned due to the furlough, they had an even shorter time to put this together than we did, the working group.

We've got a jam-packed agenda as you know from looking at your package. And I want to get right to it, but before I do that there's just a couple of housekeeping things I want to go over.

Everyone will have an opportunity to ask questions of all of the panelists. And if you want to ask a question, there's a microphone here in the center of the room. Please use that to ask your question. The moderators will reserve about ten minutes of each panel for questions from the audience.

And those of you who are viewing this online, you too will have an opportunity to ask questions. You need to send your questions to livequestions, that's livequestions, plural @ FCC.gov (livequestions@fcc.gov) and we'll get

your questions asked.

Second thing I want ask the audience is that -- not to come up to the speaker's area here after the panel. You may want to have a private conversation with one of the panelists. And that's fine, we encourage that, but let them all get off of the dais here. Because the next panel will be coming in right behind them.

And we'd like you to take your conversation out into the hall if you would please, so as not to disrupt the proceedings here. And that goes for side conversations that you may want to have with a colleague or something. If it's going to be extended or you need to take a phone call, please move out into the hall.

Now, the 1:15 panel will take place immediately after lunch. And because again, we've got such a packed schedule, we're going to start that right on time. That's going to be the watch word for the moderators today, to keep the trains running on time.

So, if you leave the Commission for lunch, you have to leave yourself enough time to get back through security and get here at 1:15.

There are places that are listed nearby the Commission for lunch in your material. There's also a facility within the FCC that you can utilize for lunch, but you will have to be escorted there by an FCC person, and -- a staff person, and then escorted back.

So is the -- will the person who is going to do the escorting, will you identify yourself?

Okay, so if you've got -- see Jamila if you want to go down to the FCC facility for lunch. And she will find someone to take you and bring you back.

Moderators, we've got a timer here.

Please respect that time. About 13 minutes left in your panel, you should start winding up and getting to a point where you can open the floor for questions.

There will be a yellow light about

five minutes. And then there will be a red light when your time is up. When your panel has got to stop. So when you see that red light, please stop your panel. Move your panel off the dais so that the next panel can come in.

All right? Well, with that let's get started. Sherman, I think you're up. Your panel is up, let's get them up. Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. KIZART: Oh, there we are. Good morning everyone. My name is Sherman Kizart. I'm the managing Director of Kizart Media Partners of Chicago. And also a member of the FCC Diversity Committee. So I want to welcome everyone, and again say thank you for coming out this morning and being a part of this historic day at the FCC.

As has been mentioned, we have a power-packed day. And we're going to really jump into what's going to be a very important discussion. And but before we do that, I wanted to introduce my co-moderator, Ms. Suzanne Gougherty of MMTC.

MS. GOUGHERTY: Hi everybody. It's nice to be here today. It's an honor to be with these pioneers in our industry. So thank you for attending.

MR. KIZART: Chairman, Commissioner
Rivera, I just have to take a point of privilege,
because I saw someone who walked into -- who
joined us today. And I have flashbacks of him at
the University of Oklahoma running touchdowns,
and that's J.C., Congressman J.C. Watts, who is
with us today. Congressman, it's a privilege to
see you.

(Applause.)

MR. KIZART: Pioneers, pioneers are described as the first to settle. Either settle the land or be the first to settle in terms of whether it's business and a myriad of different other categories.

And I thought it was just so
appropriate that today, that we kick off this
symposium with the leading pioneers in the
broadcast industry, both across African-American

broadcasting and Hispanic broadcasting. And we have some real pioneers.

And again in the interest of time,

I'll jump right into letting them have the

opportunity to tell you a little bit about them

as we move forward into questions.

But Raul Alarcon, who is the Chairman and CEO of Spanish Broadcasting Systems. SBS is the largest Hispanic-owned broadcaster in the country with radio and TV stations all across the country, in major markets along with other related businesses in our industry.

Mr. Alarcon is one of the strongest advocates that you'll find around creating more minority-owned industry. And we're so privileged to have him with us here today. And we want to give -- Mr. Alarcon, would you tell a little bit more about you and your story, please?

MR. ALARCON: Thank you, Sherman, for the introduction. I just want to take a moment to thank everyone at the FCC, the Chairman of course for this, having the initiative to

undertake this symposium, and Henry also, Henry - Enrique, gracias. And thank you, Sherman for
the introduction.

I've always said, and I will state
here today now that I've been given an
opportunity, that minority ownership and
diversity in ownership of media is a very
important stance that has been taken for a number
of years here at the FCC. And I'm so encouraged
that this is now going forward. Everyone is
taking a look at this, a serious look at this.
And taking the time to really study this.

Spanish Broadcasting System was born in 1983 precisely because of policies -- and I was speaking about this with Commissioner Rivera yesterday, very briefly -- policies that were implemented at the FCC in the late 70s and early 80s.

I would not be here today and all of the things that the company has been able to achieve in its acquisitions of radio stations in the major markets and then television in the

major markets, certainly in Hispanic areas in the U.S., I would not be here today. None of that would have been possible, and none of all the millions of lives that we've touched would have been possible had it not been for an incentive in terms of creating and promulgating ownership of the media as was the case back when the program was started.

I'm referring to the Minority Tax

Certificate Program which was a very successful program, and which really got us started in terms of the first acquisition of our first AM station in New York. And we were very lucky, very fortunate, and we were also very aggressive in taking advantage of that incentive to what is resulting today as Spanish Broadcasting System.

A company of which I am very proud to be the Chairman of, operating radio and television and digital, and concerts and events around the country. But it all started with an emphasis on ownership of the media, which I think is a very important initiative that the

Commission back then and now is looking at very carefully.

MR. KIZART: Thank you, we're again excited Mr. Alarcon, about having you with us and again when I think about pioneers in the industry, certainly yes with you, and what you're doing at SBS is important.

Equally important, when I think about pioneers in this industry, it's hard not to think about the role that Mr. Russell Perry has played in, as he is the largest African American-owned broadcaster in the company. I'll make that distinction, privately owned.

Mr. Perry has broadcast properties in over four states, Oklahoma, Georgia, Arkansas, and South Carolina. And he has built one of the more successful, privately-owned minority broadcast companies in the country.

But he's also more -- he's also had the opportunity to serve as the Commerce Secretary for the State of Oklahoma as part of his career. He owns a publication company as

well, but -- along with a financial institution.

So there isn't anyone who's more respected than

Mr. Russell Perry.

So Mr. Perry, thank you for being with us. And if you could, take a minute to talk a little bit more about what you want to share with us about your company.

MR. PERRY: Well thank you very much for that great introduction. I don't hear that that often. But to the Commission and to my personal friend, I'm honored to have my Congressman, my former Congressman here as an Oklahoman visiting us today. It's a pleasure J.C. to see you.

I'm a newspaper publisher, started my newspaper industry in 1979. And I never looked at broadcasting as a friend. I looked at it as a competitor. And the interesting thing, the most rewarding thing in my venture has been that I hired young people. And they encouraged me during that period of time, we had no

broadcasting entities representing African

Americans in the state of Oklahoma. Not at all.

So they convinced me to eventually, it was a hard job, but they convinced me to look into the possibilities of acquiring a radio station. And in doing so, I discovered that it was AM daytimer North, owned by Devon Energy, one of the largest oil companies in our country today.

And I pursued it in that manner, and was successful. But one of the things that I discovered early on in moving in this venture, was access to capital. That's our problem. Ten times our problem as African Americans. And the second thing that I discovered in this venture was that broadcasters, you don't own the license.

So going into a commercial financial institution, and asking them to finance your project, and asking for -- can you imagine walking into, an African-American young man walking into a commercial bank, asking them for \$1 million? It's unbelievable.

So in doing so, we were -- I backed up and I realized in my career that I wanted to pursue three things. I wanted to be successful in publishing because it had brought me where I was today. And secondly, I wanted to learn how to acquire capital. And then the third thing, I wanted to seek broadcasting entities. And that was one of my greatest challenges.

But we've been successful in the eye sights of some people, and we are learning as we go. I have many, many stories I could share with you that would be most interesting I think. In fact, as I look here and Congressman Watts is here, I think he and I discussed something on a national level at one time. It was very challenging, access to capital.

So we have plenty of time, and I'm open for questions. And Kizart, I think that's all I want to say right now.

MR. KIZART: Thank you, Mr. Perry, again, it's a privilege and an honor to have you here with us. And again when we think about this

topic of pioneers, I couldn't see us having this discussion without you. So thank you for joining us.

Again along on that line of pioneers, when you talk about Roberts Broadcasting, hey, these pioneers in terms of African-American television ownership. No one did it better than Steve and his brother, Mike Roberts.

And the footprint that Roberts
Broadcasting had as an African-American owner of
television stations and markets across, again
four states, South Carolina, Indiana, Missouri,
and Mississippi, my home state. And Steve
Roberts and Mike Roberts again trail blazed in
that whole area.

So to have Steve Roberts here with us today is certainly a privilege, who also is a member of the Diversity Committee, particularly the working group.

So Steve, if you'll just take a minute or two just to tell all of us a little bit more about what I may have missed that you want to

share about Roberts Broadcasting.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you very much,
Sherman. I appreciate it. It's an honor to be
here. As I said to Chairman Pai, yesterday at
the MMTC conference, as one who has been around
the FCC for many years, it was an honor to be
able to serve again on the Diversity Committee
because it's very, very important for us to have
that established.

Not only for here at the Commission, but for, you know, our friends on the Hill so they understand that we're, we who are out here in the fields in the area of telecommunications are still very concerned about what the status of minority ownership and programming is.

Let me tell -- I'm a storyteller, so let me tell you a quick story. And I always see Sherman, because he's a good friend and fraternity brother of mine. He never gets it all right. So let me get it right.

If you look at the Roberts companies, in particular our different divisions, Roberts

Wireless, Roberts Broadcasting, Roberts Tower, we're the only entity in the United States that's ever -- has been probably in front of the FCC as a privately held company more than anyone the last 30 years.

Over that timeframe we have owned 15 full-powered TV stations, three low-power, three radio stations. We created the only minority-owned telephone company in the United States. We were in an auction in the mid-90s where we bid along with rural telcos, small businesses, and minority-owned businesses, women-owned businesses for TV -- for telephone licenses, cellular licenses for the auction of C, D, E, and F.

And after a year of bidding we became the only minority-owned telephone company in the United States. We covered six states, and we were affiliated with Sprint.

But let me go back further than that.

As Raul had said in his opening comments, had it not been for the incentives that the FCC had, the Roberts brothers and all of our great associates

over the last 30 years would have never been in the broadcasting business. It's real simple.

We applied for our first license in 1981. We were both freshly out of law school, elected officials, and we knew very little about the broadcasting business except that we were both involved with radio in college.

So, kind of on a whim, we applied to the FCC for a license that was a TV, full-powered TV station license that was available in East St. Louis, Illinois, which is a St. Louis market.

After six years of very competitive hearings, we were awarded the license. But had it not been for the incentives that the FCC had for women and minority-owned businesses, we would not have received our first license.

So as you can imagine, once you've been through a very painful, expensive six-year process, that you really understood the system.

I mean I was up here at the FCC so often, some of the people started calling me by first name and assigned me an office.

(Laughter.)

MR. ROBERTS: But the good news is that once you understood the process, when we did the next four or five licenses, it was a lot easier. We understood the process.

I know we will talk a little bit about access to capital. And I will share some stories about how tough it was. Even though we had had a very strong record in terms of productivity with our stations, the important thing is that there is still opportunity here.

And the FCC and Congress still has a very important role in assuring that all of us, if we're minorities, if we're women, if we're small businesses, if we're rural companies, that we all still have an opportunity to be at the table. But we do need a little incentive and a little help. So I know we'll talk about that a little bit more in a minute. Thank you.

MR. KIZART: Thank you, Steve. I appreciate -- only you as my fraternity brother can beat up on me a little bit. But we're

excited about the insight that you'll bring to today's discussion, this morning's discussion.

What's unique about this panel with the pioneers that we have here today, is that they're across major markets, mid-sized markets, and small markets. The next pioneer is someone who's been blazing trails in a pioneer state of Tennessee, and that's Johnny Shaw who is the Chairman and Founder of Shaw Broadcasting, LLC in Jackson, Tennessee.

Mr. Shaw takes, in addition to being a broadcast owner, he takes the whole importance of public service to a whole different level.

He's been a member of the Tennessee legislature for better than two decades. And he is someone who is just entrenched in super-serving the people of West Tennessee.

And so it's certainly a privilege of having him here today, joining us. So Mr. Shaw, here's your opportunity to make an opening statement around your story and what you're doing.

MR. SHAW: Thank you, Sherman. Thank you very much to Commissioner Pai, all of the Commissioners, and our Chairman, Mr. Rivera. And all of you ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to try to make this in maybe a 60 second commercial here. My beginning of broadcasting started when I was in high school. I got hired as a part-time announcer.

But my motivation started when I heard the statement, we cannot put the commercial on the air because it's a black voice. And I made the statement to the manager of the station, I'll just own my own station one day, and put my voice on whatever I want to put it on.

(Laughter.)

MR. SHAW: That's where it all began.
We are a family-owned business. We are owned
solely by my wife and myself. And I would say
the kids because they'll get it when we're gone.
We started this business back in 1987 when we
bought a daytime AM, and we did very well there.

It wasn't long before when --

nightfall, we couldn't stay on the air, we had to look at some other options. And of course in research we found out that there was an FM frequency in our area. My wife applied for it then, an African-American female. We got it, and we went on the air in 1997.

Both stations together, we've been in business for a total of 32 years. I've made myself a whole lot of loans that I've never paid back, but I paid everybody else, except for myself. There are several challenges that we'll talk about later, I'm sure along the way in this business.

But I will tell you that it's been one of the greatest rewards that I have ever -- adventures I should say, that I've ever taken in my life. And that is to be the voice of my community. And to serve the people of West Tennessee in the area that we serve them.

I'm also a Baptist preacher of 38

years at one church. I've served 18 years in the

state legislature in the State of Tennessee, and

1 I'm ready to move forward on any questions that 2 anyone may have. Thank you very much. MS. GOUGHERTY: Fabulous. 3 4 (Applause.) 5 MS. GOUGHERTY: So two of our 6 panelists have talked about access to capital, 7 Mr. Roberts and Mr. Perry. So our question is, 8 how hard was it? Could you tell us your 9 individual stories and what you went through, and how -- did they make it easy for you? How hard 10 11 was it? We know they didn't make it easy, so 12 like, let's talk about that. Steve, I'll take it, or --13 MR. PERRY: 14 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, you can, sure. 15 MR. PERRY: So first of all, I 16 discovered early on that most commercial bankers 17 were not aware or understood broadcasting. 18 just, and when I started in this -- let me back 19 up. 20 In commercial banking when I started, 21 it's all about assets. What are you going to 22 pledge for your assets?

MS. GOUGHERTY: For the assets.

MR. PERRY: And then when you walked, when I walked into the commercial lender and he asked me for assets, and I said well I want to buy a radio station. He says, what assets do you have? I said well, I have -- and I was very ignorant to the point, and I said, well I'll have the radio station if you loan it to me, and I have a little cash.

He said -- this is the question he came up with, he says, well, can you pledge the license? I said I'm quite sure I can do that.

Quite sure I can. And when I went to the attorneys they said, no. You don't own the license.

And then right away I think I have been somewhat of a visionary person, and I reversed it, and then I approached to see what kind of assets that came along with the radio station, the land, the tower, the equipment. And I was able to convince them, and they had their lack of knowledge as well, that I could make this

work.

So I had somewhat of a relationship with the bank. And I owned my other assets that I pledged, was my publishing company that I was, it was debt free. And I pledged that.

And let me go back. To even get to the the publishing company, I mean even get to the publishing company, I was working for a publishing company at the beginning. And I had two doctors who were owners of the publication.

And as a conservative republican -- and don't run me out of this room now.

(Laughter.)

MR. PERRY: We just differed editorially. And so I thought it was time for me to move on. And I had \$12,500 saved and went to my wife and told her I thought I would go out on my own, and I pledged everything that I owned. I pledged my home, my furniture, for \$125,000. In 18 months I had repaid that loan.

MR. KIZART: Wow.

MR. PERRY: So that said to me the

need that existed in the type of publication that I had in mind of publishing.

And then an account came along. We didn't have a radio station in the black community at all. And so these youngsters that were working for me came to me and said, Mr. Perry, we need a radio station.

And my attitude was that I was against radio broadcasting and but they finally convinced me to seek that and that was my next phase. I pledged my publishing company and all of this and then we came up, now we came -- your broadcasters will understand this, we came up with, from a zero, and our first book we came up with a four three share --

MR. KIZART: Wow. That's good. That's great.

MR. PERRY: -- with AM daytime, but now here's where the challenge came. We came out with a four three share that first book. That next book, three major corporations, radio corporations, were playing African-American

music, hip-hop music.

MR. KIZART: Yes.

MR. PERRY: So it made me think that, now here's the thing. I said access to capital. It made me think, well, what in the world am I going to do?

So I looked and there was a frequency available in Oklahoma City, top 50 market, and it was selling for \$18 million. And there was no way that I could approach purchasing a radio station for \$18 million.

So then I got on my wheels and I said, well, I can't afford it in Tulsa, I mean, in Oklahoma City and so I went to Tulsa, the second largest market and I located an individual who had a construction permit. And he was not able, he had not been able to put it in place, put it on the air and I bought that construction permit for 1.5 million and I didn't know whether, how it would work.

I took a \$1.5 million guess and we finally got it on the air and it fell a little

short for the areas that we wanted and it was going to take me another \$50,000 to increase the signal.

And I made another business decision.

I thought it was worth it. So I had roughly,

maybe after legal fees -- and that's another

thing you have to, really as a family-owned small

company, you're talking about a lot of money with

these just going through the process of acquiring

it from the legal standpoint.

And so it was a training point for me and to make a long story short and others have to talk, I took it step by step, leveraged, I'm a leverager. Leveraged every move that I made and that's one of the processes.

And then when I looked up one day, I had about ten or twelve towers. That's right.

That's right. So I formed a tower company, broke it off, that was another revenue stream.

And then I went to work on buying other stations throughout and what I discovered there was that, don't pay too much for these

1	broadcasting stations.
2	I think if you go back and look at
3	where we are as African Americans acquiring
4	broadcasting companies over the years, we paid
5	too much for them. Debt service can be mean.
6	This is a tough business and I'll tell
7	you something else I've noticed. Take this as a
8	business, not as an entertainment vehicle. You
9	can have them both, but primarily this is a major
10	business and it's a serious business.
11	MS. GOUGHERTY: Can we move to the
12	other panelists too because we're
13	MR. PERRY: Oh, very good. I'm sorry.
14	MS. GOUGHERTY: our time is running
15	short.
16	MR. PERRY: Sure.
17	MS. GOUGHERTY: No, no problem.
18	MR. PERRY: Oh, yes. That's right.
19	MR. ROBERTS: Well, I'll jump in and
20	I'll keep it real short too.
21	Ms. GOUGHERTY: Okay.
22	MR. ROBERTS: Reverend Shaw, I thought
J	

Reverend Perry was going to keep going with a sermon for a while.

(Laughter.)

MR. ROBERTS: It's those Oklahomans I think, you know, being from Missouri.

Mr. Perry is absolutely correct. When you're a startup, it's not like you have lenders who are standing out there. Because their bottom line, I've been on six different bank boards, their bottom line is what are your assets, how are you going to pay back your debt? So as a startup it's very, very difficult.

So that for any budding entrepreneurs out here who are interested in coming into telecommunications, I hope that you'll look at family, friends, other resources you have to go in the business. That's what we had to do.

As Mr. Perry said, Mike and I, before a year or so we were able to save some money in our filings but still we had to have FCC Counsel here in Washington. So that's why we were here often during our application process.

I know Raul is going to talk about tax certificates. Now for us, because we were startups, it was very difficult to use tax certificates because we had to buy, and we did later, existing properties where those incentives were there.

But the bottom line is that I think the lending environment is better today because I think lenders, versus 25 years or 30 years ago, understands the nature of broadcasting.

I think that they see that there is real revenue possibilities because every week you hear of new folks with new ideas. And next thing you know they're millionaires or billionaires from them and stuff.

Diversity Committee but the FCC can, you know, empower others to start talking more about the incentives that we need from Congress, but more importantly, how we can incentivize the private sector to invest in minority women-owned small businesses again. Thank you.

1 MR. PERRY: Very good. Very good. 2 MR. KIZART: Right. Mr. Alarcon? Yes, I would share, and 3 MR. ALARCON: 4 I think we probably would all agree, that it's a 5 very difficult process to get started. I think it's critical to understand that that first 6 station is so important. That first station is 7 8 everything. 9 And I remember going to the closing of that first station and it was \$3 million back in 10 11 I had never heard of that amount of money 1983. 12 in my life. 13 And I remember I went to the closing 14 and the seller of the station, a lovely man, Herb 15 Salzman, came over to me. He was incensed at the 16 closing and I was ecstatic at the closing. 17 And he said, Raul, I don't understand. 18 You've signed personally, your father signed 19 personally, your wife has signed personally, your

(Laughter.)

outside in the hallway.

mother signed personally. I saw your little dog

20

21

MR. ALARCON: They have basically taken collateral of everything. But you know what, Sherman, and ladies and gentlemen? I sit here today and it's 35 years later and as angry as he was that day, I was overjoyed because I was getting my first shot at this business.

And I am grateful to Mr. Salzman. I am grateful to the original banker who I spent to six months trying to convince to give me the loan.

And we did sign everything. We signed everything away and then we found that we went on the air, we were in Spanish and so we had the difficulty of convincing advertisers that a Spanish station would do well in some of these large markets.

But you know what? I have no regrets and again I will state again, and I think everyone here will agree with me, that ownership is absolutely key.

And you've got to, you have to be willing to sacrifice particularly for that first

performance and then it's on you. It's on you, you know? But that first station is critical and for me, it was a tremendous challenge but here I am and I am as enthusiastic today as I was that first day despite his anger.

MS. GOUGHERTY: Thank you.

Mr. Shaw?

MR. SHAW: Thank you and I will try,
I'll say amen to everything that's been said.
I'll try to take a different approach.

One of the things I discovered early on, and I'm going to skip part of this to just get right to the point, is that when you are purchasing a radio station, the first person you got to sell is yourself. That's the first person.

So when I went to my finance officer at the bank to get a half million dollars was my first loan, he said to me after the discussion about the license and collateral and all those things they talked about, he said to me, my bank

board won't go for that. You don't have any collateral. They won't go for that.

And I replied and I said to him, I know your board won't go -- you won't go for it, but I want to talk to your board because I can convince your board and they'll go for it.

He said, what do you mean? I said, just give me an appointment with your bank board. And when I walked into the bank board that morning after greeting all the members of the bank board, the first thing I said to them, I come to offer you gentlemen an opportunity to go in business with me today.

And they all looked around at me like I was about half crazy. And I said, well, I want to start up a radio station and it's going to be a Christian station. I'm going to connect with the faith-based community.

First of all, the faith-based community has more money land in your bank this morning than anybody else in town, because all of these churches take up their money on Sunday and

bring it to you on Monday and just deposit it. 1 2 I said, that's the community that I want to serve and these are the people who buy 3 4 all of the products and services that everybody 5 else buys. But more than that, these are the people who are reliable and who will pay for the 6 7 products and services that they buy. 8 MR. PERRY: If I may, may I make one 9 statement here? 10 MS. GOUGHERTY: Sure. 11 It made me think of this. MR. PERRY: This may be surprising to everybody in this room. 12 When you talk to a loan officer today, he or she, 13 14 they are basically sales people. The key instruments in banking today, 15 16 large or small, is your credit division. They make the final decision in most cases. 17 18 leave them, that credit company picks it up. 19 They will make that decision. 20 MR. KIZART: Thank you there, Mr. 21 Perry. Out time is getting short but before we go to questions, one of the things I think is 22

important to talk about are that we talk, and I ask you to be brief in terms of your responses, is our barriers.

Exactly a year ago, Ms. Alarcon and I were in the room and Chairman Pai came to make the announcement about the FCC's support of the Fair Play Charter Doctrine.

What this simply was, was the ad industry coming to the broadcast community saying that we realize there are problems with multibillion dollar media buying agencies doing business with African-American and Hispanic broadcasters.

MR. ALARCON: Yes.

MR. KIZART: And we are launching this Fair Play Charter to help level the playing field. So I'd like, and unfortunately we have to have a certain amount of time to do your responses but I want to say, talk about the, you know, air revenue is the lifeblood of broadcast businesses.

And where marketers and their agencies

are making decisions not to include AfricanAmerican and Hispanic broadcasting in their buys,
is something that, it's an area where the FCC saw
that it could have a role in getting the broad -getting the ad industry to do the right thing.

So I want each of you, we'll start with you Mr. Alarcon, to talk about that but if I would, given our time, limit your responses.

MR. ALARCON: Yes. I'll be quick.

It's particularly galling, Sherman, when you have the ratings as we were fortunate enough to have in New York City. I won't mention the department store.

But we had a number -- top five radio station in New York City and there was a department store in New York City that wouldn't advertise with minority media because they felt that if they advertised with minority media their shoplifting would go up.

And that was told to me and that was galling, you know. And I think a lot of progress has been made but as you know, Sherman, there's

still some of that going on, despite your having the ratings and your having the purchasing power and you can prove it.

You can prove it in graphs, you can prove it in analysis and in documentation, and you still today, 2019, you still come across that problem.

MR. ROBERTS: Urban dictate.

MR. ALARCON: Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: And let me, because we've talked about this before, Sherman. You know, one of our properties, Jackson,
Mississippi's a perfect example now.

We're ranked number three, you know, by ratings in the market, but we hire locally.

We do more community events than any of the other stations in the market. Everyone loves us. The people come by the stations all the time.

And the challenge is trying to get, you know, like you said on the Fair Play, to get the advertisers to understand that we're local partners.

We hire locally, we do business locally, we're out in the community locally. We listen to the diverse voices of our community and make sure that it gets out, and that because of that, we should be rewarded not penalized. And in this case we're being penalized.

MR. KIZART: Mr. Perry, I think you wanted to weigh in on that?

MR. PERRY: I agree with that but I'd like to go a little further. I agree with everything everybody's said.

But here's another issue. No one in this industry in my opinion, and I've been in it over 20 years, will address it. When you go out and purchase a mortgage do they give you 60 days, 90 days, 120 days?

Well, this is what small broadcasters are faced with today. Agencies will go up to a 150 days before they're paid. And then after they're paid, before they pay you, it's 15 plus two percent that they take from you. So but people are afraid to address that.

	MR. KIZART: Yes, sir.
2	MR. PERRY: And I have put this before
3	a lot of people but they will not address it.
4	MR. KIZART: Well, I'm glad you raise
5	that issue as one of those barriers, Mr. Perry,
6	because you're right. That is a major issue
7	with, because we're a small, particularly small
8	to mid-size businesses. You can't afford to wait
9	150 days to get your money. I think we
10	MS. GOUGHERTY: We need to go to the
11	floor. We need to take some questions from the
12	floor.
13	MR. KIZART: Sure.
14	MS. GOUGHERTY: We've got a bunch of
15	more questions here but we need to give the
16	people a chance to ask their questions.
17	So is there anybody? Please. Good
18	morning.
19	MR. NINO: Jose Nino. The problem you
20	had 30 years ago, maybe 40 years ago, access to
21	capital.
22	MR. PERRY: Yes, sir.

1 MR. NINO: My experience with what we 2 do in media, what we do in towers, biggest problem today we have as minorities is access to 3 4 capital. 5 Absolutely. PARTICIPANT: I mean that hasn't 6 MR. NINO: Okay. changed at all. What do you recommend to those 7 8 small businesses today, mostly diverse, of course 9 that's us, that's why we're here, the extra avenues that are out there because even when we 10 11 go to Goldman Sachs or we go to your bank, we 12 find with that, still got that problem, what are 13 your assets? 14 PARTICIPANT: Yes. 15 MR. NINO: You know, and if I'm 16 building a tower, my tower's costing me \$400,000, 17 I already put up a \$150,000 for that tower, you 18 If I have an agreement with a radio 19 station --20 MR. KIZART: Sir, in the interest of 21 time the question, please?

MR. NINO: Yes, well, that's the

question. What are you doing and how do we do that? What's your recommendations?

MR. KIZART: I'll go, Steve. Okay.

Real simple because we're in other businesses

too. We find that even with our great success,

we've taken a couple of companies public, we

still have to explain the nature of the tower

business, the broadcasting business, what are the

revenue streams they're coming from today.

It's tough. So I always call it almost bootstrapping, where you go to friends, family members, and the community, to get that signal turned on or get that tower built because then the flood gates open in terms of everybody wants to give you money at that point.

And there are groups today, and we've had Panel discussions here at the FCC and MMTC and NABOB and other places, where there are funds that are being created that are investing in folks interested in telecommunications.

So I would suggest that you look at any of those websites and go after some of the

folks who now are saying that they're, that this 1 2 is a good business to be invested in as an investoral partner. 3 4 MR. WASHINGTON: I'm on another panel 5 but I couldn't wait to ask this question in terms of, Mr. Perry, what you said about the time that 6 7 it takes for these advertisers to pay us. 8 MR. PERRY: Yes, sir. 9 MR. WASHINGTON: I'm in the business 10 of television and we have that same problem which I don't think the FCC can really answer. 11 12 MR. PERRY: No, they can't. 13 MR. WASHINGTON: That's up to us and 14 I would just like to see how we can connect all of us in minority media to address the 15 16 advertising agency industry, get meetings from 17 them on that question of how long they are taking 18 We can't operate on that premise -to pay us. 19 MR. PERRY: No way, no way. 20 impossible. MR. WASHINGTON: -- of how they may 21 pay other networks. So the question I would have 22

1	is how can we collect, come together instead of
2	not anybody addressing it, because the
3	advertising is what makes, is the engine that
4	drives all of our media.
5	MR. PERRY: That's right. That's
6	correct.
7	MR. WASHINGTON: Right? So how can we
8	come together and address that issue because
9	that's where the engine is that drives us all.
LO	MR. ALARCON: But that's really a
L1	question for Sherman and Sherman isn't
L 2	(Simultaneous speaking.)
L3	MR. ALARCON: Sherman's the man
L 4	carrying that flag.
L5	MR. SHAW: And then too Sherman, I
L6	just briefly want to say where these gentlemen do
L 7	get the Agency business, I got to first get some
L8	from to pay me. And so
L9	MR. KIZART: And I'll address it in
20	this way because this is, and it's interesting
21	for me. It's been interesting me how important
22	this issue is becoming for the survival of a

minority media.

And two days ago, two of the largest global media industries -- global media entities merged. Spark Foundry and what used to be Blue 449. It's probably about a \$60 billion merger. The CEO have said -- but and part of the issue with that particular media buying industry is what we're talking about right now.

MR. KIZART: Where's it's been made.

And so yes, we'll come together to address that

now here and of course we'll be talking about,

we'll look to get some of those people to come

join us later on in the year at NABOB at the fall

conference.

MR. PERRY: I think he brought a very good point, the gentlemen in television. Again, it hasn't been discussed and why not? There is something behind that.

MR. KIZART: Yes, sir.

MR. PERRY: You can see the foreboding fear. If you approach them, you lose the business. There's something behind that and no

one's willing to discuss that. 1 2 MR. KIZART: Well, the conversation, and I'll, just let me take the last question. 3 4 The conversation has to take place, Mr. Perry and 5 others, in the C-Suite. That's right. 6 PARTICIPANT: It's with the CEO and 7 MR. KIZART: 8 I had a conversation with Chesley Maddox-CFOs. 9 Dorsey a couple days ago and she said, Sherman, I know the game that they're playing. 10 Those CFOs 11 are pushing those payments much further down 12 because there's a financial benefit in them 13 paying us later. 14 So, sir, our time is up and I want to make sure you get an opportunity to ask your 15 question. 16 17 MS. GOUGHERTY: Yes, please. 18 MR. KIZART: You only go less than a 19 minute. 20 MR. MAPP: You got it. So I'm 21 DeForest Mapp with Side Street Media. Should the conversation shift from commercial lending to 22

1 venture capital? 2 And I think some of the, you know, I'm sure you all can speak to the, when you walk in, 3 4 you know, the game is about mitigating risk. Absolutely, that's 5 PARTICIPANT: right. 6 7 MR. MAPP: So should the conversation shift to venture capital? And I'd love to hear 8 9 your time. 10 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, real fast. was the point I was making earlier today. 11 12 three or four different entities are looking and 13 have had workshops, like NABOB will have one this 14 fall, that will bring venture capitalists. There are funds being created. 15 It's not enough of 16 them, but there are funds being created. 17 MR. KIZART: Steven, we have to stop 18 there, I apologize. 19 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, okay. 20 MR. PERRY: I have to say this just 21 for a second. Because we need to address this.

I was trying to grow my company, and I'll make it

1 brief. 2 MR. KIZART: Yes, sir. MR. PERRY: And I won't call the 3 company's name but they sent in a team of three 4 5 to visit me for two days. They left, took all my numbers and they left and went back to San 6 7 Francisco and they said they'd give me a call to 8 find the results. 9 And they called me back in about a week and they said we've got good news, we have 10 11 bad news. I think I wanted 25 million at the 12 time. 13 And I said, well look, give me the good news first. He said, well, we've approved 14 15 I said, well, what's the bad news? 16 17 PARTICIPANT: We own the company. 18 (Laughter.) 19 MR. PERRY: Wait, I haven't even got 20 the bad news, he says, no, you need 50. 21 loan you 50 million. I said, no, you want my

company. Three to five years you're gone.

1	PARTICIPANT: That's the problem,
2	right. That's the danger.
3	MS. GOUGHERTY: Thank you so much.
4	MR. KIZART: Ladies and Gentlemen,
5	join me in thanking this robust Panel.
6	MS. GOUGHERTY: Yes, thank you.
7	(Applause.)
8	(Pause.)
9	MR. RIVERA: The second panel,
10	panelists would you please come up? Can you take
11	these conversations out into the hall, please, so
12	we can get started?
13	Hey, Mark? Mark? Thank you.
14	Please take these conversations into
15	the hall so we can get started with the second
16	panel.
17	Is Melody here, do you know?
18	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Yes.
19	MR. RIVERA: Oh, okay. Melody?
20	Yes. Yes. Here comes Melody. Okay. All right.
21	Okay, Michelle? Sara?
22	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Yes?

MR. RIVERA: Go for it.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Good morning,
everyone. Good morning. I'm Sara Lomax-Reese
and I'm the President and CEO and owner of WURD
Radio in Philadelphia, the only black talk radio
station in Pennsylvania and in Philadelphia.

We are about to embark on the conversation, success stories from today's radio entrepreneurs. And we have an amazing panel assembled.

My co-moderator is Michelle Duke and Michelle is the Vice President of the NAB

Education Foundation. In addition to managing the day-to-day operations at NAB's Education Foundation, she manages NABEF's Broadcast Leadership Training Program.

So I'm going to introduce our amazing panel of five experts. Right in the middle is Melody Spann Cooper and she is Chairwoman of Midway Broadcasting Corporation, an African-American owned media boutique whose properties include radio and interactive platforms.

The two properties combine to provide niche programming to Chicago's thriving Black and Hispanic populations. Welcome, Melody.

And to Melody's right, my left or your left, is Chesley Maddox-Dorsey. She is currently the CEO of A Wonder Media Company and the American Urban Radio Networks.

Previously, she served as Chief
Executive Officer, President, and COO of Access.1
Communications which has 21 radio stations in New
York, Texas, and Louisiana, as well as an NBC
affiliated TV station in New Jersey. Welcome,
Chesley.

MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: Thank you.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: And next to Chesley is Dr. Nimisha Shukla and she is the CEO of WWRL AM in New York City. WWRL AM programs for the large South-Asian community located throughout the Tri-State area. And Dr. Shukla is a pediatric physician who also owns 7 Days Pediatrics located in Edison, New Jersey. So welcome.

1 And next to Melody is Pedro Zamora. 2 And Mr. Zamora is the President of Zamora Broadcasting Systems, Inc. He currently owns 3 4 radio stations in Des Moines, Iowa, Birmingham, 5 Alabama, and his latest acquisition was purchased 6 in his hometown of Detroit, Michigan, with the 7 support of MMTC. 8 And all the way on the end is Mark 9 He is a partner with the firm Fletcher, Lipp. Heald, and Hildreth. 10 11 He has had an extensive career in the 12 communications field and previously worked at the 13 FCC as an attorney and branch chief in the 14 broadcast bureau. So I'm going to turn it over to my co-15 16 moderator, Michelle Duke, to ask the first 17 question. 18 MS. DUKE: Thank you, Sara. And thanks to all the panelists for joining us here 19 20 today. 21 We'd like to start the panel off by 22 asking if you could give us just a brief

description of your company or what you do in the 1 2 industry outside of what Sara's already shared. Why don't we start 3 MS. LOMAX-REESE: with Dr. Shukla? 4 5 DR. SHUKLA: Well, my journey is very different because I'm a practicing pediatrician. 6 And many people have asked me what am I doing in 7 this industry. 8 9 So I acquired WWRL three years ago. And because I'm in this country now for almost 27 10 11 years and I do not see that there was, there was 12 no voice for our community. The community didn't 13 have any voice and we are about three percent in 14 New York Metropolitan area. In the country, 15 Asians are about 5.8 percent. 16 But still very few even here in this 17 room we can see that there are hardly any people 18 of Asian descent. So I didn't find any voice for 19 my community and that's how I decided that I 20 would try and create this voice and that's how I 21 am in this radio business.

Excellent.

MS. LOMAX-REESE:

1 Chesley Dorsey, Maddox-Dorsey? 2 MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: Okay. Thank you. So happy to be here. So I'm the CEO of A Wonder 3 Media Company which actually has two companies, 4 5 AURN, American Urban Radio Networks, and the related company, Superadio. 6 We just did this formation of A Wonder 7 8 Media Company in 2018 so I'm actually a newly 9 formed company, black and female owned and an 10 entrepreneur once again. 11 The role's not new to me. We have, I'm managing two companies that we had previously 12 under our control in Access.1. So let me just 13 14 tell you a little bit about the companies. American Urban Radio Networks is the 15 number one Nielsen rated national audio network. 16 We reach about 25 million listeners each week. 17 18 We offer the advertising community 19 four programming networks as well as marketing 20 and digital branding opportunities. And again, 21 it's a national platform. Thank you.

Thank you.

MS. DUKE:

1	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent.
2	MS. DUKE: Melody, please?
3	MS. SPANN COOPER: Well, thank you for
4	allowing me this forum today. My name is Melody
5	Spann Cooper. I am a second generation owner of
6	Chicago's only African-American owned and
7	operated radio stations.
8	Our flagship station, WVON, is an
9	urban heritage station that our company acquired.
10	My dad actually, back when WVON went on the air
11	in 1963, he was the second disc jockey hired and
12	he went on to own the company that he worked for.
13	VON went on the air in 1963 and it's
14	call letters stood for The Voice of the Negro and
15	for the past 55 years that's just what we've
16	built in Chicago.
17	We have been the voice of a very
18	vibrant community that supports us and has gone
19	the long haul with us through generations.
20	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent.
21	MS. SPANN COOPER: Thank you.
22	MR. ZAMORA: Hi, my name is Pedro

Zamora. Thanks for inviting me. And I for many years was on the other side negotiating with radio because I've now been a concert promoter for almost 30 years. And but what I found in the smaller markets, that it was lack of, you know, radio broadcasting presence and that's when I started acquiring in the small markets.

That was one of the reasons in the,
because we didn't have, you know, that much
presence in radio. And in my community I
concentrated on the regional concerts, regional
Mexican concerts but, you know, later in the last
ten years we concentrated on all Latin concerts.

And, you know, I deal with the, in the bigger cities, you know, there's no reason for me to get into the radio business. It's too competitive, first of all, and the prices, you know, to operate are, or the cost to operate a radio station is insane.

But I prefer to deal with my good friend, Chesley, for some years in the past. But basically, I got into the radio business just to

have a radio in some of these markets. that's the reason I was, I got into the radio business and I'm very, very proud of, you know, these three radio stations that we have.

> MS. LOMAX-REESE: Thank you so much.

Mr. Lipp?

MR. LIPP: Yes, I'm happy to be here I'm an attorney representing broadcast as well. stations. I started out at the FCC back in 1974, 45 years ago.

It was a time when AM stations were dominant and there weren't that many FM stations. And my role at the FCC was to distribute, allocate and distribute those FM stations and we created thousands of them during the 70s and 80s.

And when I left the FCC in the late 80s, joined some firms, my specialty became helping radio stations improve better class, change of city license, just try to work with engineers and find creative ways to help them improve their facilities.

> MS. DUKE: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent. Thank you all for giving us some background on your work.

So we have about five questions so we're going to target the questions to specific panelists. But if you want to add in, if you want to jump in and contribute to the conversation, please feel free.

So the first question is, or my first question is for Pedro Zamora and Dr. Shukla. But so in general we know that the radio industry is confronting a lot of disruption with digital technology and social media and just all media's really going through a lot of transformation and disruption.

And so I want to know what you all are doing to remain vibrant and to confront these different challenges that are facing the radio world, and particularly when we look at the immigrant population.

Apparently radio is considered the number one medium of choice for first and second

generation immigrants for whom English is not their first language.

So if this is correct, like what are you guys doing in particular to really capitalize on this and to stay relevant?

So I'll start with you, Dr. Shukla.

DR. SHUKLA: What you said is very true. That for first generation immigrants, radio is very important for them.

I see it because of two reasons. One is music because music is, when you come from your country you are used to one type of music and music is soothing, music has healing power, and radio provides you music. That's number one reason why first generation immigrants like music.

And second is they want to stay in touch with all news back home. It's not that they have cut their umbilical cord. So radio really is very vibrant. It's the only medium which is local and live so it becomes like, the DJs on radio become like their friends whom they

have been missing.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent.

DR. SHUKLA: Yes.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Pedro Zamora?

MR. ZAMORA: Thank you. Radio in the, especially in the market that I am in, the regional Mexican, the audience is very loyal and we realize that the Hispanic people in general are the ones that listen to radio the most in all the markets because it brings their culture into, you know, through the music and through the news and through, you know, all kinds of things that you can put on the radio that, you know, that become very attached to the radio broadcasting, you know, in Spanish.

So and then you give them incentives like, you know, I'm in the business of entertainment so we give away tickets, we raffle tickets on the air and all those things so that you keep the audience captive and they become very loyal to your broadcasting.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: I don't know if --

1	oh, I'm sorry, Dr. Shukla?
2	DR. SHUKLA: I just wanted to add one
3	more point.
4	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Sure.
5	DR. SHUKLA: That for first generation
6	immigrants through radio actually, what my
7	version is that they actually get Americanized.
8	They understand this culture and they
9	assimilate into culture, because sometimes you
10	come from one country and you are here and you
11	probably know everything about back home but you
12	may not know what's happening around you.
13	And radio actually it is not just for
14	connection to their country of origin but it is
15	very important for them to assimilate into this
16	country and radio does a very good job for first
17	generation immigrants.
18	And second generation, we can give
19	them and hope to give them some heritage of
20	wherever they have come.
21	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent.
22	I don't know, Chesley, or Melody, if

you want to add anything about how you stay relevant and vibrant in this new market?

MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: Yes. I just want to make a real quick comment. So the way we stay relevant on our syndicated product is we use immigrants to produce shows.

So we just started a show with a U.S. born, but Egyptian heritage person who was the number one afternoon show host in New York,

Nessa, and she brings that whole cultural experience which makes her really, really relevant.

In addition, we have a show that we do from -- with Chubb Rock who is Jamaican. And, you know, we can't do radio, we can't do current radio without the experience of immigrants.

I had the experience of working with Pedro on regional Mexican and it was just an amazing experience. When it got to South Asian, I couldn't do anything so we sold the station to Dr. Shukla. She's carrying it from this tower.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent,

excellent. Go ahead, Melody.

MS. SPANN COOPER: I wanted to just add how important this conversation is and how it's really reflective of who we are in America.

We're talking about immigrants and I'm a little, I think we need to remove that and just look at it in this big vibrant country we're in.

You know, we do urban talk in Chicago as you do in Philadelphia.

We serve our community just like Pedro is serving his community, just like doc is serving her community. The important is, is that these voices are reflective of communities and we tell our own unique stories.

We are hyperlocal in these areas that we are and we're reaching an audience that often times agencies don't see, and don't count, and don't find as relevant, and what they call non-efficient. And we are so relevant to our communities.

But what we're doing, I'll give you a perfect example. There's a mayoral race in

Chicago but there's two black women running for mayor. This has never happened.

On VON that's been the topic of our conversation and we saw it coming, right? So that's what makes us important. It doesn't take away from the general market mediums, but we are the voice of our communities that we serve and that is so important in the American landscape, that we are heard and recognized.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Absolutely.

Mark, you've been able to work with a number of broadcasters. Do you have any examples that you want to share on this?

MR. LIPP: Yes. Yes, thank you. I wanted to share one of my clients' success stories.

This is a gentleman that came over from China and Taiwan in the 60s. He had a background in radio actually being a DJ in Taiwan playing American music. Couldn't do that in China of course but he went to Taiwan to do it.

He settled in New York in the 70s and

had no money at all. But his idea was, you know, in New York City you can't buy a radio station. They're very expensive. But he convinced one of the larger FM stations there to lease its subcarrier channel.

That was back then, something people did. Muzak was a subcarrier channel. And he borrowed money to obtain receivers specifically tuned to the one frequency that his subcarrier was on and distributed over a quarter of million receivers to the Chinese community in New York.

Became well known in the Chinese community that way and actually, back then was the only Chinese language station that they had. They had no other Chinese language station to listen to.

In 1982, actually an FM station became available in New York City when someone lost it, lost their license.

He applied along with 20 other people and it took ten years before he was able to actually win the hearing but he actually got it

and operated it for several years and found out 1 2 it was valuable. This was a time when stations in large markets were very valuable. 3 4 After a few years of operating it, he 5 sold it for over a \$100 million. 6 MS. LOMAX-REESE: Wow. 7 MR. LIPP: And with the proceeds of --MS. LOMAX-REESE: 8 That's a success 9 story. With the proceeds of that 10 MR. LIPP: sale, what he did was he acquired over 50 AM 11 12 stations in all the large markets across the 13 country so he could provide minority programming 14 to different groups. Vietnamese, Korean, Chinese, and a lot of Spanish stations as well and he's 15 16 been doing that ever since. 17 MS. LOMAX-REESE: Well, I want to jump 18 to the AM question, since you brought it up. 19 we know what AM radio is a platform that really is home to about two thirds of minority 20 21 broadcasters and it's threatened with extinction.

I know I have an AM. So this question

is very relevant. So we know that AM radio
listening is steadily declining. We know that
cars like newer cars are even questioning whether
they're going to include AM in cars and that's
really where people listen to radio more and
more, at least terrestrial radio.

So what do you see as the steps AM radio can take to compete within the framework of this new media context? And maybe I'll start with -- who wants to take that on? I'm going to look at Melody.

MS. SPANN COOPER: Okay.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: I'm going to ask you and then we'll go to Mark.

MS. SPANN COOPER: Right. So I think that is something that we would love to take up with the FCC to explore different ways for us to be able to do something new with AM and I know that they're working on that. And I think hearing from some of us who are in the weeds on this would be so helpful.

But I also think that this is a time

when we talk about extinction. That is something that entrepreneurs cannot accept, is extinction, right?

So I think it's an opportunity for us to get innovative. I think about the platforms. You can hear VON and a lot of my listeners listen to VON not on the radio. It is through iHeart digital.

I was sitting in, I got three Echos for Christmas, Alexa. I said, Alexa, you know, can I hear WVON in Chicago? There it was. So we're at your fingertips sounding much better than we sound on terrestrial radio.

And so between that and the fact that we have got to stop looking at radio as if it's listenership and look at it as it is audience and you look at the entire audience of it.

When you talk about radio, it's the original social media. So we can be just as much engaged, if not more, with digital, with social media, with every other platform that everyone else is using. It's available to us.

And as long as they continue to encode 1 2 so that listenership is recognized as well, I don't think that it's, you know, I don't worry 3 4 about AM ever totally going away. 5 And then what I always do also, Sara, The big owners is I look at the big owners. 6 7 aren't trying to get rid of their AM stations. 8 Of course they pay more for their FM stations. 9 But if they're holding onto them, it's something 10 there. You don't see this broad sale of AM, 11 12 somebody just trying to give their signal away. 13 No one wants to give away anything that has the 14 power to influence the public. There's value 15 there. 16 And so I don't worry about it from 17 that standpoint but I would like to continue, the 18 FCC to continue to explore the options that will 19 make us more competitive in the marketplace. 20 MS. LOMAX-REESE: Does anybody else 21 want to jump in? Mark? 22 MR. LIPP: Yes. Sara, as you

mentioned, most minority broadcasters are in AM stations. And there are some things the FCC can do. I know the Chairman's been very active in assisting AM and he has allowed AM stations to acquire FM translators which there's several thousand out there now with AM.

But in the larger markets where your target audience often is, where the immigrant communities are, where the minority groups are, it's very difficult to acquire a station there to, there's certainly no opportunities for new stations there.

So the challenge is for minority broadcasters wanting to reach their target audience, how do they do it? And FM translators are sort of in very short supply in the major markets in the central cities.

So suggestions I have are much more extensive than what the FCC has done so far. For example, right now if you're outside of an urban area and your target audience is in the urban area, the FCC actually has roadblocks that are

created. You can't move into an urban area.

It's called the Rural Radio Policy. That should be lifted for AM.

There's a proposal now before the FCC to decrease the protection for the large high powered Class A stations, Class A AM.

And if they adopt that proposal and the lower powered and daytime-only AM stations are able to take advantage of it, again, it may involve some available spectrum in a larger market where their target audience is but this Rural Radio Policy prohibits them from moving in. That's an area that the FCC could certainly help AM.

Then something I've been working on with a lot of groups since 2007 following many pleadings, is all the vacant space in VHF band, in particular Channel 5 and Channel 6.

I counted very recently that there's only 26 full-service stations on Channel 6 and 50 full time stations on Channel 5 nationwide.

There's some low powered TVs but less than a

hundred on either of those channels. 1 2 That's a lot of space available for AM to move there if they want to. This is what a 3 lot of other countries have done. 4 European 5 countries, Canada, Mexico, Brazil, Argentina, they've all switched their AMs to FM. 6 7 And of course there could be a 8 transition period where you remain on your AM 9 while you're moving into the VHF band as an FM station. 10 11 There's also room for translators 12 where there's interference existing or for new translators. I know Dr. Shukla has a FM 13 14 translator interference problem that she's dealt 15 with before. 16 MS. LOMAX-REESE: So Mark, I'm going 17 to have to like put a pin in it a second. 18 MR. LIPP: Okay. 19 MS. LOMAX-REESE: Just because we're 20 running out of time. And you could do a seminar 21 on this for like a day --

MR. LIPP: I could.

So your expertise is 1 MS. LOMAX-REESE: 2 really, really valued. 3 MR. LIPP: Thank you. 4 MS. LOMAX-REESE: But go ahead, 5 Michelle. I'll go ahead and shift 6 MS. DUKE: 7 gears a little bit for the panel and focus on the 8 three women and ask if you can give us some 9 insights on your journey as a woman in radio broadcasting. 10 11 Let's start with you, Dr. Shukla. 12 DR. SHUKLA: My journey is very short, 13 actually. It's only three years. But as woman 14 in any business, I have come to believe that it's, woman have already, you know, this century 15 16 the educational empowerment is already done, 17 right, because right now there are more women 18 coming out with the degrees than men for almost 19 now, last seven years. 20 So what happens in business is we have 21 to conquer an area called emotional empowerment.

Unless you have that emotional empowerment which

really no men, no country, no religion, no community can give you, it is only women themselves have to develop from within and that is the only way you can be successful in any business.

Because that's what is there at every step. If you are not empowered, if you have not done that for yourself you can't really, you know, break that ceiling.

MS. DUKE: Thank you.

Chesley?

MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: So I agree with everything Dr. Shukla says, as always. But in addition to that I think we really need good mentors and I've been, I was really fortunate in my career to have good mentors.

I was a banker working in broadcasting, lending to the broadcasting industry. And I had John Oxendine come along and say you really need to be an entrepreneur, give me some money and let's do it.

And that, I couldn't say no. He's

very convincing so I couldn't say no to him. Hi
John. But it was an excellent mentor.

I had Norman Wang, Robert Weiss, Fred Joseph, all men, all mentors because I came out of the finance side of things, and then of course the unforgettable Sidney Small who mentored me into the start of the company that we're in right now.

So I think you have to have that emotional courage. You have to have a little vulnerability, but you have to have people who are willing to reach back and show you the way and that's critical from my standpoint.

MS. DUKE: Well said. Thank you.

MS. SPANN COOPER: So I got in the business as I said earlier, I'm a second generation owner. I started when I was 15 years old as a punishment because I was hanging out with a crowd that my parents didn't want me to so my father started making me go to work every day. Child labor laws at 15, the W2, something's wrong with that.

Anyway, I became president of my parent's, my dad's company when I was 30. he actually owned it with another broadcaster and they stayed in court. They didn't like each other very well. So at 30 I was president of the company.

Went to court 1999 as part of the settlement. The judge, the female judge said, you know, sell the controlling interest to Melody.

And did some creative financing that they talked about earlier with my home and put, you know, some of my personal stuff involved and I bought the controlling interest and with my dad's 36 percent and my 14, we are the majority owners of the company.

My journey in terms of being at the table and as being in female radio remains, media remains a very male dominant industry, but I've been in it long enough that when I'm at the table I don't see that.

Washington DC

I see a sharing of ideas, I see input

and value in everybody around. But I will say 1 2 when I sit back and watch panels and I do look for, are there women present? You know, to know 3 how far we've come. 4 5 I've had many mentors in this 6 business, both on air and off. Cathy Hughes has 7 been a tremendous mentor to me on my journey. 8 She started at an AM station similar to the one 9 that we had in Chicago. And I think that there's 10 just great camaraderie. 11 I mean, I can be mentored by Steve Roberts and by Mr. Perry who was here just as 12 13 much as I can by Chesley and Sara. We've all got 14 stories to tell and they're all helpful because we just, you know, all here to kind of survive. 15 16 So that's kind of been my journey. 17 MS. DUKE: Thanks for that. I know 18 that each of you is paying it forward based on 19 what I've read about all of you. 20 MS. LOMAX-REESE: And I just want to 21 add that Melody and me and --22 MS. SPANN COOPER: Karen.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: -- Karen Slade in LA are working as three black women owner-operators of radio stations to collaborate and share content and different kinds of things. So that's an example of woman power.

So I want to go back to this question of access to capital because that is clearly a theme. We heard it in the first panel which I thought it was really powerful to hear the stories of some of these pioneers. And access to capital is an ongoing obstacle and challenge I think for all radio owner operators.

And so I want to see if we could talk
a little bit about that and I want to start with
Pedro Zamora because in having radio stations
that are geared towards the Mexican population,
the immigrant population, did you find or do you
find there are additional challenges associated
with accessing capital based on your target
market?

MR. ZAMORA: The access to capital is very hard especially with people like myself that

work in small markets. When -- six years ago
when I was doing some tower construction, we
tried to get the, you know, financing for that
and it was very, very hard. I mean, it was going
to take, you know, easily over a year to see if I
could get financing.

So it's been a challenge for myself and especially for the small markets. And I don't, at that point I didn't own radio stations that were -- I only, you know, I had one radio station already but it was in a small market and I was leasing it out to somebody else and there was no income coming in.

So it was very hard and I decided to, you know, get a loan in another way to do that, to do the construction but through my other businesses.

But it's been very challenging and from what I hear from people that I know, it has been very challenging, you know, everywhere. So I don't know. I hope that one day there'll be better ways to get financing and they don't make

it as hard as it is today.

And I know that you have to, you know secure, banks have to secure their money but they make it too hard and especially for minorities that we own a few radio stations or that they want to buy their first radio station and they don't have history.

And they tell you, oh, it's a piece of paper which you own, but behind that piece of paper, it's a lot of work and agreement that costs a lot of money. The towers and all that.

I was very fortunate to buy two radio stations and were able to buy them at a cheaper price and then build towers, the one in Des

Moines and the one in Detroit. And that's how I got to build them cheaper and find the financing, the money, you know, through my other businesses.

But I don't know if I didn't have that time in the business for the entertainment and the venues that I would be able to own radio stations. And I am a firm believer that radio stations especially in this market that I am,

that are very important for our community. 1 2 But I just hope like, you know, the situation with the AMs, because I own one AM, 3 4 that improves, you know, how, you know, or the 5 FCC finds a way to give us more support or -- and the way, you know, people are perceiving the AM 6 7 stations. 8 But in the Latin industry it's just, 9 radio stations are a very important part of their 10 culture. 11 MS. LOMAX-REESE: So I think we have 12 time if one more person wants to address that 13 question around access to capital and then we're 14 going to go to questions. So if anybody in the audience has a 15 16 question you might want to queue it up now. 17 does anybody else want to speak to this issue of 18 access to capital? No? What? 19 MS. SPANN COOPER: I'll speak to it 20 because we keep speaking of it. 21 MS. LOMAX-REESE: MS. SPANN COOPER: And there's still 22

1	none of it.
2	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Yes. And it's
3	across all industries.
4	MS. SPANN COOPER: Yes, and
5	MS. LOMAX-REESE: It's not just
6	MS. SPANN COOPER: Yes.
7	MS. LOMAX-REESE: It's not just radio
8	or media.
9	MS. SPANN COOPER: Right. And we need
10	to, we've got to move this dialogue somewhere
11	further. Because everybody, and Mr. Shaw said
12	it. You end up loaning your own personal selves
13	to keep your business afloat.
14	Sarah, we talked about this last
15	night. I'm in the middle of a move that I
16	bootstrapped \$250,000 dollars. I can't go to a
17	bank and say, you know what, I want to move. Can
18	I have a line of credit, you know? So, we do what
19	we have to do to move these businesses forward.
20	But our conversation isn't any
21	different at this point than the guys at Cumulus
22	or iHeart. There is no access to capital in

industry anymore.

We might be doing better as hyperlocal businesses and smaller broadcasters than some of the big guys. So, when we figure this one out we'll get the \$64 million dollar question answered.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Well, I thought that what Mr. Perry said in terms of like overleveraging, and that is what will lead you down the path of losing your business altogether, was so powerful.

MS. SPANN COOPER: Yes.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: And a cautionary, and a really important note to take out of his --

MS. SPANN COOPER: And to add to that,

I'm a member of NABOB. We have lost members

because they were overleveraged when they wanted

to expand to grow through venture capital. We've

actually lost members because of this. So, this

access to capital is --

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Yes. And I'm fairly certain our radio station, my father purchased

1	WURD in 2003. And I'm almost certain that the
2	reason we're still in the game 15 years later is
3	because we have no debt. He was able, which is
4	really remarkable, was able to purchase it
5	outright. If we had debt service
6	MS. SPANN COOPER: Right.
7	MS. LOMAX-REESE: we'd be done.
8	MS. SPANN COOPER: Yes.
9	MS. LOMAX-REESE: We'd be done. So
LO	anyway, questions from the audience? We have
L1	more on our end. So, anybody have a question?
L2	MS. SPANN COOPER: I think we have
L3	someone right here.
L 4	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Yes.
L5	MR. HUNTINGTON: Yes. Good morning.
L6	My name is Patrick Huntington. I don't think the
L7	mic is on. But I can talk loud anyway.
L8	MS. LOMAX-REESE: It is.
L9	MR. HUNTINGTON: I run a grass roots
20	marketing agency out of New York. And I deal
21	with a lot of the radio stations there,
22	particularly the ones that are serving immigrant

communities.

So, my question is, in light of the importance of this community, as well as African-American communities, and the connection with AM stations, what are some of the challenges or opportunities in getting funding or advertising dollars from the government?

MS. DUKE: Who wants to take that one?

MS. SPANN COOPER: Who wants to take

that? I'm going to throw it to Chesley.

MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: And I'll catch it.

So the -- I think it was in January of 2019 there

was a report that the U.S. government was the

number one advertiser in radio. And that is

very, very interesting.

Because you wonder who, what agencies are those. And we deal with a couple of them.

But the question is for local advertising can that filter down to that level? So, for example, Center for Disease Control, all of their initiatives on health, on diabetes, and that sort of thing, which are really critical to the

communities that we serve.

We get some of those dollars, not enough. But we get some of those dollars. But can those dollars be pushed out through the local stations? And that's really an important thing.

I think it's going to take an initiative, a group initiative. In fact, this is one of the things that Jim Winston, under NABOB, has been able to be helpful in doing.

I think there needs to be a focused approach. And so, those dollars that are coming from our taxpayers' dollars, that are being redistributed through radio stations around the country, there needs to be a concerted effort to go and source those.

And then, you know, get advertising for local radio stations, stations that serve the community, from Dr. Shukla's station, all the way through.

Like there's more, every, all the minority stations really need to be benefitting from that. And they do not. The larger

companies have people who do that. That's part 1 2 of their, you know, the lobbyist efforts. MS. LOMAX-REESE: 3 Sure. MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: And we don't have 4 that. 5 6 MS. LOMAX-REESE: Does anyone else on the panel want to address that question? 7 8 Well, I would add to what Chesley said. I know 9 for us, the government spends enormous amounts of money on education outreach --10 11 MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: That's right. 12 MS. LOMAX-REESE: -- and mobilization. 13 And I know at the state level we've been able to 14 unlock some access to state government dollars 15 that are trying to reach the African-American 16 community in Pennsylvania. So, I think that 17 point of Government advertising is huge. 18 have another question? 19 Hi. MR. RIVERA: Good morning. Thank 20 you for the conference today. I have a question. 21 How do you keep yourself abreast regarding the new media on the internet, like Spotify, iHeart 22

Radio, and the podcasting system?

Because I've seen that the numbers are increasing on those new way of streaming music and news. And I see the numbers decreasing on the radio. So, how do you manage to keep your audience captivated?

MR. ZAMORA: I think if you broadcast a unique format. I'm very fortunate to have that, a very unique, you know, format of broadcasting with the, especially to the original Mexican music.

If you give them a good combination of music and news and, you know, a good environment on the air, and you connect your DJs with the audience, I think, you know, we're able to, you know, keep the audience captive, and to keep afloat of, you know, of everything.

People have, you know, obviously the option of, you know, listening to everything on the phone. But if you give them something special for them, they going to keep there.

I mean, at least that's our case in

1 the, in our market. That, you know, you have to 2 always keep on top of that with the DJs, and the music, and news, and everything. 3 4 MS. LOMAX-REESE: So, I can -- I'm 5 Go ahead, Don. Dr. Shukla. sorry. Actually, you know, you 6 DR. SHUKLA: were saying about this disruption. So, in fact, 7 I invite that. And I say, more disruption, 8 9 Because this is actually an excellent please. opportunity for radio. Because this has expanded 10 11 our distribution. And not only we are just local 12 But we can be heard all over the earth. 13 So, in fact, some of the programming that we air in New York, I know back home in 14 India they listen to it. Because they are 15 16 curious to see what Indian-Americans are doing in 17 New York. 18 So, in fact, I say that that is an 19 advantage. Facebook is an advantage, because now 20 we can do live streaming. So, it is like 21 competing with television. 22 So, if there is an internet stream, I

know a lot of Indian-Americans are from 1 2 information technology. And we have that stream on the website. So, when they're calling, they 3 are listening to it. So, in fact, I say that 4 5 let's have more disruption. MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent. 6 7 MS. SPANN COOPER: I just wanted to 8 add something that we just started. We partnered 9 with a station that is a conservative station. This just started yesterday, WIND and WVON. 10 They're conservative. We're African-American 11 12 talk. 13 We took my morning host and their 14 afternoon host, and they started this segment called Uncomfortable Conversations. And they are 15 dealing with issues of race --16 17 MS. LOMAX-REESE: Oh, I'm going to 18 steal that. 19 MS. SPANN COOPER: But where did Yes. 20 we put it, Sarah? We started it on the radio. 21 But to hear the conversation you've got to go to

the podcast. That's why my push is that. We get

1	out here, and we sell listenership. But look at
2	your audience share.
3	Because you can activate, matter of
4	fact, you can do it probably better than most in
5	terms of interfacing with podcasting, and
6	digital, and all these different platforms.
7	This is a real opportunity for us in
8	radio. And I just was looking at my phone, and
9	the guys said, it went through the roof. And so,
10	that is
11	MS. LOMAX-REESE: That's fantastic.
12	MS. SPANN COOPER: Yes. A way to be
13	creative.
14	MS. LOMAX-REESE: So, we're just about
15	out of oh
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: Everyone, I think
18	that's exactly right. The podcasting, the
19	streaming is all really helpful, you know. For
20	example, we used to say that content was king.
21	MS. SPANN COOPER: Right.
22	MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: And now it

actually isn't content that's king, it's the consumer. The consumer wants to digest content when they want it, and where they want it.

and all these disruptions have given us the ability to fulfil that, as opposed to you could only listen to April Ryan for one minute a day. You can now listen to April Ryan, our White House correspondent, on a podcast. And so, it really has given consumers many more choices.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: The only thing I would push back on just a little bit, you know, is it also makes it much more complicated and challenging to manage all of these different platforms.

MS. SPANN COOPER: Oh, yes.

MS. LOMAX-REESE: Because, you know, you're not just dealing with radio. You're now having to deal with social media, Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, you know.

And to be relevant, and to, it is like 24/7, minute by minute. I mean, the expectations are just, you need to move. So, it's great on,

1	because of all of the things you guys said.
2	MS. SPANN COOPER: Yes.
3	MS. LOMAX-REESE: But from an operator
4	standpoint, it's a lot.
5	MS. SPANN COOPER: Yes.
6	MS. LOMAX-REESE: It's hard. So,
7	coming back to the access to capital. You need
8	more resources to really build what you're doing,
9	and do it a really great level.
10	MS. DUKE: I think in the interest of
11	time we will close out with one brief remark from
12	each of you for future broadcasters in the room
13	who would like some of your advice. Why don't we
14	start with you, Pedro, please.
15	MR. ZAMORA: Well, I just want to say
16	that, you know, don't be afraid to get into radio
17	business the way it is right now. But it's
18	something unique. And that it always be there.
19	And if you provide good content, and connect with
20	your community, it always be there.
21	MS. DUKE: Thank you. Melody.
22	MS. SPANN COOPER: He's 110 percent

And just remember, you're not just 1 correct. 2 dealing with listeners, you're dealing with audience share. And you get them however you 3 4 can, through every medium that you can, 5 understanding that radio is your original social media. 6 7 MS. DUKE: Thank you. Chesley. 8 MS. MADDOX-DORSEY: I think it's all 9 about connections. We essentially buy, you know, 10 provide connections, consumers with empowering 11 information, entertainment, brands to influential 12 consumers, and influencers with audiences. 13 it doesn't get better than that as a business. 14 Thank you. Dr. Shukla. MS. DUKE: 15 I actually want to say DR. SHUKLA: 16 that, more to FCC, that they should provide 17 access on SiriusXM for minority and diversity. 18 And second is to ask Nielsen to do rating for 19 different ethnic radio stations. Because without 20 that we cannot get any advertising. 21 MS. DUKE: And, Mark, we'll end with

you.

1	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Mark?
2	MR. LIPP: Yes. Thank you. I would
3	encourage people who want to get into the
4	business, or existing people who have stations,
5	to look at creative ways with their engineers, or
6	their assistants who reach out to them. There's
7	improvements that can be made, and make their
8	stations much more viable.
9	MS. LOMAX-REESE: Excellent. Thank
10	you all, Dr. Shukla, Chesley Maddox Dorsey,
11	Melody Spann Cooper, Pedro Zamora, and Mark Lipp.
12	Thank you so much
13	MS. DUKE: Thank you.
14	MS. LOMAX-REESE: for your time and
15	your great information.
16	(Pause.)
17	MR. RIVERA: Hey, Nicol, go ahead and
18	get it started. Yes.
19	DR. TURNER-LEE: I'm going to ask
20	everyone to gather as we begin the next panel.
21	(Pause.)
22	DR. TURNER-LEE: Perfect. Well,

welcome back to the conversation. My name is Dr. Nicol Turner-Lee. I'm a Fellow with the Center for Technology Innovation at the Brookings Institution.

I'm excited to actually be moderating this panel, because I have a long career working with the Multicultural Media Telecom and Internet Council, where we focused a lot on working and empowering broadcasters of color. So, excited to be back down memory lane with all of you.

Now, I want to introduce the panelists before we jump into the conversation, which is around success stories from today's television entrepreneurs. We heard it from radio. And now we're going to switch towards television and broadcast.

The panelists that are with us today are DuJuan McCoy, who is the President and CEO of Bayou City Broadcasting. Let's give him a hand. Don Jackson, who's the Chairman and CEO of Central City Productions. Jeff Chang, who's the President and CEO of Chang Media Group. And

Maxwell Agha, who is the CEO of ICN-FV Network, 1 2 or television, TV. Perfect. All right. So that we can actually 3 4 maximize the time and also have time for Q&A, I'd 5 like to just jump right in and get an idea of what each of your companies do. 6 7 So, if you can entertain for just 8 three minutes, give us an idea of what your work, 9 who you are, how you got started, and what your company particularly caters to in terms of 10 11 audience. Okay. Maxwell, why don't I start with 12 you. 13 MR. AGHA: Well, we have a television 14 station in San Diego, California. I'm a lawyer by trade. And it's been a long journey. 15 16 business is not easy. 17 I started with an analog spectrum. 18 And the main objective is just to serve the 19 community. I didn't know what I was getting myself involved in. 20 21 And it was very challenging, because 22 having a spectrum at the border requires a great

deal, you know. Specifically your engineering 1 2 has to satisfy the domestic requirements. So, I was at TV, radio, cell phone. 3 4 The countries, the border countries, specifically 5 Mexico and Canada have treaties with the United So in essence, it was a long journey. 6 States. And basically today we have a TV 7 8 station with very good coverage of the city, and 9 a signal penetration into Mexico as well. And I also have a cable transmission agreement as well. 10 And also have network affiliations. 11 12 Specifically, on the ethnic side I 13 have Spanish, Nuestro Vision, which is a company 14 that is a part of America Movil, one of Carlos Slim's company. 15 16 And basically they have come in, given 17 us a contract or made a great deal of offers as 18 far as promotion, and some of the other things 19 that goes with the network affiliation. And also 20 I have Bounce TV as well. 21 DR. TURNER-LEE: Okay. I have two other digital 22 MR. AGHA:

spectrums that I lease out to content providers. So, basically I survive many obstacles as a low power. And most of the spectrums in our market are controlled by major companies.

Truly, the only small business minority owned company in our market. And done a great deal of things as far as engineering. Because when you have a spectrum the key is to have a good engineering that gives you a good market coverage.

And then, with a good market coverage you can have contents from major networks. in essence, with good contents then that will give you the revenue stream, which is sales. So, basically the three elements.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Perfect. So, thank you, Maxwell. And then for the others, again, just what does your company do. And if you want to say a little bit about your programming that would be great. And if you can keep it concise, so we can get to some more.

> MR. CHANG: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

DR. TURNER-LEE: Jeff.

MR. CHANG: My company is Chang Media Group. For the past 20 years we've owned and operated full power as well as low power stations. Market sector is Los Angeles, San Francisco. Also had some stations in Hawaii and Colorado.

I might add our Colorado station was acquired through AMTC. And I actually co-owned that one with my wife, who is actually a Latina. She's Mexican-American. So, you don't get more diverse than that. And I'm not sure what we can do about that, I mean. But it's pretty diverse.

As far as our programming, we've aired a variety of programming. The Colorado stations, with NBC's COZI television. We've done some diverse programming on some of our other stations, such as Los Angeles, especially with the ability to multicast. And we're proud of that. And we've also recently got into radio.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Great. Don Jackson.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. Thank you. Next

year, in 2020, we will be celebrating 50 years in the television industry. We've taken a different route. We're not a network yet. We're in broadcast syndication.

That means we produce programming. We sell it to advertisers. And we syndicate it, getting distribution on television stations around the country.

It's an exciting opportunity. We own all of our content of some 5,000 hours of broadcast programming that we produce, from parades, to quiz shows, to our staple Stellar Gospel Music Awards, which is now, we're producing at the end of this month. It will be our 34th annual.

So, it's a different route that we've taken. We love what we do. We own the programming. And we're looking now to go into our own network on the diginet side of it, called Stellar TV. And I'll tell you more about that later.

NP: Perfect. And Dujuan.

1	MR. MCCOY: That would be Dujuan.
2	DR. TURNER-LEE: Dujuan.
3	MR. MCCOY: Yes.
4	DR. TURNER-LEE: I'm from New York.
5	MR. MCCOY: That's okay, though.
6	DR. TURNER-LEE: I'm sorry. My
7	accent.
8	MR. MCCOY: Best way to remember it is
9	de-one and only.
LO	DR. TURNER-LEE: De one and only.
L1	MR. MCCOY: No. Just joking. So, my
L 2	company's Bayou City Broadcasting. And I
L3	currently own five broadcast TV stations, two Fox
L 4	affiliates, a CBS and a Fox in Evansville,
L5	Indiana, a NBC, Fox, and my network in Lafayette,
L6	Louisiana.
L 7	DR. TURNER-LEE: Perfect. All right.
L8	So, let's get kicking into these questions. All
L9	right. What I think I've heard everybody sort of
20	talk about is there's this conversation around
21	spectrum assets that have come into the
22	television space.

Multi-cultural programming is 1 2 particularly keen. Using syndicated models. I think what we're actually looking at with your 3 4 company, Dujuan, and only, is around just having 5 different affiliate connections, it sounds like. What I'd like to know is the financing 6 7 around these models, and what that looks like. Ι 8 mean, what has been the business model that you 9 all as professionals in this space have had to employ, particularly given the likelihood of 10 streaming now, right. It's very different. 11 12 And so, just sort of throw that out 13 there. Jeff, you want to talk about what's been 14 your experience with getting financing, and funding your network? 15 16 MR. CHANG: Well, before we got into 17 station acquisition my company was in media 18 representation. This was back in the '90s. This 19 was before there was a lot of JSA and LMAs, and 20 such. 21 So, we represented a lot of independent television stations. And we were 22

able to take that cash flow and acquire our first station. And I think it was really important to note this.

Because we came from the angle, since we already had the contacts with the advertising community, that we could hit the ground running with every station that we purchased.

We could go to the advertising community say, if this is something we can do, if this is the programming we can offer, is this something you can support? And right off the bat there was established cash flow.

In some cases with agencies, fortunately, that pay in advance. That's usually with the right response. But that was our basic model to this day.

We don't buy stations thinking, this would be great in this market. Especially, I've seen a lot of people go into Hawaii with that model. I'm going to go to Hawaiian Islands. I'm going to own a station. This will be great.

And then they come back to the

mainland. I go, what happened? They go, I hated it. That happens a lot. They go into like a really nice locale, and they realize it's not what they expected.

So, the key I think with anybody here,
I think I'm going a little off topic is, make
sure that you have that base of the advertising
revenue in line. Because, I mean, that's
ultimately, even if you get lending, they're
going to want to see that cash flow there.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Dujuan, I was going to, I was actually going to have you jump in too.

MR. MCCOY: So for me, when, I've gone around with purchases on two separate occasions.

My first occasion was back in '07, right before the recession. And that was a different type of purchase than I did three years ago, okay.

Because the industry has changed.

Back in '07, which was important, I used an SBA loan to get my first stations, okay.

There were, these stations that I bought were Fox affiliates in small market west Texas. San

Angelo and Abilene were the markets.

And they were on the market for sale for ten years. Nobody bought them. They were on the market for sale for ten years, and nobody was interested in them, okay. I got lucky. I heard about the stations, and they fit my eye. They fit what I was good at, okay.

So, at the time, that was '07, you guys know what was about to happen in '08, okay. So, we had made the announcement, hey, we're going to purchase these television stations.

Then, the bottom of the finance world fell out.

So, what I relied upon when I bought the stations was seller financing to start.

Seller financing to start, until I can get somebody to give me senior debt. Because nobody was loaning money in '08. Remember that? Until I could get somebody to give me senior debt to take my seller out.

So, I used a \$3 million dollar seller note until I got a small regional bank in west

Texas to give me a SBA backed loan to take my

seller out, okay. So, that was back in '07 and '08.

Fast forward, I'm now divesting those television stations in order to move to larger markets. Different type of transaction. I wanted to get into the larger market arena on big market affiliates, ABCs, NBCs, CBSs, and Fox, and what not.

So, what I had to do is find bigger money. I had to go to larger private equity people to get that money, okay. So, I had a friend introduce me to Bain Capital. How many people have heard of Bain Capital?

DR. TURNER-LEE: Right.

MR. MCCOY: Big money bank. They provide private equity. They do mezzanine financing. They do senior financing. You name it, they have it. But I ended up doing a \$40 million, or a \$30 million transaction in Evansville, Indiana, which was totally different than the SBA loan, okay.

Then two years ago I used that same

financing arm to do a \$46 million dollar purchase 1 2 in Lafayette, okay. So, the financing is different, depending on the transaction. 3 The larger the transaction I had, the 4 5 more big money that I needed. The smaller the transaction I had, I could use a regional bank. 6 7 So, hopefully that help you --8 DR. TURNER-LEE: No. That's actually 9 -- Maxwell, I want to switch to you. Because you 10 sort of in the same space. It sounds like you sort of built upon a Government resource of the 11 SBA loan, and then used that capital and equity 12 13 to keep building and building. What's been your 14 experience as well? I mean, is it a similar pathway that people should be looking at? 15 16 MR. AGHA: Well, not really. I think 17 part of it is just that if you have a license, 18 before thinking about financing, just to make 19 sure that you do the good engineering. 20 DR. TURNER-LEE: Okay. 21 MR. AGHA: True engineering, maybe low cost engineering, maybe you'll be able to have a 22

station where bigger networks can come in. And that's what I did, an association with the networks, to be able to create the revenue stream.

But in the beginning I had to be able to self-finance to do the engineering work. And the middle of that I had to survive the two auctions, the FCC. And those auctions somewhat was disruptive, as far as our business.

And basically I did some of the engineering that I did, as far as being able to have a channel, which in one case I was able to, during the analog to digital transition I had a channel 30 year for San Diego.

The Commission approved it. They approved it. And then sent to Mexico for coordination. While I was going through the coordination process, then there was a national security issue at the border.

Because I, there was a big Mexican broadcaster who had a digital allocation that was wiping out all of the national security

communication infrastructure, the Border Patrol, 1 2 Los Angeles Police Department, and as well as the 3 U.S. Navy. 4 And basically, in that process I was 5 asked by the Commission to sign off my license to solve that problem. Then, so, in essence those 6 type of moves was very disruptive. And in 7 essence, trying to go to a bank or to an 8 9 However, we're in a very good market. investor. 10 DR. TURNER-LEE: Great. 11 Most of the licenses that MR. AGHA: 12 the market are controlled by a few companies. 13 And the last auction some of the people in my 14 position was lucky to get Class A, did very well. They did very well as far as the auction process. 15 16 I have someone who never had much on the air. 17 DR. TURNER-LEE: Right, right. 18 MR. AGHA: It was paid off by the 19 Government about, over close to \$40 million. 20 DR. TURNER-LEE: And in that club, 21 excuse me for interrupting just a second. losing a little bit in time. But I think what 22

I'm hearing though, it's probably not an either 1 2 or, but it sounds like --And this is the purpose of this 3 4 workshop today, that you have different 5 scenarios, right. One which was an equity based. But I appreciate what you're saying. Because the 6 engineering does matter, particularly in your 7 8 ability to expand into different markets. 9 And this disruptive digital shift has 10 actually affected television as we know it today, 11 which leads me to Don. I want to jump to you on 12 the conversation, in terms of the model that you 13 use around syndication. Is the funding model the 14 same as we're hearing from your colleagues, when it comes to syndication? Or have you had --15 16 MR. JACKSON: No. 17 DR. TURNER-LEE: -- to deploy 18 something else? 19 MR. JACKSON: No. It's totally 20 different. You can imagine going to a financier 21 or a bank, and talking about a program concept

that you have, and explaining that to them.

almost impossible for them to get your vision.

And to say, yes, and understand all the process
of how they're going to get a return on that.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Right.

MR. JACKSON: So, we went with the traditional model when we started and, that Jeff mentioned. And that's, the advertisers who, it's where all of our funding really comes from. And at that time when I started there were not a lot of African-American programming out there.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Right.

MR. JACKSON: And we did everything from parades, to documentaries. But we first went to major advertisers, the McDonald's, the automotive, the insurance companies, and created relationships where we got commitments before we would even produce those shows.

So, that worked quite well for us.

And that's where we get all of our financing. We don't initiate programming until we are fully funded. So, that is our model, the track record that we've built up. And it's been successful.

So, that framework worked for us. 1 2 Because for us in syndication, we have, it's a triangle approach, where you have your basic base 3 4 of that triangle as your programming. 5 And if you're doing programming that, there's not a lot out there in African-American 6 7 original programming. That's original 8 programming. And then, with the advertising that 9 you can get is that one leg of that triangle. Get those solid commitments. 10 11 And then, you're able to produce it. 12 And then, you get distribution in terms of stations who need that kind of programming. 13 that's how we built our model. And that's the 14 15 model we use today. 16 DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes. And that's a 17 different model, right, than I think what we're 18 used to, which brings me though -- We're in a 19 different marketplace. So, Dujuan actually talked about that. 20 21 This is a video streaming marketplace

that is very disruptive on television.

very disruptive on advertising models that have 1 2 traditionally been tethered to broadcast. I'd like to hear from, Jeff, let's 3 4 start with you. You know, how are you dealing 5 with the video competition that's actually existing, when it comes to online, all right? 6 7 Because that has to be something that keeps you 8 up at night, particularly as, you know, 9 everything's migrating there. 10 MR. CHANG: Actually, I'm very happy. 11 DR. TURNER-LEE: Oh, good. I'll tell you why I'm very 12 MR. CHANG: 13 I'm usually a happy guy, too. happy. 14 DR. TURNER-LEE: Okay. MR. CHANG: One of the things is, 15 16 you've got to remember, we're in the broadcasting 17 business. But we're also in the entertainment 18 business. 19 And if you can tell me, I've got a new 20 way to reach people, and suddenly I don't have to 21 rent a tower, pay X dollars to my utility bill, 22 and I can reach that many more people, sign me

And that's, I'm actually particularly 1 2 excited about the world of streaming. Now, there's a lot of lawyer stuff we 3 4 have to go through. You know that. I'm an 5 attorney, you're an attorney. You're going to, you know, contracts this thick that you have to 6 7 work through. But we work through it. 8 And, you know, I think we're past that 9 trepidation. If you go back to the history of television, you know, the movie theaters and the 10 movie studios were very, very hesitant at 11 12 television. They wound up embracing it. 13 I think we're embracing a lot faster. 14 And that's a good thing. And, you know, in a way it's very difficult, because there's now millions 15 16 of channels. But you just have to be nimble. 17 You have to be smart about it. 18 DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes. Dujuan, what 19 about you in terms of, again, these affiliates, 20 and making sure your content also reaches the 21 internet?

MR. MCCOY: So, I'm generally not

concerned about streaming. The reason being is,
you guys remember the internet revolution, when
you had all these search engines that were
popping up, and so forth. Then all of a sudden
you only have one or two available.

I think we're going through that phase

I think we're going through that phase right now where there's a lot of streaming services. And when the rubber meets the road, not all of them will make it, okay.

And for me, being a broadcaster whose buying strategy is to buy network affiliates, big four network affiliates --

DR. TURNER-LEE: Right.

MR. MCCOY: I think I'm in the driver's seat. Why? Because big four affiliates usually have really, really good programming.

And the markets that I buy, if I don't have a news, I put a news on, okay.

And most people don't know this, but for broadcast TV stations most people think the highest rate of product week in and week out is a Voice, or American Idol, or CSI, something like

1	that. It's not. You know what it is?
2	DR. TURNER-LEE: The news.
3	MR. MCCOY: Local news.
4	DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes.
5	MR. MCCOY: It's local news, okay.
6	And that's local product that I produce. That's
7	the relationship between a network affiliate and
8	a local broadcaster that forces, and helps local
9	broadcasts strive. And those two really work
LO	together in a positive way. Last I checked all
L1	the big product, exclusive of the news, is
L 2	football
L3	DR. TURNER-LEE: Right.
L 4	MR. MCCOY: football, and football.
L5	DR. TURNER-LEE: And football.
L6	MR. MCCOY: Okay. Secondly, it would
L7	be basketball. It would be sporting events,
L8	okay. And most networks understand that for them
L9	to survive going forward, they need those
20	sporting events. And as long as I have one of
21	those networks, I'm happy.
22	DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes, yes. Don, the

same thing with you. Because that's interesting.

And I actually appreciate you actually saying
that it's about local news.

In the age where the internet has sort of galvanized, I think, these local markets, I love to hear that with broadcasters. That's something that has been our original purpose.

And something that I was near and dear to when I was at MMTC.

But, Don, same thing. Does the syndicated model work over the internet?

Particularly since you're doing a lot of local programming as well.

MR. JACKSON: Well, it does. What we are finding is a way to monetize it. So, in terms of what we approach these advertisers with. So, right now for us, it's added value that we provide to them to keep our rates where they are.

So, we're happy with it. Some of the advertisers, however, are trying to get syndicators and programmers like us to take away some of the linear television for a digital

offering that's lower than what we're getting, which we're examining right now, to make sure we don't lose the base of advertising support on that level for television.

So, I think, you know, we're excited about it, to have our product on more platforms. But at the same time, from a business point of view, we haven't been able to monetize it to make it as a single offering, streaming to an advertiser.

DR. TURNER-LEE: That's right. Now,
I want to, I know we're going to open it up to
questions soon. But we did get a great question
from the internet that I actually want to drive
to real quick.

For any of you that are actually up here, the question from the internet is, the FCC's minority tax certificate program proved to be a success for broadcast owners of color. How can we urge the FCC to reinstate this program?

Actually, it's Congress that actually

had to do it, right. Not correcting our very

well informed, and well engaged person. 1 2 there room to reinstate the tax certificate I'd like to hear from anybody who's had 3 program? 4 experience with that in the past. 5 So, I haven't had MR. MCCOY: 6 experience with it. But I would say that to 7 convince Congress, or the FCC, or whoever you 8 have to convince, you need to have the folks that 9 will be doing the divesting, doing the pitch, as 10 opposed to the people doing the buying --11 DR. TURNER-LEE: Right. 12 MR. MCCOY: -- doing the pitch. 13 Because they control it. They control the 14 properties that minorities want to buy. So, the 15 bigger the group, the more stations you have when 16 you want to divest. 17 That's, you shouldn't be the one 18 pitching the Government to say, hey, here's why a

That's, you shouldn't be the one pitching the Government to say, hey, here's why a minority tax certificate will work. Because you're going to benefit from it.

MR. JACKSON: Now, I would just say, years ago, a lot of years ago, I had a joint

19

20

21

venture with Tribune Broadcasting. And that was really the first instance of a incubator model, where it permitted my programs, the Stellar Awards, also Soul Train, Soul Train Music Awards, to get off the ground.

But what it did for Tribune was to,
the credits that they got to go in and say,
here's what we're doing in African-American
programming. And it permitted us to get off the
ground, to get these programs on their stations.

And I'm hopeful that the FCC here will play a role in making an incubator model to find advantages for broadcasters to help encourage African-American ownership, as they've done with radio.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Right.

MR. JACKSON: And that's what I'm hopeful will come out. Some action will come forward. But that initial relationship with Tribune enabled us to really launch our programs on their stations.

It gave Tribune a return on waivers

they got, credits they got. And it worked quite 1 2 well in creating for us programming wise, stations to have our programming air on. 3 4 And it can do the same today. So, I'm 5 hopeful that those tax credits can be an 6 incentive for broadcasters to get involved with 7 minority ownership. 8 DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes. No. I think, 9 Jeff, you want to jump in on that? 10 MR. CHANG: Yes. I have to agree. In fact, our most recent transaction from a few 11 years ago with Gray (phonetic), I mean, there was 12 no tax certificate involved here, was, it was the 13 14 foresight of a major established company. 15 And Hilton and Kevin were very, very 16 helpful. And it goes beyond just that. 17 were helpful after the fact. You have to add 18 In Colorado they said, okay, here's what's that. 19 Their engineering was very helpful going on. 20 with us. 21 So, I think from the standpoint of the 22 established broadcaster, to have that insight,

that's where it's going to be, and then it will 1 2 lead into their certificate. DR. TURNER-LEE: Right. Max, do you 3 4 want to say --5 I think, as far as the TV MR. AGHA: market there's a lot more consolidation than 6 7 companies divest in. So, and that's because of the importance of the TV spectrum. 8 9 And I know there's many streaming 10 services, online streaming. But without a 11 spectrum you can't stream. And most of the 12 spectrums are controlled by major companies. 13 DR. TURNER-LEE: Right. 14 MR. AGHA: And there's a way that the Commission maybe, as far as, there are some 15 16 licenses, maybe low power licenses. But if 17 you're a low power license, if the Commission's 18 able to grant you a Class A, or the Class A 19 window will ever open, you've valuable. You're a serious broadcaster. 20 And there are opportunities in low 21 But without Class A it's a lot more 22

difficult. But with Class A, I think that's 1 2 something that the Commission should be able to do, even though the Commission think they don't 3 4 have the power, because the window has closed. But with low power licenses in some of 5 the major market, as a minority broadcaster, or a 6 woman-owned company, you can be a major player. 7 Now, before we 8 DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes. 9 go to Q&A in our audience today I want to kind of summarize where we're at. And also, again, thank 10 11 you all for being here. It's such an interesting

I just want to say, on the tax
certificate program, I think there's still work
being done on that. And I think again, Congress
has to ultimately authorize it.

But at the end of the day I think the intent of it is very much around how we actually increase voices, which goes back to a lot of what you all talked about.

You know, minority owned stations create minority owned news. And that's very

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

conversation.

important in content. And that's important, I think, to the livelihood of this country.

I think the second thing I'm hearing about is an incubator program for television. I want to shout out to my colleagues that sit on the Working Group of the Advisory. We've already done one around radio.

I think we're charged at the FCC to actually come up with these kind of ideas. So, thank you in advance for projects that we're going to continue to work on, to ensure that you have the right resources.

I think the third thing we're hearing, and again, think about your questions. Yes, spectrum matters. And as we see much more content go online it is going to be imperative.

And I think that's the point that Maxwell keeps bringing up. Programming matters. But infrastructure matters.

And we've got to keep being sensitive, particularly for minority owned broadcasters that are trying to, television owners that are trying

to keep expanding their models.

So, for the purpose of this workshop

I do need to ask, what advice would you give to

the individuals that are sitting here today that

want to take a similar path?

They want to become owners, right.

They want to sort of walk in the footsteps that you have. Why don't you each kind of give me a one minute plug of what you would say to everybody.

And then, for those of you that have questions, please have them ready. If you don't, I have more. So, let's go ahead. What advice would you give to those folks?

MR. MCCOY: So, for me, and we didn't talk about it much. But what got me into ownership was, one, my drive. I've been in the business since I was 21. And when I was 23 I realized I wanted to own, okay.

But what really got me over the edge was the class or course at the NAB, called the National Association of Broadcasters Leadership

Training Program. And I'm sure a lot of you have already heard about it. And that's Diane's baby.

But that was the crescendo that kicked me over to actually go out and buy TV stations.

So, my recommendation, if you're interested in owning, sign up for the class.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Don.

MR. JACKSON: You know, I'm on the programming side. And I got excited about the opportunity to do and produce programming that we could own, that, in terms of African-American targeted, faith based programming, entertainment programming. And I would just advise, that's a different route. There are not many African-American syndicators out there right now --

DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes.

MR. JACKSON: -- in terms of companies. We're one of the largest. But I would suggest and advise, get relationships with major advertisers first, who are looking for content, who spend billions of dollars where they

can make a difference on supporting your 1 2 programming, where you don't have to pay the money back to them, other than give them air time 3 4 on, when it airs 5 The ratings are there. It's really a 6 simplified model. But it's a traditional model 7 of how soap operas got on the air, programming 8 got on the air. They, these advertisers 9 supported that. So, right now, with the programming 10 that we have in our library, we are launching our 11 12 own network now as a diginet. And, because it's 13 easier for us to go, to use our programming and 14 our relationships with advertisers to make that 15 happen. So, that's the --16 But I would just advise to, even if, 17 you know, you're going that route, all of us 18 should be looking to have a real relationship --19 DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes. 20 MR. JACKSON: -- with the engine that 21 supports all of our shows. 22 DR. TURNER-LEE: No. But you're

telling the truth. I've watched many of the 1 2 syndicated and the televised parades that you have, with my children and friends. 3 And guess what? Minority communities, 4 5 or multi-cultural communities are a trillion 6 dollar marketplace when it comes to advertising 7 dollars. So, I think that should not be 8 discounted, that there's space for that. 9 In addition to the fact, for programmers of color that want to do mainstream 10 11 content you still have a large consumer base 12 that's actually willing to do advertising. 13 advice. 14 MR. CHANG: A couple of things. I'11 15 make it brief. I hope to make it brief. 16 started off in a small station. I was actually 17 on air. And I told the GM at the time I wanted 18 to own a station. 19 DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes, yes. 20 MR. CHANG: And he said, well, get off 21 the air and go into sales. Now, nobody wants to

be Herb Tarlek, okay. No one wants to go into

And that was the smartest advice he gave me.

And, because you've got to know the sales side.

You've got to know the advertising side.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes, right.

MR. CHANG: And then he also told me, while I was on that side, he said, now, learn everything you can at this station. It was a tiny station. I got, I add it also sold in auction for like \$85 million dollars. So, not a tiny station.

DR. TURNER-LEE:

MR. CHANG: Yes. But I learned everything I could there, and especially engineering. I think you'll agree with this.

Because, you know, there's a lot of good

That's you saying --

engineers out there.

And there's some engineers that also want to spend every dime you have. I think you guys will agree. I don't need a desk that costs \$5,000 dollars, that holds monitors, when I can go to Walmart. So, that's one thing.

Because you're going to be on your own. You're going to be writing checks. You don't want to be writing checks to some major equipment purchases that depreciate on day two.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes.

MR. CHANG: And the second thing, this is actually a little more, I'll get to it here.

I read this in an article last week. It said, ego can help you build great things. Too much ego can destroy everything.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Yes. Yes.

MR. CHANG: So, we are in a business with a lot of profile, if you will. And I've worked at stations where, you know, just because there was a bunch of Emmys sitting in the GM's office, that wasn't going to make payroll, you know.

So, not to, you know, discredit winning an Emmy. That is, you know, that's well received. But at the same time you need to focus on the dollars and cents of the business. When it comes down it, you're still running a

1 business. 2 It's great to be able to serve the But once you serve that public, you need 3 public. to transform that into cash flow. 4 Because 5 without that you can't pay the tower rate. That's right. 6 DR. TURNER-LEE: Ι think for all of you aspiring entrepreneurs and 7 8 owners, Uncle Jeff just gave you really good 9 advice. You might want to listen to him. 10 MR. CHANG: I mean, it's not rich 11 Uncle Jeff. So --12 DR. TURNER-LEE: Right. All right. 13 Maxwell, what bit of advice would you give to 14 people? And then we'll go to question and 15 answer. 16 MR. AGHA: Well, I concur with Jeff 17 that it's a business ultimately. Because when I 18 started getting involved, because I was in the 19 legal business, a lot of people think that this 20 was a hobby for me. 21 So, in essence, the people that was

working for me, and working for me, some of them

had that mentality. So, I had to learn, you know. So, I have to, we have a tower. I have to know where the tower is, and also the capabilities of the station, as far as the signal coverage.

And also, stay informed as far as the changes in the technology, and the laws, the auction laws. The people who knew about those laws, they knew, I mean, I know a company that before the auction they invested about, close to \$400 million. In two years about the business has \$7 billion, on buying stations like our stations.

So, basically, staying informed, knowing what you are doing, understanding the technology. And another, about staying informed. The TV's now moving into a new standard, you know, called ATS 3.0.

I know it has not been discussed here this morning. But it's going to be, it's a revolution that likely will, as a broadcaster you're just not just a broadcaster. But you're

providing the platforms to lease out your 1 2 spectrum, to do other things, including possible internet transmission. 3 4 DR. TURNER-LEE: Right, right, right. 5 And those are great questions. Let's move I'd ask that you follow with a 6 over now to Q&A. 7 question. And we like your commentary. But we 8 don't have a lot of time for anybody that's 9 asking questions. And if you have somebody that it's 10 directed to, just let them know. And then they 11 12 can answer in a concise way, so we can take as 13 many as possible. All right. Let's go to first 14 question. Fantastic. 15 MR. MAPP: Thank you. So. 16 this --17 DR. TURNER-LEE: Say your name too. 18 Just say your name, so we know who's talking. 19 Absolutely. So, this is to MR. MAPP: 20 the entire Board. My name is DeForest Mapp, with 21 Side Street Media. So, my question is, what is a likely pathway to original content, and not just 22

one offs, like a documentary, or anything like that?

And I guess along with that, I mean, how long can your venture last with, you know, doing like Martin reruns, until, you know, like, at what point do -- And I enjoy Martin, right.

But at one point must you pivot into original programming? Well, let's say a series, for example?

DR. TURNER-LEE: Don, you want to take that one?

MR. JACKSON: Well, that's a very good question. I think you'd be surprised at the viewership of programs that have been out there for a long time. But you have to get to a point where you can get into original programming.

And I might add that you own. I think that's a key. So that you can have some stake in the future of that programming, repurposing it, licensing it to others. And that, the only way you can do that.

Because with our 34 years of the

Stellar Awards, we can repurpose all of what
we've done with performances, into five or ten
years of shows that you will think are new. But,
and then also weeklies.

We're doing more weeklies now of original programming. But you have to go into that arena. Because Martin, an episode of Martin right now, and there's one major African-American network who own all of that, they pay over \$100,000 dollars per episode to re-air Martin, which is an exclusive arrangement that they have. So, the other stations cannot air Martin.

But it's I think a key to getting into producing Monday through Friday programming series that are out there. And again, approaching advertisers with what they are interested in doing, can get you the dollars to do that. A different route, other than just running specials on, or documentaries on shows.

DR. TURNER-LEE: Right. Great question. Let me go first to this gentleman with the glasses. And then we'll go to the gentleman

with the blazer. Okay.

MR. TAYLOE: Thank you. Monty Tayloe, Communications Daily. I was wondering if you guys, and this is to the whole panel, think that joint sales agreements, sharing agreements like that, are a good way to increase television ownership diversity?

Chairman Pai has pitched them that
way. And that's kind of how the incubator
programs work. But I notice everybody here keeps
bringing up the minority tax credit, which isn't
in place right now.

And the FCC somewhat rejected the Advisory Committee's suggestion for the minority tax credits. So, do you think JSAs, SSAs, and that kind of thing are a good way to increase ownership diversity in television?

MR. MCCOY: So, yes, but with a caveat. I think there has to be an end game, there has to be an end date to JSAs. I don't think they should be perpetual.

So, if you do one, there has to be

1 some type of finality set in the onset of the 2 agreement for it to work. But if not, they become JSAs and SSAs forever, which is, defeats 3 4 the purpose of growing minority broadcasters into 5 ownership. Anybody else? 6 MR. TAYLOE: Anybody else? 7 DR. TURNER-LEE: Okay. 8 Anybody else want to answer? Okay. Thank you. 9 MR. TAYLOE: Thank you. 10 DR. TURNER-LEE: All right. gentleman in the blazer. 11 12 MR. CANTU: Hi. I'm Charles Cantu 13 with Reset Digital. And I support a lot of the 14 broadcasters here in programmatic advertising. My question is a little bit different. 15 16 You talked a lot about local news. 17 And I think local news is very important to our 18 democracy. I think our voices are important to 19 our democracy. And I think there's a thing in 20 the industry we hear a lot about is, fraud and 21 deep fakes that are coming along.

Are you doing anything?

22

Is the

government doing anything? Are you working with 1 2 the government to get funding to stave off deep fakes and inoculate fraud in the industry? 3 4 MR. MCCOY: No, we're not. 5 MR. JACKSON: No. We're not on the programming side. 6 7 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 8 DR. TURNER-LEE: Max? Not? 9 MR. AGHA: No. No. 10 DR. TURNER-LEE: Not? Okay. I did have a comment that just came through. I'll read 11 12 the comment, and then see if any of you would like to respond. And if there is another 13 14 question, we have time for one question. If not, we'll wrap up with the panelists. 15 16 The FCC cannot technically reinstate 17 the tax certificate program. But they can assist 18 in providing statistics, facts, and figures, and 19 anecdotal information that support the need for 20 reinstatement. 21 The FCC could also undertake the 22 Aderant studies, which many of you know about, to

support a minority tax certificate, and not a new entrant of small business tax credit.

I guess with that commentary of what could actually be done, do we have enough information and data about your experiences on record, to be able to, you know, at least on the policy side, push that? What do you need from us as policy makers? And I'll sort of wrap up with that.

MR. JACKSON: Well, I think, you know, we need the advocacy. Like the NAB, they're in support of an incubator program. It doesn't maybe, it doesn't have to be I think a waiver or a tax credit itself.

But bringing that to the fore, we did this with Nielsen, who were not giving out African-American ratings to the advertising agency.

And it wasn't anything that we could force them on. But just bringing the folks important media, and black advertising agencies together to correct that is what made Nielsen

responsive to creating those ratings.

So here, I think the incubator program, you know, just the advocacy of getting behind it for broadcasters to see opportunities that can benefit them, will go a long way.

And having sessions like this, and maybe modeling something around what you've already done, the FCC has done for the radio part of it I think would go a long way.

And if further actions come down the pike -- I know when Tribune did their incubator program with me it was not any specific waivers they got. But they were after more stations.

They had radio, and also newspapers. And they didn't want to divest any of that. it went a long way down the road keeping those properties as well.

So, that's what I would hope would come out of here, that would, I know encourage more African-American media, and especially in television ownership out there.

> Anybody else? DR. TURNER-LEE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. AGHA: Well, I think we should not overlook the opportunities that minorities can have as far as low power station. But I think the Commission should also be able to find a way, if you are a station, and you're serving your community, and you are doing everything that a broadcaster should be doing.

They should find a way to protect you by giving you the Class A. When you look at the history of TV, from VHF, to UHF, to low power, you have to know that most of the TV stations in the country, about 80 percent are low powers.

And most of those stations are owned by major companies. And they do very well. They do very well.

And there are some licenses that are available that could potentially at a low cost that individuals can get into, and be able to make the license available license. Because low power TV stations with Class A do command good price.

DR. TURNER-LEE: That's right. I

think we've run out of time. So, I'll just summarize by first of all saying, let's give everybody a round of applause that participated on the panel.

And I have to put in just this last little statement, because I'm just very excited to see all of you here, sitting here. Because we know that the state of the television industry, and the state of ownership in the television industry has been somewhat dismissive.

And I would say that the conversation that we had today, where you all put out the desires of access to capital, infrastructure, and the ability to create content, is really important. And we've heard that.

And hopefully, for all of you that have participated in this, you know, take the advice of Jeff, and do what's uncomfortable to make others more comfortable in the space of this democracy, so they could see images of themself.

So, I would just say to you, thank you. I appreciate your time with us. And I

appreciate the work of our Committee to actually keep pushing these things forward.

So again, thank you, everybody. And I think Henry is going to come up and tell -- Or, who's next? Who's going to tell us what do to next? Thank you.

MS. WHITESELL: We have one more

Commissioner here to speak to you before we break

for lunch. So, please welcome Commissioner Carr.

COMMISSIONER CARR: Hey, how's it going? Thanks for the chance to offer a couple of quick remarks. I understand full well that I'm the only thing between you and lunch. So, I will try to be quickly on the stage, and off the stage.

But this is an incredibly important work that's going on here. And it was great to hear a little bit of this panel. We've been working at the FCC pretty aggressively to update and modernize all of our media regulations.

And one of the key goals there is, how do we reduce unnecessary barriers to getting into

this space, financial or otherwise. And we've been making, I think, some progress. But there's a lot more that we can and should be doing.

At the end of the day we want to promote more diversity in this space, more opportunities for ownership in this space. We've made some good progress, I think, as we heard.

The incubator program that I voted for can hopefully help open up some additional opportunities. It was good to hear some of the successes that incubators even outside of the FCC's approach have been having in the industry.

And I'm certainly open, for my part, to expanding the incubator program to outside of radio, into television, if we can sort of build on some early successes we've had.

I think more broadly there's a great job story going on in the media space, that's sort of adjacent to what we're talking about today.

We're going through this big television repack, for instance. And there's a

lot of jobs that are created through that repack. The industry sort of total, in terms of the tower workers that are doing that work, about 29,000 people working in that space.

And they're looking to add about 20,000 jobs. I've been working with a lot of diversity groups that are focused on that repack, and the work there.

There's a group, the Women of NATE, sort of the women that own and operate a lot of these tower crews and companies that are engaged in this repack. I had a chance to do some work with them.

And looking to see where we can partner with community colleges, and other opportunities to spread the word about the good paying jobs that are available, not just in the repack, but on tower work more generally. So, that's one of the additional sort of areas that we're looking at.

But more directly on point here, I think the FCC needs to keep pressing forward on

our media modernization initiatives. I think we have to continue to reduce the barriers to entry into this space, and promote investment and diversity.

I mean, there's, it always feels like we need more investment in news gathering, whether it's the radio side, or the TV side. And so, hopefully we can be a good partner.

I very much look forward to the recommendations and outcomes of this event, and the Advisory Committee's broader work on these issues, and seeing where we can help implement them.

So, thanks very much for all the work you're doing. Thanks for volunteering your time for this. And I will move on, so you guys can move on with your lunch. So, thank you.

MR. RIVERA: Okay. I'd like to see the Working Group. And Jamila's got a room for us. If you don't know where that room is, see Jamila. We're going to start promptly at 1:15 p.m. That panel, we'd like to have those

panelists here in place, and the moderator at 1 o'clock, 1 o'clock.

If you guys leave the Commission to get lunch, make sure you leave yourself enough time to clear security, to get back here in time for the 1:15 p.m. panel.

We've got a terrific afternoon planned for you. So, you don't want to miss it. So, with that, we're adjourned until 1:15 p.m.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 12:08 p.m. and resumed at 1:13 p.m.)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon,
everybody. My name is Alfredo Rodriguez. I am a
Vice President for DISH Network and with me is
esteemed Dean Emerita Howard University School of
Communications, Dr. Jannette Dates. And
together, we will be the co-moderators for what I
think will be a fantastic panel this afternoon,
the theme of which is success stories from
today's broadband, over-the-top and content
provider entrepreneurs.

DR. DATES: We are so happy to have this panel, this distinguished panel with us today for them to share some of their experiences and some of the ideas and the motivations and the things that they were able to think of to do in order to work the system. So the system worked in their favor and in ours.

And you have their bios before you.

We are going to ask them, when they have an opportunity, to just give us a few minutes, brief ideas about some of their backgrounds.

As you know, our panelists are Frank Washington, Victor Cerda, Paula Madison, Sheila Brooks, and the last one is Augusto Valdez.

Let's start with Frank Washington.

Just say a word or to.

And as they are speaking, if you have questions, would you please, as you have been asked before, write down your questions so that at the end we will have a few minutes at the end for you to ask the questions of the panelists.

So, Frank.

MR. WASHINGTON: So thanks to the FCC and Chairman Pai for holding this what has, thus far, been very informative. I must say in my history, since I was the Deputy Chief of the Broadcast Bureau and Legal Assistant to the Chairman Ferris many years ago when I was at the White House, was the person that came up with the idea for the tax certificate, which I later put in place when I was here at the FCC. I have to say this is deja vu but I'm hoping that it's deja vu with added momentum behind it.

So I have a long history of ownership

of broadcast radio, TV, cable television systems which was the inspiration for, about ten years ago, creating Crossings TV in the Central Valley of California when I realized that there was a huge Asian population and virtually no local content focused on their interests.

So I will leave it at that.

DR. DATES: Okay.

MR. CERDA: I'm Victor Cerda and I would like to say thanks again to Chairman Pai

and the FCC. We're talking about success stories today and one of the successes I see is that we're having this conversation here today. And hearing the stories, a lot to share, a lot to learn from. So I think that's been a success and give the FCC the appreciation for that.

I represent Vme Media, Inc. We're based in Miami. Yes, this is my only sweater that I have now but I'm glad I brought it. We have three networks out there, two in Spanish and one in English: Vme, Vme Kids, and Primo. We actually originated with PBS as a PBS Latino on the OTT and subsequently are now displayed on various cable platforms throughout the United States.

MS. MADISON: Good afternoon. My name is Paula Madison and I am of a number of diaspora. I am of the African diaspora in that my family is African-Jamaican. I am of the Chinese diaspora in that my family -- my grandfather was Chinese. And so my Chinese name is Lowe Xiao Na.

I was formerly the Executive Vice 1 2 President for Diversity for NBC Universal and, with that title, I participated intimately in the 3 acquisition by Comcast of NBC Universal. 4 5 career at NBC included being Vice President and News Director at WNBC in New York; President and 6 7 General Manager of KNBC in Los Angeles; and I was 8 also the Regional General Manager for NBC's three 9 Telemundo stations in Los Angeles. 10 In my retirement, I have been the 11 owner of the Los Angeles Sparks, a WNBA team. Ι 12 have been Commissioner of the Los Angeles Public Library. I have been Vice President of the Los 13 14 Angeles Police Commission. And I am a filmmaker and author and now about to have a feature film. 15 16 And my family is the owner of the Africa Channel. 17 18 DR. DATES: She's a hard act to 19 follow. 20 DR. BROOKS: And I've been following her for so many years. I'm Dr. Sheila Brooks and 21

I am President and CEO of SRB Communications,

which is a 29-year-old advertising, marketing, and PR agency. We're the only multicultural agency in the Mid-Atlantic region. We serve the utility sector, energy and utilities, gas, electric, water companies from here to New York City, as well as higher education, convention centers, and sports arenas, and the government.

Formerly, before starting my business,

I spent 13 years in television news as a

reporter, anchor, documentary producer, as well

as news director.

My firm is headquartered here in Washington, D.C. We have offices in New York City and Baltimore. And I am a recent author.

MR. VALDEZ: My name is Augusto

Valdez. I work for Condista. I am a partner at

Condista Networks. Thank you for putting this

together, FCC Chairman and company.

We're excited. We've been in business for 20 years and this is the first time that we have a chance to be here. We hope that our story can benefit others.

We have three lines of business. One is general presentation. We represent 30 channels from overseas and we try to find carriage on cable and satellite and pay TV in the United States. We do advertising sales valuation that is advertising sales and we sell this space to advertising agencies that want to reach our community and we own and operate two channels.

DR. DATES: Okay. Well, we've heard a lot through the years about the digital divide, Frank, and that's a fancy way of saying low-income people don't have access to broadband and other kinds of technology.

As an entrepreneur, how have you overcome various impediments to reaching that audience, as well as the other audiences that you aim for? Can you share that with us?

MR. WASHINGTON: Absolutely. There is a small bit of irony in that question being directed to me as the head of an Asian language television network because whether most people know it or not, Asians significantly over-index

in terms of their use of technology.

So large portions of our audience are very much on top of this. However, there are segments, for example, the Hmong who are in the Central Valley of California and in Minneapolis, the Vietnamese population, to some extent, who don't.

And so one of the things that we have done in that regard has been in partnership with a partner of ours for carriage purposes, Comcast, who has a program called Internet Essentials, which focuses on initially providing subsidized internet connection to poor students but it has now been expanded to older people, as well as other groups. So we work on cross-promoting that program to our audience as one way to try to deal with that situation.

DR. DATES: Does anyone else want to address that? Are there any unique things you would like to share related to that?

If not, then I will go on and ask another, which is: How can we persuade some of

the huge conglomerates to do more to reach those audiences and to bring them in so they can, in fact, have more access?

And I would think that some of the work that is being done by women, particularly, who are incubating -- we need to do more incubating of women and minority-owned businesses where they are targeting some of those groups a lot more than some of the others are.

Can you -- anybody address that, maybe Sheila?

DR. BROOKS: I think that one of the things that we have done, particularly as an African-American-owned business, we have to continue to establish partnerships and networks in the community. That's important.

We have to certainly hire the best talent that comes in so that we are producing the best quality product. And we also have had to, over the years, what women and minorities have had to struggle with for so long is we have to know when to change our business model. We can't

do the same things all the time and pivot our business.

And we need to begin to embrace new technologies, not just embrace them but invest in them in our business and for our employees. And that's so very important in order for us to remain competitive.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So another question for the panel, some of you have businesses that include providing content on different video platforms and we'd like to know and share with the audience, what have you done to achieve carriage on these platforms and what barriers for entry have you encountered?

And maybe we'll start with you, Augusto?

MR. VALDEZ: Yes, thank you. As I said, we rep 30 channels and we own 2. It's hard, over the last maybe 20 years or 10 years -- over the last 10 to 20 years, the business has gotten more and more complicated.

When we started, the industry or the

market that we tried to serve, the Hispanic market, was starting and we were successful. We brought some of the best channels from Latin America and we were able to sell them mostly in every single major cable provider and satellite provider.

But over time, it's gotten more and more complicated. We always kind of dreamed of having our own channel but it was just a dream, it was never going to happen.

The way it happened for us was through the Comcast MOU and their commitment to promote and support minority-owned networks and we launched together with Primo at the same time a couple years ago.

And that's been something that even though we never thought it was going to happen happened and we're glad to have that opportunity. With that also comes a lot of free possibility, one of them being you have to perform, you have to succeed.

Last year, we received some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

information on viewership from Altice and we were ranking higher than Cartoon Network and Disney

XD, which for us is tremendous, especially being two big brands and us being so young.

In addition to that, on the Comcast

VOD we had some of the top performers on the VOD

for kids last year and we won two awards which we

didn't think we were going to win but it

definitely helped us just make sure that -- or it

was reassuring.

And we were happy to know that what we were doing, our commitment to the market and to the product, was being recognized.

In addition to that, Alfredo, we -not only having the opportunity and performing,
but in addition to that, what's been great is
that last year we were able -- solely because of
the fact that we were owning a channel, we were
able to pitch another product to, in fact, DISH
Network.

And they believed in us to help us launch a second channel which we would have never

had a chance to do if it wasn't for the MOU.

It's brutal there, it's hard to own, operate, and launch a channel on the paid TV side, the paid TV business. But I think regulatory support and commitment from big companies definitely help companies like ours.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Victor, I know that you're in the same space. Is there anything you'd like to add?

MR. CERDA: Yes, I echo Augusto. We have three channels that are on platforms, MVPDs and satellite platforms, across the country and that's been over a decade of hard work.

And as the doctor said in the opening remarks, it's working the system, it's working the system to try to -- frankly, the question is what have we not done to try to get carriage?

It's that difficult.

And so content is important, as we've talked in the past, content is relevant but it's kind of like a yin-yang situation where if you don't have the distribution, you're not going to

be able to invest in good content either.

So, content is there. We were having some chats before where I do think the industries, the carriers here, do really have to recognize independent, and particularly independent minority-owned platforms like ours, where we shouldn't be compared to the Viacoms of the world.

We shouldn't be compared to the large conglomerates out there that have been doing this for years. And so one thing I said is you take away a penny from me, it's 20 percent, whereas if you take that away from somebody else, they can just cut some more fat off a program or something.

And that's the challenge is, right now there is no finance. As we've heard this morning, financing is difficult, and for us, small independents, which are very fewer and fewer with the consolidation in the markets, for us, truly minority-owned operated, managed networks out there, we do look to the carriers as

a critical source of revenue for us.

Because we're not finding the financing there, we've had to be creative in financing. We're talking about people loaning their homes and stuff out there in TV network language. If you go to the big industries you don't hear that.

So for us, I think it's working closer. The MOUs have been a godsend, I mentioned to Alfredo that for us it was a lifeline with Comcast and the MOU because it allowed for greater distribution, and frankly, they put their money where their mouth was when they signed that MOU.

And I'm just hoping that as the progression of consolidation occurs, I'm hoping that the FCC does play a good role in this to encourage that. We're talking about incubators, hopefully that plays a role in it.

And also the boardrooms of these big companies out there, I hope they recognize that it is in everyone's interest to have independent

minority-owned networks out there, not just the 1 2 big gorillas out there. It is important because we bring 3 4 different perspective. We actually hire 5 community people. We are small enough to be able to pick and choose and develop talent in 6 7 productions and programmers and content 8 providers. 9 And I think that's a lot easier to 10 start from there versus starting from the ground Half of these people have good content but 11 12 nowhere to show it. So I think it's a mix of 13 everything. MR. RODRIGUEZ: 14 Thank you. Frank, do you want to jump in here? 15 16 MR. WASHINGTON: Please. Yes, we, 17 from the very beginning, mostly because I had 18 owned cable systems, set it as a goal to make the 19 cable carriers symbiotic partners. 20 In other words, we designed our whole 21 approach around things like cross advertising, cross promotion of their services, et cetera. 22

Because of my background in government here at the FCC, I was able to be of assistance to both Comcast and Charter in that regard on some transactions that they were interested in.

Currently, we're talking with Comcast about their OTT program and providing some form of Asian programming that we can source much better than them.

So, as my fellow panelists have already pointed out, it's all about working the system and how innovative and creative you can be in figuring out ways to add value for the carriers, who aren't necessarily going to just give you that carriage.

MR. VALDEZ: Just what Victor was saying about the pressure of the conglomerates and the consolidation exercises over the market. Nielsen says the average household in America receives 190 channels.

90 percent of those channels are owned by 6 companies, so imagine how hard it is and the power they have to negotiate and push.

Eventually, minority-owned networks are just pushed to the side and left out in the cold. It's very hard for us.

Definitely, we've seen in the last 30 months two mega-mergers and none of those have any commitment to help minority-owned networks flourish, or at least a chance. You've got to perform but at least the chance.

DR. DATES: And in keeping with what you're saying, the Census Bureau is saying that in the next 30 years it'll be majority minority in the United States and so the question is what do you think that climate will be like in this field at that time?

And how should and could we prepare for it, particularly in the minority community and among women?

MR. VALDEZ: I think that a lot of things will change just like nothing will change. You still have to focus on the consumer and pick a segment and target it and understand it, speak their language, super-serve it.

Competition is not going to get any lighter, it's probably going to be even worse. So you've got to pick your winners and be the best at it.

But I think in order to compete, smaller companies like ours, independents, just need regulatory help and support. It's just hard to pitch after Viacom and think you're going to win.

DR. DATES: Excellent.

DR. BROOKS: And for us as an advertising agency, what I see is that content marketing is really important for us not only now, but in the future.

Because what we're seeing is that in order for us to have some relevant and creative content, we have to figure out for our clients and internally how to engage that audience, and not only engage them, but how do we keep them to stay with us?

And that's going to be important because we all know that it's paid advertising

that engages the audience.

So we're finding that we have to partner more, as I mentioned earlier, and what I find myself doing a lot of is taking that talent that we have in house and making sure that they have the professional development, not only that, but all of the other nuances that come with getting them ready so that they are part of the digital revolution as we enter all of this.

DR. DATES: Can you -- go ahead.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: No, I was just curious, Paula, with your impressive resume, do you have anything to add because the world is changing and with all of the experiences that you called out at the beginning, what do you see?

MS. MADISON: I think I'm looking at it from a different perspective than I'm hearing mostly here.

And I'll say as a matter of
background, what I mentioned earlier about my
involvement in the Comcast acquisition of NBC
Universal, it did mean that I had to, on behalf

of Comcast and NBC, go to a number of
Congresspersons to explain to them how at the
time NBC was a leader in terms of diversity
initiatives and programs.

And there was an acknowledgment that Comcast wasn't so great, and they wanted assurance that Comcast would adopt NBC's policies. At the time, I was Chief Diversity Officer so many of those I initiated.

Few of those exist today and I'd like to talk a little bit about what I've been hearing in terms of the MOUs. I was a part of the team that constructed those.

One of the things that I think is important to understand is that as we talk about incubators and we talk about people getting ready to address and deal with the changes in the business, I heard this morning a panel of pioneers talk and it's labeled as success.

I'd like a definition of success,
because what I heard this morning was there were
hurdles, many, many, many hurdles, to overcome in

order to access capital.

Many, many, many hurdles to overcome in order to not have to float your business 90 to 100 days because the advertisers are not paying.

These are all things that I think are not necessarily part of the discussion when here at the FCC there's the talk about, well, how can we help minority and women-owned business?

For example, in the case of the Africa channel, I had to recuse myself from anything having to do with the Africa channel when we were negotiating Comcast-NBC.

But one of the things that was said, and I think it was important, was that there would be a launch of nine black-owned cable networks and there would also be a launch of Latino-owned cable networks.

What that meant, however, was that any of the black-owned cable networks that already existed were getting no assistance.

So, when we talk about incubation -oh, yes, we even came up with a second channel

which would have been a brand-new launch and we 1 2 were told, no, because we're already carrying Africa channel content so you guys don't count. 3 4 It would be really interesting for the 5 FCC, I believe, to take a look at how many of those businesses that have already been launched 6 7 are just treading water? 8 And it is not because they're not 9 innovative, it's not because they're not ready for the new digital onslaught. None of that is 10 11 the case. 12 As Victor just said, we can create incredible content and if there is no 13 14 distribution, if you get no commitment other than a launch, right, there is the commitment to 15 16 launch these networks but launch in how many 17 households? 5 million? Maybe. 20 million? No. 18 100 million? If that minority-19 targeted, not owned, but minority-targeted

Go back and take a look at how many of

network is owned by the distributor.

20

21

minority-owned are actually minority-targeted and 1 2 are owned by the major conglomerates. they're distributed into 100 million homes. 3 4 The rest of us are eking out a living 5 and thank God there is OTT because it gives us an opportunity to find other means of distributing 6 7 our content. 8 But again, I think that it's short-9 sighted to keep talking about launching and incubating. After it's incubated, what is in 10 11 place in order to ensure longevity and that these 12 businesses are sustained? 13 (Applause.) 14 Thank you. 15 DR. DATES: So what do you want to see 16 happen differently? MS. MADISON: Well, there's these 17 18 things called recommendations, which I think are worthless. In my business career, you can 19 recommend something but I don't have to do it. 20 21 The FCC has a portfolio, has a right, can decide you can do this or you can do that. 22

Or you can't do this.

What I'm looking for is finally when there's going to come a point, and I hope I'm not offending anybody, but I would like to see some real, working operational knowledge being applied to how difficult it is to maintain and sustain and grow these businesses.

For example, where we are with the Africa channel, probably 80 percent of our programming is our own, mostly produced on the continent of Africa. We are one of only two black-owned cable networks.

The other one is Byron Allen, and us.

He's that big, we're this big, right? We cannot repurpose our content so if I put a program on the air and I have a daily show, which I do, it's a news program in entertainment and lifestyle, I can't repurpose it on another distribution network for four months.

Because those are the deals that we signed with the distributors because this is what they insist.

They want us to believe that us taking our small distribution and sharing that content on another network, on another platform, means that it takes away from what they get, when in fact, their own content is repurposed multiple times.

Watch MSNBC, watch CNBC, watch NBC, watch Shop NBC, watch NBC Sports, same content. We can't do that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I see just a lot of nodding and a lot of writing. Does anybody in the panel want to jump in and add?

MR. WASHINGTON: I don't want to take anything away from those comments, which are pungently correct, but I do want to go back to the census business because I think there's a bigger factor that's going on there.

Late last year the ANA published a report which showed that by mid-century virtually 100 percent of the advertising growth for the major brands was going to come from multicultural sources.

1 And yet, at the moment, only one 2 percent of their budgets are being spent in that 3 regard. 4 So, at some point, there is going to 5 be a reckoning and it's going to be based on, it's in the self-interest of, these entities --6 and I'm talking not about advertising but just in 7 8 general -- for them to recognize the value that 9 the multi-cultural audience brings to the table. But where does the Africa 10 DR. DATES: 11 channel, where does that kind of operation fit 12 into this picture? 13 MR. WASHINGTON: They're part of the 14 multi-cultural. MS. MADISON: Well, we are part of the 15 16 multi-cultural and what I'd say to you is that if 17 it's a one percent that is, in effect, set aside, 18 then the likelihood is that we're all scrambling 19 for a piece of that tiny, tiny amount. 20 And then the reality is that, again, 21 once we start talking about incubating and 22 launching, let's take a look at what was

launched. Competitors to the already existing content so you have the same population being picked apart. Instead of watching it on this channel, let's watch a little bit of that on that channel.

I'm not saying that those competitors don't deserve a right to exist, but when there's a limited distribution, when you're not going to get any more than 5 million subs, when you're not going to get that, when we start talking about now is when we want to go to OTT, let's bring up net neutrality.

Oh, God, did I bring that up? Let's bring up net neutrality and let's consider for a moment that the Internet is a utility.

Because I live on this block does it mean that me turning on my lights is going to be more expensive or slower than the guy living in Beverly Hills? Why is that?

Which is what we're talking about, that is truly what we're talking about in terms of who has access to the spigot, how quickly,

and who's going to pay for what?

So what I would say to this august body is that when the conversation happens with the FCC, I think it has to be a bit more granular and a bit more forward-thinking than incubating. Well, let's make more so that we can all share the one percent.

How about talking to the guys who really -- I have an example. Let's say there's a haircare product company that has existed with black haircare products for decades and they do well and then the next day, Revlon introduces black haircare.

What we've just done is cut the guts out of the market that is minority-owned and minority-targeted.

What I'm getting at here is that I appreciate so much the opportunity to have this discussion, but I think to keep talking about incubating, I'm not trying to kill it, I'm saying there's another level of conversation that must be held if we really want these businesses to be

successful.

And please, please, please give me the definition of what success means, because this word is being thrown around here today as though everybody sitting up here is actually succeeding the way they thought they were going to be.

I no longer have to write a check every year to cover the costs of the Africa channel, but I did for a number of years. I didn't consider that to be successful.

DR. DATES: I do want to know what mechanisms you want to see put in place to make some of these things happen that you think would be successful or lead towards successful ventures.

MS. MADISON: So what I was mentioning a moment ago about the limitations regarding the MVPDs, how about removing those limitations from those of us who are the independent channel owners, independent cable network owners so that what we can do is not have to wait out that four months. Right?

Let's encourage -- oh, the removal of the favored nations, the MFNs, right? So, oh, you're co-signing, okay. I'm sorry. Encourage the removal of MFN from the contracts of cable operators, so as to allow small operators to negotiate competitive rates with a variety of platforms.

DR. DATES: Okay.

MS. MADISON: And then, to support the carriage of the digital offerings on virtual MVPDs so that what I was mentioning earlier, if I want to get a deal going with Sling or Hulu that I can, that the major carriers will not say to me, no, no, no, when it's just a small amount.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Very good. We want to leave some time for questions, but maybe our last question and we can go across here, everybody.

As we're transitioning for more traditional platforms to over the top and it was mentioned before, some of you have experience with that, what is the number one piece of advice you'd like to convey to the public here today on the

potential and the future of over the top in your businesses.

Frank?

MR. WASHINGTON: Well, I think it's a combined response, at least from us. Part of it exclusively owned and obviously attractive content. But the other part is that nobody, in my opinion, has an answer for this yet. Nobody really knows how to do it and for particularly little companies like that, to me, that cries out for some sort of partnerships, combination, some way of doing this as to insulate yourself from the risk and also to give you the kind of money it's going to take to explore these opportunities.

MR. CERDA: I think one of the good things about OTT is that it eliminates one of the excuses that cable companies constantly gave us independence in terms of carriage which is bandwidth. We don't have the bandwidth. So that's off the table now. That being said, now we're facing cost. We adapt to the market.

We've adapted in all our continent programming licenses like the market is demanding it and we agree with that. But still, we're facing issues in terms of carriage, cost issues.

And going back to your point there, what does it take, I think -- I'm not going to rely solely on the FCC to save this situation.

It is rough. What she said here is exactly correct. It's like it sounds great that we have our channels, but it's a rough life. It's not that easy and you've got people depending on you on their salaries and stuff, so it's difficult.

It's more than FCC. It's corporations themselves. It's like if we all say diversity and commitment to independent, minority owned, then let's do it. Let's get the corporation on the advertising side, on Nielsen, on the MVPDs. Everybody has to come in and say are they really committed to this. Are you committed to this? As she said, it's not just launching, but can we create an environment that's going to help these channels strive and create these truly

independent channels out there. So I'll get to you maybe an answer to that for now.

MS. MADISON: I think that the opportunity for OTT, there are benefits, particularly if you don't comply with these sort of geo-political boundaries of the world. So we have the Africa Channel. One of my goals is to try to get distribution in China, right, because China certainly is a major investor and developer in Africa.

The other thing is --

(Off-microphone comments.)

MS. MADISON: I'd say that it's also important that if you know your audience, one of the things that this does allow for is the sort of hyper focus on individual audiences. Before it used to be, in my days in TV, it was scatter shot. It would be well, we hope that this advertising or this program will work with this group.

These days, because of the ability to get very deep into the analytics, you can know

who you're talking to at what time and target your content that way. So I think that would also be a big help.

DR. BROOKS: And for us as an ad agency, we have to really expand our digital marketing concepts. We have to make sure that we're being more creative, more innovative in our content for our clients. We have to keep that content very short, sweet, concise because we know we have to grab our audiences right away.

We're doing a lot of podcasts for our clients and what we see is that we've got to keep those to the car drive. I mean under 40 minutes. You need to keep it -- you've got to have optimal time for the audiences.

And in the advertising area, we are also seeing that for some of the video content the pre-roll and the post-roll for video, that's fine, but when we're looking at the advertising and that whole mid-roll, it doesn't allow the content to always be there. So we're really taking a deep dive into those areas.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Augusto.

MR. VALDEZ: Well, for us, we have been frankly so general for a long time and it's hard. Persistence is maybe the one piece of advice. Your persistence will always outrun their short-sightedness. Eventually, you'll have a shot. Partnerships bring products and offer products and content that they really need. You need to be a win-win, you know.

From a regulatory perspective, I think it's important to -- ideally, to have the big brother that we little don't have and maybe find a way, not to push, but to encourage MVPDs to maybe require them to have like X number of independently-owned networks. And not only that, but also as Paula was saying, offer some sort of balanced treatment, you know, where your opportunity is not only getting in, but it's also as good as the average conglomerate. Just because otherwise, it's always uphill and there's never the opportunity --you've got to perform. You've got to have the

numbers. Absolutely. You've got to be able to have the same chance. That's kind of what we would like to see.

DR. DATES: Thank you so much. Now we've got to have an opportunity for some questions to be asked of our panelists, so if you have a question, please go to the microphone and we'll have questions also from the audience online.

MR. JACKSON: Don Jackson, Central City Productions.

Paula, I heard your comments and you're right on target. My question is what can those of us who are looking for distribution in MVPD, what can we do as a group to the cable industry, to the carriers, to send a message because I turned down their offer to be one of the next African-American networks. I thought it was bait and switch. Once they get you in, they don't support you. But I know that as a body what can or what would you suggest we can do instead of just taking what they give us?

So Don, that's a good MS. MADISON: question. That's a great question. And I think a part of what we can do is being demonstrated here today. I think that at some point when there are these conversations that go on, and again, I'm going to raise this again, this is about success. And I continue to say give me the definition of success before we feed that as a line to the populace. I'm not saying that people are starving, but there's an awful lot of moving things around, robbing Peter to pay Paul in order to stay in business for a lot of us who are independently owned, whether it's broadcast or cable or name the entity.

so what can we do? I think it really is important that the FCC understand that within its power there is an ability to make moves to have these minority and women-owned businesses be truly successful, not successful with air quotes around the word.

So I think that by being here today, it's important, but I'd really like to see a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

conversation like this happen in an FCC discussion around economics because this one is about getting all the people of color in a room.

Is this really a discussion ultimately about color or is it really about economics and who has power and who doesn't?

MR. WASHINGTON: So if I can just kind of follow on to what Paula said, having worked here, okay, having -- maybe the one magic bullet that I think ever existed for minority ownership no longer exists. And that was the tax incentive, which as I said created. I hope there's something else out there, but I don't think you can count on that. But there are other things.

And there's a term, as it applies to federal or agency called the raised eyebrow. In other words, that the agency might not have the power to dictate under its regulations or laws, but it does have the power, as Paula has indicated, to devote scrutiny to what's going on in a way that clearly sends a signal to the

industry. I think just having this conclave is 1 2 one way of doing it. But I think as was also, you know, obvious in your comment, that's a 3 There has to be an on-going 4 starting point. 5 focus on this area. And I think that does have 6 the promise, the likely promise that there will 7 be some movement in the right direction. So I 8 would just add that to her comments. 9 So you see today as step DR. DATES: 10 one and we need a step two, three, and four 11 maybe. 12 MR. WASHINGTON: Yes. 13 MR. MARKS: I've got a question. I'm John Marks of the Black Television News Channel 14 out of Tallahassee, Florida. 15 16 DR. DATES: Can you say that again 17 into the microphone? 18 MR. MARKS: I'm John Marks of BNC, 19 Black News Channel out of Tallahassee, Florida. 20 We will launch hopefully in November, but my 21 question is we heard a lot of substance today,

but how are we going to -- it seems to me that

the people that need to hear what we're talking about, they're not in the room. they sit back there. And somehow, how are we -- after we've done all this today, how do we get the message to the decision makers?

To me, those decision makers are the FCC Commissioners. They need to be in the room when we're talking about these things because they're the ones that ultimately will make these decisions. How do we get that message to them?

DR. DATES: We'll ask that question.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, please.

participant: Thank you, all of you guys are good, but Paula, I want to tell you, you made it worth me coming from Tennessee today because what you raised is what the real problem is not getting in business, but staying in business. And that is what I think our congressmen need to hear, people like serving the state and everybody in this room. We all made it. It got there, but how do we stay there?

I made the statement this morning,

1	I've made myself a lot of loans, you know. Thank
2	you so much.
3	I just wanted to say you made it worth
4	my while to come.
5	DR. DATES: Thank you, sir. Thank
6	you. Well, we're out of time. That was a good
7	note to end on.
8	We will take the questions that came
9	to us forward so we'll hopefully be able to tell
10	you some answers. And I'd like for us all to
11	give a hand and I don't know if you want to say
12	something.
13	(Applause.)
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much.
15	Thank you. Thank you, all.
16	DR. DATES: Right on time.
17	(Pause.)
18	MR. WINSTON: Hello. I am Jim
19	Winston. I'm the president of the National
20	Association of Black-Owned Broadcasters known as
21	NABOB. I've been sitting here dying to get to a
22	microphone for a variety of reasons. First of

all because I feel very proud that we have so many NABOB representatives who have been providing this expertise in all these panels here. We've had seven NABOB members speaking today including three members of NABOB who are directors, DuJuan McCoy, Melody Spann Cooper, and Steve Roberts who are all here today. So I was very pleased to see them doing their excellent jobs. I knew they would.

And we've had a lot of discussion of items that hopefully we'll get a chance to talk about some in this panel today, in addition to the ones who specifically got assigned to us.

And with that, I will begin by introducing our panelists. First of all, I'll introduce my co-moderator, Brent Wilkes. Brent is President and Founder of Wilkes Strategies and of course, he's previously the Chief Executive Officer for the League of Latin American Citizens for about 20 years was it, Brent?

MR. WILKES: Yes, 20 years.

MR. WINSTON: And our panelists, I'll

Start at the far end there. Caroline Beasley,
Chief Executive Officer of Beasley Media Group.
And Caroline has been with the company I guess
since 1983, which it sounds like this may be very
similar to Melody Spann Cooper's story. Melody,
this morning, said her father made her start
working at the company when she was 16 and that
sounds very close to what your story might be
when we get into the details.

Next to her, of course, we have Jane Mago. Jane is a legend in this town. She held every position of authority at the FCC at one point or another from General Counsel to Chief of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis, Deputy Chief of the Enforcement Bureau. Then of course, she went on to become General Counsel for the National Association of Broadcasters which she served with distinction for ten years.

Next to her, we've got DuJuan McCoy.

Now DuJuan, of course has already been introduced
to you earlier today, but for those of you who

may not have been around, DuJuan is the President

and CEO of Bayou City Broadcasting, LLC. And
Bayou City owns television stations WEVV CBS and
WEVV-D2 Fox in Evansville, Indiana. And in
Lafayette, Louisiana, he has the NBC Fox and
MyNetwork affiliates in Lafayette, Louisiana.
And we're looking forward to hearing more about
Bayou City's activities.

And then, of course, another legend in this town, David Honig. David's current title is President Emeritus and Senior Advisor to the Multi-Cultural Media, Telecom, and Internet Council. However, David is known to having been the co-founder of MMTC and was its leader for 28 years.

So we've got an awful lot of expertise here on the panel and look forward to getting right into it and I'll turn it over to Brent to begin the questioning.

MR. WILKES: Thank you, Winston. So this is really a fascinating topic. When I first got introduced to this, it was by none other than David Honig when we were working on a merger

process and trying to ensure that out of that process, we would have some opportunity to ensure that there were some diverse entrepreneurs that could benefit from the process. And so I really consider him to be an expert.

And so I was going to direct the first question to David about really what is it about the merger and acquisition process that really makes them an especially attractive on ramp for minority entrepreneurs to be able to get into the business and get an opportunity to own some channels?

MR. HONIG: Stations that become available through the merger of two large companies tend to be quality assets that very seldom become available any other way. And when companies have to bump up against the ownership limits through their national or local and have to spin off these properties, it's a rare opportunity for a group of them potentially 10, 11, 12, 20 and so forth, to be available all at once.

What we found is that when minority, women-owned broadcasters get credentialed into an auction process and I guess later we'll talk about kind of how the auction, private auctions work, based on their past work experience, entrepreneurial experience, and excellence as operators, and their great properties, that's a powerful coin in the marketplace. And access to capital, when you have that coin and opportunity going in and you're going to have the experience of being able to bid on the same terms as everyone else, that's very rare. And it has been an on ramp that minority broadcasters, women broadcasters have been able to use to grow their companies and sometimes to start in the business with high-quality assets going in on day one.

Many people think that this is a process that the FCC is deeply involved in and part of the beauty of it is that the FCC is barely involved at all. It determines kind of what the rules are as far as what you can own, but it doesn't compel companies to do this. This

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is not charity. This is not a government edict. It's the marketplace working in a normal way. Companies that can bid fair market value can win. It's a market mechanism that promotes diversity. And the way it works, if you're the seller, when you get more entrants bidding, when you get more bidders, when you get more new money coming in, that's a livelier auction meaning that you're going to get higher multiples as a result. So it's to your advantage, just Econ 101, to get as many new competing bidders participating in an auction, win or lose, as you would otherwise have.

obviously, the demographics of the nation are changing. When you get an industry that's more diverse, that industry is going to serve the public better. It's going to lift all boats both being broadcasters and the industry as a whole by making it more responsive to the population that it's going to serve.

MR. WILKES: So Caroline, can you kind

of take us through how has this benefitted your company, in particular, because it's great to hear the theory behind it, but then in practice, how does this work?

MS. BEASLEY: Yes, so to David's point, that's exactly right. As larger companies merge, other assets become available and we're able to step in and take advantage of that of those potential acquisitions.

Let me just say I've been able to basically oversee M&A for our company over the last 15, 20 years and we have been able to take advantage of doing transactions with CBS back in 2014 where we were able to acquire two strong clusters in the form of a swap.

We also did a Greater Media
acquisition in 2016 and then in 2017, we were
able to swap with CBS Entercom. So we were
there, able to take advantage of those
opportunities. And we have some great brands,
great assets as a result.

MR. WINSTON: All right. Looking at

today's marketplace, are we likely to see more
roll ups of large radio or local television
station companies in the next two or three years?

DuJuan, I'll ask you to give us your
thoughts on that.

MR. McCOY: Absolutely, yes. So right now, TV is a little different than radio. TV is hot, okay? Private equity is lining up to get into TV. Banks are lining up to loan money to great properties. So TV is really, really growing. If you follow the stock prices of broadcast TV companies, they're going through the roof right now. One in particular, Nexstar, about two months ago, the stock price was at \$75. Today, it's at \$103, okay, which is very good for the industry as a whole.

So M&A for television I don't think will be slowing down any time soon.

MR. WINSTON: And Caroline, I didn't get a chance to give people a good description of your company. Could you give us just a little bit about what Beasley Broadcasting looks like

today?

MS. BEASLEY: Yes, so today, we're a publicly-held company. We have 64 stations and 15 markets and we reach about 20 million people on a weekly basis.

MR. WINSTON: Okay, great. And what's your sense of the market, both radio and television in terms of mergers and acquisitions?

MS. BEASLEY: I think DuJuan is exactly right. I mean I see consolidation further feeding off the opportunity to expand will be there and particularly, given the upcoming Quadrennial Review for radio and potential opportunity for further acquisitions in markets. Yes, I think that there will be further consolidation for sure.

MR. WINSTON: Thank you, great.

MR. WILKES: So I think David alluded to this, but when these merging companies spin off 10 or more channels, they typically have a private auction that's conducted by a large investment house. So we want to kind of check,

what is the private auction exactly? How does this process work? And again, how does this create an opportunity for the diverse entrepreneurs to get in and jump into this.

And so I was going to ask Jane if she could kind of comment about the process.

MS. MAGO: I'm going to defer the question to the guys here who have been involved in the private auction process.

MR. WILKES: Okay.

MR. McCOY: So I have actually participated in two private auctions in big merger acquisition spinoffs. My first acquisition, obviously, I mentioned earlier was in San Angelo and Abilene. That was just a one-off purchase. But my second acquisition was part of the Nexstar-Comscore Communications of America transaction. And that was sent to an auction house. And basically, I had to bid and participate just like any other broadcast company that wanted to buy those properties had to bid and participate. So I had to come to the table

with a reputation. I had to come to the table with financing. I had to participate in the auction, a multiple-bid process.

I happened to be fortunate at the time, I had a good relationship, a working relationship with a seller, okay? And I think Caroline Beasley, when they did the CBS deal, they knew CBS. So I knew Nexstar. I knew Perry Sook. And I knew that I couldn't pay necessarily the highest price for these properties.

So basically what I said is hey,

Perry, if I can get to a tie, let me win the tie.

If I can get to a tie, let me win the tie. So

his broker, I gave that message to the broker.

The broker came back and said you know what,

Perry is big on diversity, okay? If you can hit

this number, you're at the top. You can get the

deal.

I went back to my financial partners and said you know what, we can do the deal with this. So a couple of things happened. One, I got to participate in the auction. Not every

minority gets to participate in an M&A auction.
Okay?

Secondly, I had a relationship with the seller. Thirdly, I was willing to pay, what David said, fair market value for the product. I worked my relationship in a way where I said hey, Perry, if I get to the top, let me break the top. And that was the crescendo to get me over the edge. Had he not been a proponent of diversity, maybe I wouldn't have got the deal.

MS. MAGO: And this is where I can step in for just a second because this is the policy side of things that I think is something that's very important here. And it's something that's going to be talked about all day today. David alluded to it a little while ago.

Some of the advantages that come from having the diversity in the marketplace are things that aren't always obvious to everybody in the marketplace. And so the kinds of symposiums that we do today, the kinds of discussions that DuJuan is able to have, the kinds of things that

we did when we were at the NAB to try to keep promoting and bringing more and more folks to be able to be at the table to be successful at the table are exactly the kinds of messages and information that needs to be out there as we head into any of these kinds of things and that's when the kinds of situations you come up with in an M&A situation, it can be a win-win for everybody. And that's exactly. But we have to keep the message out there. We have to keep the discussion going. We have to keep the light shining on what is really happening in the marketplace.

MR. McCOY: I had mentioned earlier in the first panel that I was on it takes the bigger company owners to want to be a part of diversity. And I keep going back and he's not here, but had Perry Sook not been a proponent of diversity, I wouldn't have won the tie. Okay? That goes back to my stations in Lafayette, okay, when Perry had a large merger with Media General, I tried to buy the entire spinoff group. I'll tell you what the

price is. I offered \$453 million for the entire group, okay? That wasn't enough money. Perry came back and said hey, it's all about the Benjamins and you can't pay what I need. I said okay, I'm out. I don't want any of them.

Three weeks after I said I was out, guess what he did? Came back as a proponent of diversity and said I want you in the deal.

Here's a couple of markets that I'd like for you to take a look at. Which ones would you like to take a look at?

Now he's not talking to me directly. He's going through the M&A and his broker to do that, but there's a proponent of diversity bid company got that's calling himself self-regulating. He's self-regulating trying to get the hands of broadcast properties into qualified minorities when they can pay the bill, when they can finance the deal and have a proven track record of success.

So part of us to try to get in the game, we can't do it from ourselves up. We need

some help from bigger companies to have a true sincere interest in diversifying media and not just talk.

MR. WINSTON: David.

MR. HONIG: These private auctions are sort of a mystery to many people. They're big productions when you have to sell 10, 20 stations or sometimes way more than that all at once.

institution such as Bank of America, Stephens,
Moelis, J.P. Morgan, Chase, or Citibank. MMTC's
brokerage has worked with all them. We've done I
think eight and we're working on one now. We've
done a couple for what was Clear Channel and now
is it's iHeart. We'd done two with Nexstar. One
we're working on now. They run about the same
way and they're very helpful as means to promote
diversity because when you sign an NDA and you're
credentialed in, you have access to these very
good properties that get spun off on equal terms,
and if you're hungry and you have prepared well,
and you can bid competitively, and you equal the

bids of others, you're going to win and be able to bid your company, be able to succeed.

DuJuan is a very good example of someone who came in as an excellent operator who had bought -- the first stations that you got were what, about \$3.5 million and you sold them for 6 or 7 times that because that was all value that as a great operator, he built. Well, my goodness, who is not going to notice that? And that happened and when the first time he got in one of these auctions was able to get a couple more stations. That's exactly the kind of good operation that seldom gets a chance other than in these kinds of processes to get recognized and monetized.

MS. MAGO: That's another point though
I think I'd like to amplify again and it's the
theme that we've heard all day today of being
able to go into a situation, actually really
prepared and ready and able to make your case
that I'm able to run these stations. I'm able to
get the money that comes behind it and that's

where there's some of the background programs that we've also been alluding to all day today that become important.

And DuJuan, you called that Diane's broadcast leadership training program at the NAB earlier today. And that was one of the things that you identified is it helped you to prepared to go into the negotiation, into the situation, and be able to make your case that, in fact, you were one of the folks to win.

I can't emphasize enough how many
people that I talk to over time have said this is
what I want. I want to have someone who truly is
going to be competitive, who knows what they're
getting into because there's no point to setting
someone up to fail. We should not do that.

MR. WINSTON: Jane, you've taken us right to our next question because the next question was what should your team look like?
Who should you have around you as you prepare to go into a situation where somebody is spinning stations off? What should the team look like,

Jane?

MS. MAGO: I think the team needs to
look like they're competent, that they know what
they're coming into. They understand again, the
issues that are being talked about here all day
today, the finance issues. They need to
understand what the station should look like.
They need to be able to explain what it is about
what they intend to do, what's my market? Who is
it that I'm trying to reach? Where am I going to
get my multiples on my advertising? Where am I
going to get all the various pieces that will
make me a successful entity and an entity that
can stay in this market? And you have to have
you've got to have your lawyers, okay?
(Laughter)

(Laughter.)

You've got to have your lawyers.

MR. WINSTON: You start off with the lawyers. That's what I'm looking for.

(Laughter.)

MS. BEASLEY: Let me add to that as having done a ton of deals over the years. I

mean a great legal team is a must. Also, a great finance team. And you have to have your engineer IT people involved as well and operations.

You have to have the complete package going in, your list of due diligence items, checking off, and just be very prepared going in and making an offer.

MR. WILKES: All right, great. So since the Clear Channel AM FM merger closed in 2000, there have been over eight major radio or television mergers from which a sizeable number of stations had to be spun off to comply with the DOJ and FCC rules. In nearly all these mergers, several stations were sold to minority broadcasters.

So can you cite examples or cite to examples, I should say, the Clear Channel merger, 45 out of about 450 radio spinoffs went to 8 minority broadcasters and with the 2017 Nexstar Media General merger, 3 of the 11 television stations went to John's company. So congratulations there -- Via Broadcasting. So no

-- the interesting thing is that no FCC rule required this.

So why do the broadcasters do it? Now I've heard because they're good people, but at the same time, there's probably a business reason behind this, too. So can we get into perhaps what's the business reason?

MR. HONIG: The multiples get bid up because new money, new energy, new bidders are coming into an auction. The livelier the auction, the higher the multiples. You may get a winner's curse. The highest price ever paid for a radio station in history was in that 1999-2000 Clear Channel auction. Radio One bought a station in Los Angeles for \$453 million in that auction which we represented the seller in, working with -- which was Clear Channel, 18.3 times multiple. I think Clear Channel was pretty happy about that.

MR. WILKES: So is there any sense though -- so I understand that. Obviously, it's a good deal for them. But is there any sense

when you're before the FCC and you're trying to make a case, well, this isn't too much consolidation. It's fine for the marketplace.

It's also important to try to lift up voices with those -- those are the first voices with the spinoffs that you're going to have to do anyway.

MR. HONIG: It's the only mechanism that has the advantage of both advancing diversity with no -- without more consolidation at the other end. So there's less consolidation, more diversity, and the financial reward for the seller. It lines up in every conceivable way. Nothing else like it.

MR. WINSTON: There used to be something better, of course, which was the Tax Certificate. And there was some conversation about the Tax Certificate earlier this morning. And I was talking with Congresswoman Yvette Clarke's office and Congressman Bobby Rush's office yesterday at the MMTC and both said that they were looking to be revitalize discretion of the Tax Certificate again this year. So it's

been 20 years we've gone without it, but hopefully there may be new life for that conversation, so I thought I'd throw that out there.

But there were a number of items that got discussed earlier today and I wanted to pick and choose a couple of those to come back to.

One of them, DuJuan, I heard you talk before. It was mentioned earlier today about the gentleman who was on air and his boss said if you want to own a station, you've got to go into sales. So DuJuan, you might want to give them a little bit of how it all began for you.

MR. McCOY: Yes. I started in TV back in 1989 right out of college selling air time door to door in Indianapolis for an independent TV station, WTTV. Did that for five years. Then I went on the train, the television, moving around things, trying to move up the totem pole and ended up buying my first station in 2007.

The reason I ended up buying is because I had become a general sales manager, a

VP of sales, for 13 years. That's a long time as 1 2 a VP of sales and general sales manager. What I couldn't get was a GM job. Every time I 3 4 interviewed for a GM job, I got a no. 5 So in July of '07, I said you know what, I'm tired of getting my own no's, let me 6 7 see what I can do on my own. I've made enough 8 money for everybody else, let me see if I can go 9 make some money for myself. And I ended up buying seven television stations out of west 10 11 Texas for \$3,037,500. We ended up divesting 12 those stations five years to the date that I bought them for \$21 million in efforts to move on 13 14 to bigger and better -- bigger-size markets. MR. WINSTON: Another thing that came 15 16 up earlier was the question of federal and state 17 government advertising. 18 I'm just curious, Caroline, is federal 19 and state government advertising a significant 20 source of revenue for any of your stations?

No.

I gather in states that

MS. BEASLEY:

MR. WINSTON:

21

have lotteries and things like that, the state
does a lot of advertising. Is that something -
MS. BEASLEY: They used to, Florida
being one example, but we are not seeing that
much advertising from Florida any more.

MR. WILKES: So I'd like to ask a
guestion from earlier to kind of the Paula

question from earlier to kind of the Paula question, I should say. So you got the channel. You got the station. Her case was that okay, that's just to get your foot in the door. Now you have to be successful.

So I guess to the panel, how do you define success for your stations? And is that really where the challenge is more in the successful operation? Or is it really getting your foot in the door? Which of you found the most obstacles in doing?

MS. BEASLEY: So I think that we've been talking about buying radio stations, TV stations today and this is going to be an indirect answer to your question. It's very important. Ultimately, at the end of the day,

the owner of a business makes the decision.

And to DuJuan's point earlier, you have to have contacts. You have to know the people in your business in your industry that you will potentially do business with and establish those contacts. If you can hit, meet the criteria, maybe even below financially, an owner would like to see diversity within their industry.

So if you're able to do -- if you know the owner, potentially seller, and you hit the criteria, or almost, then you have a very, very, very good shot of hitting, winning.

MR. McCOY: So for me, I --

MS. BEASLEY: And cash flow is king for me.

MR. McCOY: Cash flow is king. For me, I look at success, the success is when you buy a property, okay? When you buy right, and you have a strategy and you know the business, you really -- you're a success because you know what you're getting into because you've done it

before. You paid the right price for it. You didn't over pay for it. So for me, a success is getting in the property with my vision intact.

Does that make sense?

So if you're -- me, personally, as a business, I would never do a stick deal. Okay? Why, because that has too many moving parts. I would never launch a station on cable without fees guaranteed for a long time. Why? Because that sets me up to fail because fees are part of your model when you're at 190-channel universe. So it just depends on the individual strategy, their business mindset and how they're approaching that station when they buy it.

MS. BEASLEY: I actually agree with that, too, that you have to have a plan in place, a mapped out strategy knowing what you're going to do the minute you close, the minute you walk in that door, what your expectations are, 6, 12, 18 months down the road because we're financing these stations. And we have an obligation to our partners, our banks, to pay them back.

MR. McCOY: Hopefully, you guys are going to stick around for the finance panel. I think it's immediately after this one. And some of the guys on the finance panel, they'll tell you if you don't have a vision and they're comfortable with your vision going forward, you can't get financing. If you start a stick deal and you don't have a plan to generate significant revenue to cover your home, you can't get a loan. So stick around. I'm sure they're going to talk a little bit about that.

MS. MAGO: I think the next three panels all have information that people are going to need. I've looked at this. You're talking about the entrepreneurs. You're talking about the role of the incubators that we've been working on for the last 15, 20 years and how that might be able to be something. And then you're talking about some of the ways to do this.

If I can go back to your question for a second on the success for it. You know what? I think DuJuan is a success. I think Caroline is a

success on these things. I think it's because they have a vision and they've been able to look towards the future in being able to grow and have been able to implement the plans because they made the background -- they did the preparation. They did all the work that it took to get to that. And it's not just getting your foot in the I don't think that is success. door. I think that's a step, but I don't think that's -- I think you've got to be able to keep it going for a longer period of time and that's why the policies and the things that we are talking about should be looking towards that longer term success and how do we keep promoting that.

MR. WINSTON: I just want to come back to something that both DuJuan and Caroline addressed which was knowing the people in your industry before you come to them to talk about a deal.

And DuJuan, as you pointed out, if you didn't know Perry Sook and he didn't have respect for you as a broadcaster, that deal would not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

have taken place. I was thinking, and I learned this in an interesting way.

This was, David, you were talking about when Clear Channel took over Citadel, wasn't it, in 2000? Yes, AM FM. And I was meeting with Lowry Mays on behalf of NABOB and I said we've got to send some of these stations to NABOB members. You've got to -- and I started throwing out numbers of stations I wanted to see going to African-American buyers. He said well, wait a minute, Jim. I've got to divest some of these stations to my good friend, Mel Karmazin. And it blew me away because they were the two biggest radio companies in the country at the I'm assuming they're fighting for markets every place they are. But as persons, they have respect for each other and they enjoy each other's company.

That's when I realized, oh, okay, who you know is important. Now I mean Lowry did go on and spin several of his stations off to minority buyers, but it's just very interesting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to understand that there's a certain club. We've heard about the old boys club for many years.

There's a broadcasters club. Unfortunately, it's not just old boys any more.

MR. McCOY: That's right. And there was another large transaction that just recently happened. And believe it or not, I didn't get invited to the auction process, okay? And I had to lobby to get invited to that auction process, although I've been the most visible entrepreneur, if you will, in the last eight years in buying TV stations.

I actually got in, but I didn't want to pay the price for the stations, but when the deals were done, guess who the purchasers were?

The seller's friend, the seller's friend who had not participated in any auction whatsoever in the last ten years. But it was the seller's friends.

MR. HONIG: You know, it's true. When you get to the second round and these things often go only two rounds or maybe three, and everyone has done their second round due

diligence, what will happen is people who know what they're doing and look at these numbers and look at all of the other information that's provided, will typically value these assets within about one percent of each other. And then the question is just can they close?

And at that point, it comes down to you report it to the client and at that point it just who do they know and trust and want to have a long-term relationship with, if they're going to pay fair market value. And that could be the person who just has developed and cultivated them over a period of time. They've got discretion.

No one is going to be asking for special privilege. It just comes down to who has done their homework and discretion, and done the most to, over time, build a relationship that will seal the deal.

MR. WILKES: Well, the changes that -wouldn't the FCC look at this and say hey, you're
just spinning these off to his friends? Is that
not going to be an issue.

MS. MAGO: You've got look at all the circumstances that go into everything in this.

And the FCC doesn't choose one buyer over another buyer and this is the way David described the process.

Again, that said, the Commission does like to see diversity in the situations and does like to encourage people to go and to look around. And sometimes I think what you want to see is you want to see the deal get done. How many deals have we seen over time that took a long time to get their way through the process and then all of a sudden, something fell off at the last minute and the financing fell through.

And so as a rational business person, you do have to be able to see this is the deal that can get done. And again, there have been deals also where the Commission has looked and said is this a real deal? And you know, you can't go down that road either.

MR. WINSTON: Yes, that's -- it's one thing to sell to your friends. It's another

thing to not sell at all, but pretend to sell.

And there are people who have gotten in trouble with that, trying to evade the Commission's ownership rules and trying to pretend they were selling, but really maintaining control.

So there's a difference between selling to your friends and trying to game the system.

MS. MAGO: Right.

MR. WINSTON: And I see where it's a point where, it's good, we've got Monty up there ready for our questions.

Monty, please.

MR. TAYLOE: Yes, Monty Tayloe, Comm
Daily. I actually have two, if I could slip them
in. First question is for Ms. Beasley. If the
incubator program the FCC has out offers these
ownership incentives and the minority tax credit
which doesn't currently exist, but that
everybody's been talking about today offers these
tax incentives, is one of those more attractive
to you as a large broadcast company as someone

who would be an incubator than another? Do you
like one or the other better?

MS. BEASLEY: I actually like them
both.

MR. TAYLOE: You've got to pick one though.

MS. BEASLEY: We're a taxpayer, right?

So that would be very attractive to us and I

think that the incubator program is as good as

well. So I mean I think it has to fit within the

corporate structure, both of those, within ours

so I like them both. I would want both.

MR. TAYLOE: And then real quick, for the FCC attorneys up there, we had a panelist a little while ago suggest that the FCC intervene in or take action to keep existing minority businesses going and some of the things she suggested sounded like the FCC getting involved in contract terms and things like that. I was wondering how viable some of what she asked for is. Is there a chance the FCC would do that or do you have an idea of how the FCC would keep

existing minority businesses going?

MS. MAGO: To be clear, I haven't been an FCC attorney since 2004, technically.

MR. TAYLOE: Attorneys who practice before the FCC. I just phrased it badly.

MS. MAGO: But again, something that
Jim missed in his intro of me is I was actually
the first Designated Federal Official for the
Diversity Committee way back when we started it.

(Applause.)

Back in 1990s period of time. That's why I'm referring to some of these ideas that have been around for that long. But in terms of -- and one of the things that the Diversity

Committee has taken seriously over the years, and I have continued to be involved in it, has been trying to say do we have solutions that are viable? And when you say what solutions are viable, you have to say okay, do we have to look at constitutional restrictions that may have come into play somewhere along the way. And how do we deal with that? How do we get the information

that maybe needed to be able to make the right case to do the things that you want.

You do have to recognize the Tax

Certificate that's been talked about so often
today is one that has been in front of Congress.

The FCC has been saying we are pro Tax

Certificate. The NAB has been seeing we are pro
Tax Certificate for all of these years, and yet,
it's never been able to find exactly the way to
do it. I think we got close once, but it -- we
got real close and it just didn't quite make it
across the line.

So the short answer to your question

Monty is that I think any idea has to be run

through that filter of what you can do within the

legal structure. The Commission, to my

experience, has always been open to trying to

find the ways they can do it. I'm so glad that

the incubator program made it through after the

15 to 20 years we've been working on it. And I

think that there are other ways that they can

provide those kinds of incentives. And

certainly, shining the light on what exactly -another thing that was said in the panel earlier
today was talking about how do we get the
companies to have the incentive?

I think having regular programs that shine a light on who has been involved and who is helping to promote diversity and helping to promote that is the way that you can do it, but to be honest, I don't think they can get into contract terms and start readjusting contract terms.

MS. BEASLEY: And to go back to your question, too, I mean I think that like Tax

Certificate, that applies if I were selling and the incubator would apply if I were interested in buying. So I think you're sitting on two different sides here from my perspective. That's what I say. I mean I'd like to have both because, you know, it depends on the situation you're in.

MR. SIMMONS: George Simmons. I'm with BingeNow which is an OTT platform provider

focused in on African-Americans, Latino, multi-1 2 cultural kind of providers. My question is are you guys seeing any 3 4 use of this tax advantage called opportunity 5 funds to help in the growth and development of new businesses like OTT platform providers or 6 broadcasters to emerge and grow? 7 8 MR. McCOY: I've never seen one. 9 Is there a reason why? MR. SIMMONS: 10 You think is it just like communications is not 11 an area for opportunity funds? 12 MR. McCOY: I'm not sure. Our finance 13 panel is coming up. They may have an answer for 14 that one. 15 MR. SIMMONS: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. WINSTON: All right, one of the people that spoke recently on the last panel 17 18 talked about who should be receiving the message 19 that we're delivering today and how do we get 20 that message to them. And of course, they point 21 out that the Commissioners have not been here all

day, but as we know, the entire proceeding will

be -- is being videotaped and will be available on the Commission's website for anybody and everybody to see.

And I know from experience that oftentimes even when the Commissioners are not here, they may have the feed on in their offices or they may have their staff with the feed on in their office. So I don't feel concerned about the Commission not getting our full message, but I do wonder if there's a way that we get this message to the broader industry, if we look at the captains of our industry who are not in the room here and as DuJuan, as you said, the kind of diversity we're talking about needs to come from Is there a way of getting this the top down. message to them? Is there a way of having this meeting with twice the number of tables around here and having those captains of industry in the room with us.

MR. HONIG: I wish the FCBA would do a CLE about M&A and how it works to promote diversity. The first thing that we look at when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we see someone coming in wanting help getting into the processes, who is their FCC counsel and have they done this before? And do they have experience with how this works and how to shepherd a client through the auction process?

And a lot of new lawyers coming up have no experience with diversity. Don't know anything about how auctions work. Don't know anything about civil rights and how it intersects with economic opportunity, as it happens, that it does, in this instance. They didn't learn it in law school. So they need to learn it in a CLE so that when these opportunities present themselves, they can provide good representation and good advice for their clients.

MS. SUTTER: Diane Sutter, Shooting
Star Broadcasting. Actually, I just wanted to
provide just an added comment to what was being
said. Caroline also serves as the Joint Board
Chair of the National Association of
Broadcasters, the first one to have held that
position. And one of the things that I know is

going on at the National Association of
Broadcasters is they have created a Diversity and
Inclusion Council. And they have CEOs that are
getting together to talk about how they can work
with the industry to do that and on that are
major group heads like Caroline and others in the
industry that are serving on that board. And
part of the charge is to make sure that diversity
and inclusion become a topic that is talked about
not just in the education foundation of the NAB,
but is talked about at the National Association
of Broadcasters as a whole and that it's an
agenda item that comes up frequently and often.
And so that making diversity and inclusion a
priority because it is something that is on the
table, it's on the agenda, it's talked about I
think is the way that at least at the National
Association of Broadcasters they're looking to
ensure that the right people are talking about
it, that the conversation is being held with
those who can effect change, and that there are
things that will be going on in programs that are

being developed to support that.

MR. WINSTON: I'm delighted to hear that. And you know, if they need to talk to somebody --

MS. SUTTER: I don't think that's a problem, Jim.

MR. WINSTON: Trust me, I'm on the committee along with Diane and I represent you, too.

MS. SUTTER: And as I say, I think we have a joint board chair who is pretty amenable to the idea.

MR. McCOY: I'd like to add to Diane's comments, too. So the NAB is putting that committee together, if you will, but the FCC Commissioner Pai, if he did an invite of all the major players that own broadcast properties, we know M&A is not slowing down for television. We know M&A probably is not going to slow down for radio, okay? This is a perfect time for the FCC to do a symposium like this, not for us because we're almost talking to ourselves, but for the

bigger guys that own these companies so they can hear from the FCC that the FCC is serious about diversity, not just talking about diversity.

MR. WINSTON: And that goes exactly to the history of the FCC because before there was a Tax Certificate, before there were formal policies, the FCC let it be known to broadcasters that if you're coming in here asking for a waiver because no matter what the rules are, there's always a broadcaster who wants a waiver. I want to get around it for this purpose or that purpose.

And the FCC said if you're coming in asking for a waiver, it really would be nice if you had something to say of how this process is going to promote diversity. And so they would come in with a proposed spinoff even before there were rules about Tax Certificates and things like that.

So there was a mention earlier about the raised eyebrows of the FCC. The other way of putting it is the bully pulpit. The FCC can

1	affect and influence the industry without having
2	the rule in place. So I think your suggestion
3	about a meeting like that would be exactly what
4	we would need.
5	MS. BEASLEY: That's exactly right.
6	No one is going to turn down Chairman Pai's
7	invitation.
8	MR. HONIG: An invitation to the
9	industry to do something that everyone knows is
10	actually in their own interest anyway which makes
11	it easy.
12	MR. WINSTON: We're out of time. I
13	want to thank our panelists here, David, DuJuan,
14	Jane, Caroline.
15	(Applause.)
16	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
17	went off the record at 2:49 p.m. and resumed at
18	3:02 p.m.)
19	MR. RIVERA: Please take your seats.
20	I'll say it again. I'm sorry, I didn't know
21	about the photograph.
22	John and Ron, you guys can go ahead.

1 MR. BUSBY: Okay. 2 MR. RIVERA: Let's get her started. Good afternoon. 3 MR. BUSBY: Okay. 4 Our clock has just begun. My name is Ron Busby. 5 President and CEO of the U.S. Black Chambers, 6 Inc., headquartered here in Washington, D.C. We 7 8 represent roughly 140 African American chambers 9 across the state -- across the country. We're in 40 states and have a membership base of over 10 11 300,000 African American-owned businesses. 12 There's this old saying that goes if 13 you're in the -- if you're the smartest person in 14 the room, you're in the wrong room. 15 (Laughter.) 16 MR. BUSBY: I'm probably in the right 17 I'm going to make sure that we have a good 18 conversation. Ours is really about, as what has 19 been discussed, show me the money. And we are 20 truly honored this afternoon because not only do 21 we have folk that have been shown the money, have

done with right things with the money, but most

1 importantly we have bankers on this panel to 2 really talk about how to access the capital. capital access comes in so many different forms 3 and versions of how to get it, but more 4 5 importantly we talked about starting businesses. But in our effort it really is about 6 7 sustainability. 8 And so John been a successful business 9 owners, entrepreneur, has acquired a great deal of knowledge in this space, and so I'm going to 10 11 ask him to say a few words about himself and then 12 we'll ask the Panelists to introduce themselves, talk a little bit about their background and then 13 14 we'll get into the questions. MR. OXENDINE: Sounds like a plan. 15 16 I doing this right? 17 MR. BUSBY: Yes. 18 MR. OXENDINE: Okay. Hi, I'm John Oxendine. Thanks for making me rich, the FCC, 19 20 NAB, all the rest. 21 (Laughter.) 22 You can read about me. MR. OXENDINE:

But that was a long time ago, so I have to come 1 2 and freshen my money tree. So I'm just going to defer to Deana and Garret and Brian and Dan 3 4 because these really are the money people. 5 are the people I came to. So let's talk to them 6 first. Let's each of them say a few words and we'll get into the questions. 7 Fair? 8 Fair. PARTICIPANT: Fair. 9 MR. OXENDINE: 10 MS. MYERS: So I'm Deana Myers. with Kagan. We're a research division in S&P 11 12 Global. So I've been covering media. I'm not a lender. 13 14 (Laughter.) 15 I've been covering media MS. MYERS: 16 though for over 20 years, focusing on TV 17 programming, networks, Internet and broadcast. 18 MR. KOMJATHY: I'm Garret Komjathy. I'm with U.S. Bank. I've been doing media 19 20 lending for over 20 years. I've known Brian 21 McNeill that entire time, and half that time I've

worked with Dan Damon at GE Capital and was

instrumental in bringing him over so that we could work together at U.S. Bank.

MR. McNEILL: I'm Brian McNeill. I run a firm in Boston called Alta Communications.

And prior to that I ran a firm called Burr, Egan,

Deleage. So for 30 years we've been doing -
providing financing: equity and mezzanine

capital, to successful companies, successful

entrepreneurs in the radio and TV industry

including Diane Sutter and DuJuan McCoy, so two

of the prior panelists are long-time partners of

ours.

MR. DAMON: Good afternoon. My name is Daniel Damon. I'm also at U.S. Bank. I've been in the bank industry for over 25 years. I focus specifically on the media, telecommunications and sports finance businesses, exclusively in those businesses. So I've been lending to companies in this room for over 25 years. I think in fact my first transaction in radio was probably with Diane's company in the early '90s. Our organization banked -- banks

DuJuan's company. We bank Caroline Beasley's company. So I think all the panelists here have a good background in this industry and are big, big fans of the NAB and the FCC, so looking forward to a nice discussion.

MR. BUSBY: If you ask any small business owner their number one concern, it will be access to capital, but if you ask a minority-owned business and the folk in this room, they will say their number one, number two and number three concern is access to capital. And all day today we've been talking about financing and growth and leveling the playing fields to increase the number of minority and African American-owned stations, but really give us some real examples of how and what that means.

The old school days of me going to a bank and filling out an application and going down and finding a deal, those deals and days are gone. And we've heard a lot of examples, good and/or bad of how entrepreneurs, especially from the minority communities, are gaining entry into

the industry, but can you give us some examples of how it has worked and what is the best methodology of creating that capital that so many of us need?

MR. KOMJATHY: Let me jump in. And

I've got an again real-life example --

MR. BUSBY: Sure.

MR. KOMJATHY: -- that involves both Brian McNeill and DuJuan McCoy. I got a call from Brian probably three-and-a-half years ago; it was probably earlier than that saying, hey, there's a guy I want you to meet. Didn't give me the name. No disclosure. And I get call from Brian saying, hey, there's a guy, DuJuan McCoy. I want you to meet him. And he goes you'll be hearing from him.

So didn't know DuJuan at all. So hopped on the Internet, see what I could pull down, and literally the next day I get a call from DuJuan. And typically when we look at a broadcast deal, we will require three markets just because you don't want to have all your eggs

in one market, and it's sort of the standard by which banks that are in the business will lend.

I've got two network affiliates in Evansville,
Indiana. And I'm like, DuJuan, that's just not
something that we normally would do. And to
DuJuan's credit, he didn't take no for an answer.
And he goes, look, let me give you a little bit
more color. And DuJuan then explained, hey, I
bought these turnaround stations in Texas in 2007
at the worst possible time. And the fact that he
was able to get financing and turn those around
in the worst economic environment that we were in
spoke immediately to his ability to deliver as a
manager.

And so that -- you know, the endorsement from Brian, DuJuan's ability to deliver in a challenged environment and then I think by virtue of Brian's connections and DuJuan's performance Brian got him in touch -- again, I may have my facts wrong with the folks at BATE (phonetic).

So you add that -- those components together. And we did our due diligence and checked around in the marketplace. Everyone spoke highly of DuJuan. And the more I peeled back the skin of the onion and said wait a minute, there's a deal here and I was willing to advance something that we normally would not have done because it didn't meet sort of the threemarket rule. And had a preliminary discussion with my credit guy. And the other thing, too, about DuJuan that I was told as part of our due diligence and experienced myself is that he's a great negotiator, he's a grinder and he knows the business and he's done everything in the business.

And went to our Credit Committee and basically laid this out and you looked at how DuJuan performed relative to others in our portfolio. The credit guys said, yes, let's do it. And six week later we ended up writing him a check. And since then he's over-delivered on his budgets and his investment in Evansville and in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Lafayette, you know, grand slam.
2	MR. BUSBY: So you're really saying
3	it's about relationships?
4	MR. KOMJATHY: It's relationships, but
5	it and especially in broadcast. And more so
6	it's management as well. I mean, you need
7	MR. BUSBY: Yes.
8	MR. KOMJATHY: that. And he has
9	over-delivered, I mean, on his original
LO	projections
L1	MR. BUSBY: Sure.
L2	MR. KOMJATHY: that he gave us.
L3	And you go back to our credit guys and it makes
L 4	it an easier discussion
L 5	MR. BUSBY: Sure.
L6	MR. KOMJATHY: based on, hey, this
L7	guy has delivered.
L8	MR. BUSBY: And the next
L9	MR. OXENDINE: Relationships are
20	wonderful, but I think what you just heard was
21	that this is Business 101 to be able to (A) prove
22	the concept, and having proven the concept, you

I'm talking about you. The analytics are critical. You got to look at the capital structure from senior debt to subordinated debt to common equity, to preferred stock, because all of these -- each of those tiers is important as we talk about financing. It's access to capital. You need to know what kind of capital you want, what layers, et cetera.

But I don't want to get in the way. I mean, aren't the analytics important? That makes a big, big difference. The world has changed.

MS. MYERS: Yes, it definitely makes a big difference. I mean, I think that you have to look at other things, too, though. Like you have to look at the programming, whether -- someone mentioned earlier about exclusivity of programming. You have to look at embracing technology, I mean, because things are changing. And I think you need to look at OTT and podcasting or -- there's a lot of different dynamics that I think all make sense in putting

together and growing your business. And you can't do that I don't think just with a -- in the old traditional way anymore if you want to grab that new audience that's definitely changed the way they view television.

Well, I think all of MR. OXENDINE: you know that what's happened. In my days many, many years ago you had broadcasting, high barriers of entrance because you had big hardware Fox came in in '80. and three networks, maybe. then you went to cable, but that wasn't the best because it was copper. Because you and I would be on it, but if Garret, you joined, then it degraded. Then we had the fiber, which was cable So we've moved from broadcasting to with glass. -- over-the-air broadcasting to cable to satellite to now OTT, this over the top. do have to know more in order to get the business.

Now the good thing now is that the barriers are down because you need less hardware and more technology. So maybe we need to talk a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

little bit about what you said. The analytics are important, but you also have to evolve with time in the banking structure.

MS. MYERS: Yes, I think the -- what you're saying, the barriers to entry are really much lower for OTT, but there is so much competition. So you have to find a way to stand out from that -- for that competition, and programming has certainly been a factor for that.

MR. BUSBY: Right. We have people in the audience like Kelvin Boston you need to talk to who's there. But you want to ask some questions. Should we be good and --

MR. BUSBY: No, one of the things,
Brian, that I've heard from the audience is that
we will go into a bank and have a deal, let's say
requires; and I'm just using hypothetical
numbers, \$100,000, and the bank will look at the
deal and say this is a great deal. We'll give
you 40. And it's just enough for you to fail.
And then once you fail, they'll turn around and
take that same deal and offer it to someone else

-- a majority community and give them exactly what they need plus a longer window to pay it back and better terms.

How can we as an audience and members and advocates, as well as bankers, ensure that we are going in early enough so we're establishing those relationships, making sure that we're getting what we need and that we're not walking away with -- in our community we've always said, well, we will make it happen. Give us what we can and we're creative and we'll make that dollar stretch knowing going into the deal that you don't have enough to have sustainability.

MR. McNEILL: Yes, so I mean,
experience -- those are unfortunate situations.
And in my experiences; that's a little bit
unfortunate, the smaller deals, if the deal is
too small, sometimes it's hard to attract
sophisticated experienced people for that deal.
And that's unfortunate because bad things can
happen if the bank doesn't really know the
business and the investor doesn't.

In most of the deals we end up doing they're a little bit bigger, but we do some small/medium-sized deals as well as some very big deals. And I think it's important to make sure you're dealing with an investor group that knows what they're doing and that is not going to under-capitalize the business. It's important that you go to sophisticated, media-savvy banks. The first call we made when we were dealing with DuJuan was to Garret because Garret personally knows the business inside and out, and his institutions is supportive of the business.

And I think the other two important things are, besides relationships like that, is number one, obviously the track record of the key entrepreneur is probably the single most important thing. And the second most important thing is the economics. I mean, in these days it's hard -- you're not going to really steal anything. That's not easy to do because it's a pretty sophisticated world out there. And it's hard for small to medium-sized startup companies

to compete with existing companies that have debt capacity and are financing acquisitions with six percent money rather than a blended cost of capital that's somewhere in the teens.

so I think you have to have really talented entrepreneurs that can buy something based on not only what the trailing cash flow is, but on -- based on what they can do with it. And you have to have a guy who has really strong vision, a great plan, is very convincing and can convince the investor group that don't just look at the trailing financials. Look at what I can do with it. And if you -- I think if you -- I think that's why you need a sophisticated investor group and sophisticated lenders to be able to do that. Otherwise, it's tough. So I think you need all those things.

You need relationship with the right people, you need a great track record and someone who really knows what they're doing, and you need to be able to articulate. I think for entrepreneurs, you got to be able to buy

underachieving assets that have some operational upside because the business by itself just isn't growing fast enough to generate the kind of returns you're going to have to generate if you're buying a well-run asset and going to run it the same way. So those are my two or three thoughts.

MR. OXENDINE: Dan, what do you have to say about that?

MR. DAMON: Yes, look, I think I sort of break it into a number of components. I mean, our organization, we cover this sector. We're in the business of lending to this sector. We want to lend to this sector, but what we find to be the most difficult thing that potential entrants into the industry have -- and this might sound not very believable --- that the bank's senior debt piece in our minds is the easiest piece to raise.

MR. OXENDINE: Sure.

MR. DAMON: I mean, these businesses are very efficient businesses. We know how the

perform in good economies, we know how they perform in bad economies. We can look up who are the competitors in the market? The most difficult thing in our mind is raising the equity. Because when we look at someone coming in, we're going to say, okay, this is the market they're in, this is how much they want to pay for the asset. Okay. If the economy goes down 10, 20 percent, we could figure it out. But if something goes wrong in their plan or in the economy, does that owner have deep pockets to weather their storm? How can they handle it?

And so when we look at these things -and Brian is right, I mean, our organization, we
skew to slighter bigger transactions simply
because of the nature of our organization and who
we do business with, but at the end of the day
it's the same thing. I mean, we want these
businesses to be successful. I mean, if loans go
wrong, there is a real economic cost to us,
number one. And number two, we have credibility
with our own senior management. If we bring in

transactions that don't work and then we bring in another one, they're going to look at us and say, well, guys.

So we all have the same interests in having these industries succeed, but I think it really is key when you're starting out; and maybe you need to give a larger percentage of your company away to someone else to finance you, but unless you have equity behind you, it's very difficult for a commercial bank to sit there and say, well -- trust me is a nice concept and obviously individuals, and I think a lot of banks get into trouble lending to people that they don't do enough diligence on and how capable they are and who -- what their character is. the end of the day, the loans have to be paid back. And if there's a blip, you need to have an equity partner who is supportive of your transaction.

But as I said, I think the biggest issue that Garret and I have in our business is not enough deal flow. We don't have people

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

lining up. Now a lot of it is because of the consolidation in the industry. I would also say 10 years ago the recession -- a lot of banks that used to be in the media finance business lost a lot of money, and they've never returned to the business. So now in terms of the cheap performance of capital there's less of us who do it. There are other participants in the market; they're more expensive.

But the last thing I would say is we find in a lot of transactions we do the company is going to be headquartered wherever they're going to be headquartered. There are a lot of local banks in where the company is headquartered or where their stations are who want to do business with local companies. So I'd say the overwhelming percentage now of our sort of midsize transactions are put together with people like ourselves who are media-centric organizations and local banks who want to do business with companies in their markets.

MR. OXENDINE: Okay. I'm going to

cheat because this first question we were supposed to ask was about whether or not there was impediments to capital? And if so, are the broadcasters working around it? You can't work around it. You need to have capital. So I'm going to cheat and say let's forget that question.

(Laughter.)

MR. OXENDINE: The second question:
What are some of the new financing options
available to new and small broadcasters? Because
SBICs and MESBICs aren't around. I know that
because I had SBICs, and I know they're not
around. Okay?

Now, third question so we can quickly get to what you have to say. Third question:

Private equity has played a predominate role in lending in the last 10 years. Has this been beneficial?

My question is this: The question -we're talking about new entrants in the market
now. I think the real question is what financing

options are available not to new small broadcasters, but to new small people who want to get into the media world, because it's not broadcasting anymore. It's broadcasting, it's over the air broadcasting originally. So you have to go from OTA over to OTT. You have to go from broadcasting. You're still going to be a broadcaster. You'll still have your local market and still have the world. And people are talking to me. Well, do broad -- podcasting now. And the broadcasters who do so well -- the reason why they do so well, they've been there so long that they've managed to evolve.

So us little people are going to be able to get in. How do we get in? How do we motivate the small -- our do we -- how do the little guys get in? And I think we get in one way: The new world we live in here has what, cell phones, 600 million. We started out with radio and a transmitter, TV and a transmitter, and then the cable. But now we got 600 million cell phones here.

Now, Kelvin, you want to get in it 1 2 because you create apps and you help us out. Where's the other guy? Patrick 3 4 creates -- okay. You work with him. He creates 5 How do we provide financing so that we 6 can all work together? Broadcasters can still be broadcasters and they can -- now they have a 7 8 market in a local market, but they can expand 9 their market. So we've gone from broadcasting to narrow casting to streaming to really narrow 10 11 That's what all the streaming is about. casting. 12 How do we get people in the audience to get involved and then how can the FCC and NAB profit? 13 14 How do we deal with that? Because you're the 15 money --16 (Simultaneous speaking.) 17 MR. KOMJATHY: All right. Well, I'll 18 take a shot at it. 19 MR. OXENDINE: Sorry to --20 (Simultaneous speaking.) 21 MR. KOMJATHY: No, I mean, one of the 22 tough things about going off and being a

podcaster -- what are the barriers to entry? 1 2 Zero. 3 MR. OXENDINE: Right. 4 MR. KOMJATHY: Right? I'm a lender. 5 I'm going to give you money and you're podcasting on top of K. What's to prevent another 100 6 7 people coming in and replicating or providing 8 podcasts that's just narrow to yours? I mean, 9 there's nothing to defend that. And as a bank, it's -- as -- not to sound -- it's about getting 10 11 paid back. If you get attacked or your podcast 12 doesn't get the audience and you're not 13 generating cash flow, a bank wouldn't do that. 14 It's more of an equity play. And --MR. OXENDINE: Fair. So what if Mr. 15 16 Boston over there who creates apps, what if he 17 says I'm sitting in my house in my bathrobe and 18 I'm creating this storytelling podcast? 19 have --20 MR. JOHNSON: On OTC space I think you 21 want to look at what companies are selling for? Like Bob Johnson just sold one of his OTT 22

platforms for 380,000. So they have that. So I think you need to look at these different channels and this opportunity, especially when it comes to OTT, that they are valuable assets that people can measure. They have Internet and all that kind of stuff. It's a real business. So I just wanted to share that with you.

MR. OXENDINE: Okay. But from a -you're talking about Internet TV? We're going
from broadcasting to Internet TV. And you're
saying regular TV owners have a market, they have
100,000 people, a million people, et cetera, and
they do broadcasting. You create a podcast
platform and you allow them to not only have
100,000, but you allow them to spread out to
whoever has these cell phones. And so now they
go from 100,000 people to 130,000.

And I'm suggesting that in a new marketplace we have to begin to look at specific audiences: Indians, blacks, whatever. We have to look at specific programming that's not out there anymore. We don't know about our own culture, we

don't know about our own history, we don't even know about our own lifestyles. Okay? And there are sponsors who want to get to us, because when you look at 45-plus million blacks, 45 -- you got 100 million people who are minorities, or one-third of the population, and the dominant population controls everything. Who's going to be smart enough to fund the people who are going to take care of those?

Because when you look at Phoenix,

Phoenix has got 4 million people, it's got 46

percent of it's white, 40 percent of it is

Hispanic, 6 is black. So when they go on their

podcast or when they go to find out what stores

are around, the dominant population will say -
what kind of restaurants are around, there won't

be any Spanish. Where will they get it? From

the new. So is that interesting at all?

MR. DAMON: Yes. Well, look, I would say on this topic -- and I one of the panels this morning someone had mentioned, or one of the questions was -- I mean, these new business -- to

some extent this is really private equity venture capital kind of risk. It's not what regulated commercial banks do.

So I think it's really -- because obviously it's new, it's small, it's risky. And it's -- and I think smart money, when it finds the right product, will finance it. It's -- I just think it's a little bit early for the bank stage.

I would mention -- so Mr. Johnson's company, RLJ, obviously anyone in the industry, the finance industry who probably financed Bob back in the '90s at BET, knew him. He had a reputation. His company had its own financing. It probably wasn't the cheapest financing, but he had financing that got him to a size that AMC just paid a big number for.

(Off-microphone comments.)

MR. DAMON: Yes, exactly. And so I think it's really -- it's a question of matching up -- I think financing is available and there's a lot of smart money running around trying to

find places to invest. I'm not going to sugarcoat it. It is very difficult particularly when individuals -- I mean, I look at it it's more a problem of whether it's a small business or an individual raising financing. Obviously society is more complicated than that. There's other reasons behind it. But I think you have to match the risk profile with the capital you're raising. And when it gets to a certain size, then it falls into the world that Garret and I are in.

MR. McNEILL: And if it's really a startup rather than -- I mean, it's probably not a bank debt discussion. It's probably not even a private equity discussion. It's a venture capital discussion, which is a little bit of a different pool of money. But there are a million venture capital companies out there. And one of the things that's really happened over the past five years, a lot of those ancillary businesses that seem to have a lot of upside, whether it's digital or over the top, they're being done

within the traditional media companies. 1 2 One has done a lot of different things to broaden from just radio. 3 4 So a lot of these things are getting 5 done in radio companies or TV companies because they have the established cash flow and in a 6 7 platform to be able to finance some of these 8 newer technologies like podcasting and digital 9 which have had more upside. 10 MR. KOMJATHY: And the existing audience to --11 12 To promote. MR. McNEILL: 13 MR. KOMJATHY: --- promote it to. 14 MR. McNEILL: Yes. 15 MR. KOMJATHY: And that's a huge 16 advantage. 17 MR. OXENDINE: And that's what I'm 18 kind of suggesting that off-line is old-19 fashioned. That's the tradition. Online is the 20 new stuff. So when you get a blend of online --21 I mean off-line/online and the traditional work with us older guys, ladies, work with the younger 22

folks. Because what happens is the phone companies and all the other people in communities that have less than 1,000 families in a square mile, big folks don't deal with. There's a lot of communities like that. So what can we --

MR. KOMJATHY: Yes, there's an opportunity for someone to come in.

MR. OXENDINE: That's what I'm suggesting for the new folks. What we need is we need mini-me's.

(Laughter.)

MR. OXENDINE: Okay? So I think somebody who has a small ISP create their own little ISP and someone who's got a small station and someone who knows how to create apps -- so all of a sudden they say, you know what, we've done this thing for entertainment and local news, but we can also do it for our lifestyle, et cetera. And that's in the public interest. And then we can get the commissioners, Henry's -- his attention and her attention. That's what I'm suggesting. We need a blend. So we need to

think outside of the box because you won't look 1 2 at it if it's just totally entrepreneurial, but you will look at a regular broadcaster, whether 3 4 it's radio or TV, so --Because you've got that 5 MR. KOMJATHY: cash flow there that lets me sleep at night 6 knowing that I'm going to get paid back. 7 8 MR. OXENDINE: Absolutely. 9 MR. KOMJATHY: But and then -- but the broadcast -- I mean from the broadcaster's 10 11 perspective the podcasting, the streaming, that's 12 giving them the growth --13 MR. OXENDINE: Absolutely. 14 MR. KOMJATHY: -- and that's the And then to your point about targeting 15 16 specific audiences, I mean the opportunity is 17 there. You've got to have the existing platform 18 and the resources to sort of fund that. 19 Well, that -- you MR. OXENDINE: Yes. 20 can look at -- Skyview Systems, look it up on the 21 Internet. What do they do? They take -- in 60

days they can do a couple of things:

22

They can

create programming or content, they can be an aggregator, they can syndicate it and they can put it on their own tech system because they're ISP people.

Then they can manage it going out to the folks who have the cell phones, who have the iPads, who have the TVs. Those are millions and millions of people. So they're creating specific content, they are -- they've got the backbone themselves because now they can produce it and store it in the sky. The library is the cloud. And they got all these phones and they can market it for you. So they say I will create a network; that's what a network is, and I will stream it to whomever you want.

I mean narrow casting meant -- in the old days you had like 100 million households, 33, 33 to ABC. Now -- what was narrow casting? You had one million? You were a winner. Because with that one million on cable, 30 percent of 100 people who looked at that, 30 were diehards and they would be -- the advertisers loved them.

This is even more narrow. So a smallest base with a smaller architecture, great circuitry can produce a lot, but you have to put that in your business plan and come see you. I don't know if people come see you. I mean --

So our question here is to ask how do new entrants get into the business? And the world is different than it was 30 years ago. And how do we get the FCC to get involved? They say, well, I'm not responsible for the tube things go through. Yes, but you are responsible for public interest. And we lived in a world where we as -- and I wrote to myself -- we lack the right images of ourselves because we never owned anything. We can change that now slowly in a blended way.

So what's our next question, before we get to the folks in the audience?

MR. BUSBY: In the past, it's always been equity financing. What is the future? What does that look like? And, yes, how can the audience leave with good ideas about -- taking back to their local communities to say, hey, here

is what we learned while we were in D.C. if we want to get financed?

I would say, look, I mean, MR. DAMON: there's been a lot of discussion today about -- I know DuJuan had mentioned relationship. it's an overused term, it's a simplified term, but I think it's key. If you want to start in a local community, you need to know your local bankers, you need to know your local organizations in the community, the local politicians and -- because it's a very competitive world. It's hard to distinguish -look, in our business we look at probably hundreds of loans a year. So we have to sort of figure out, well, who's good, who's not? Well, a lot of it other than simple spreadsheet due diligence is relationships.

And I think it's a long process, it's a difficult process, but I think relationships -to get in an industry -- DuJuan is very successful. If Brian hadn't recommended DuJuan to Garret -- Garret doesn't know DuJuan from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

anyone. And so, the relationships matter.

Putting in the time matters. And I think having a track record or whatever industry you're in or whatever your skill set is in is important, because we lend to a lot of companies in broadcasting. Some perform better than others. And we scratch our heads sometimes, like, well, how it is possible you have two people who operate TV stations in XYZ City and one has margins that are better? Well, some people are simply more capable in terms of managing.

So forming relationships, having a track record I think is key to getting the meetings. And then at the end of the day the numbers will work or they won't work. And unfortunately that's what it will come down to in the end, but to get in the door I think forming these relationships is critical.

MR. KOMJATHY: And again I would also -- the other thing I would also say, and sort of counter to what we've been hearing today, a lot of the local banks that are out there are dying

for loan volume. I mean, it may not -- people out -- they may not believe that, but in their local communities these guys are looking for loan volume The regulation that's come out of -- came out of Washington, D.C. cut out a lot of the stuff that these guys could do. And they're looking to be able to put money in their backyards.

We did a deal with a radio broadcaster last year. We were the lead back and we were looking for other banks to come in. We went to the banks in Alabama where the company was headquartered and we had more banks than the financing amount was needed.

MR. McNEILL: And that's what we did in SGL, too. We had a local bank that really wanted to put money into this company that was based in California, but they needed the knowledge and expertise of Garret and U.S. Bank, which made it work.

On the equity side one of the things that Dan mentioned earlier is true on the equity

1	side, too, and unfortunately if you go back, I
2	don't know, 15 to 20 years ago, there was a lot
3	of specialized investment firms, private equity
4	firms that were doing a lot in the media, and
5	also the world was less consolidated then. So
6	there was a whole lot of private companies to
7	finance, but a lot of people did lose a lot of
8	money in the Great Recession. And a lot of those
9	guys on the equity side left the business. So
10	there's clearly fewer private equity guys that
11	have capital, that have expertise. So there's
12	fewer of us, unfortunately.
13	MR. OXENDINE: Do you have any closing
14	statements here because
15	PARTICIPANT: We had a couple
16	questions, yes.
17	MR. OXENDINE: We're going to get to
18	the questions. Are we good?
19	MR. DAMON: Yes, I guess the last
20	closing statement I would say very quickly so the
21	questions can start is I think with all the new
22	technologies that are out there, ironically while

it creates a lot of pressure for the broadcasting industry, I think it really gives them an opportunity to compete, because obviously younger people -- I have three kids. They consume their media very differently. Probably in lieu of their homework they consume it. But the technology is out there that really can help both radio and TV and give it a leg up against some very large competitors on the West Coast.

So I think it's not an easy process.

Obviously the FCC can do certain things to help.

It's obviously a political organization so it has its limitations, but at the end of the day the opportunities are there. And we've seen it in valuations and deal flows. And if it's a good deal, there will be financing for it at the end of the day.

MR. OXENDINE: Great. Well, I have one question that -- or a statement was given to me, so I got to read it, otherwise they'll fire me and I won't get paid today.

(Laughter.)

MR. OXENDINE: And then we'll get you, okay, Ron?

It says here from Larry Okay. Witherspoon -- oh, Larry. Larry, he's a California guy and he owns Connect to Your City And he says, the subject: OTT Advantage for Broadcasters and Investors. Podcasters are becoming broadcasters because OTT, over the top TV, OTT operators allow the content to be amplified to a significantly greater audience Millions of podcasters are now providing size. unique community-relevant content with a built-in audience of eyeballs that will support any product advertised on the shows they create. Content is king and investors have the opportunity to acquire massive content archives that will produce revenue for replay years to come.

That's an interesting statement we need to think about. Thank you, sir, or ma'am, or whatever. Okay.

MR. BUSBY: Larry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. OXENDINE: Thank you, Larry.

MR. KIZART: Good afternoon. My name is Sherman Kizart. I'm managing director of Kizart Media Partners in Chicago, a member of the FCC Diversity Committee.

My question to you is centered the reality that there is going to be some evolving new podcasts ownership models, whether -- and it might be incubated models on both radio and television and that those could -- and those opportunities are going to be a combination of maybe entrants of smaller broadcasters strategically aligning with a Cumulus or an iHeart or someone like that.

So my question to the U.S. Bank representatives and to you, Brian, does that create an opportunity for you to look at doing business with these new evolving broadcast models kind of going forward? Because the reality is that the landscape is changing, and will iHeart be what it is a year from now based on the fact that as it's coming out of its Chapter 11, that

it may have to shed a couple hundred properties?

And that creates -- that might not necessarily

create another opportunity for a bigger

broadcaster like -- someone like these others,

but it may create opportunities for entrepreneurs

in individual markets.

So, and I ask that question to you,
Brian, to Daniel and Garret, because I'm not so
sure I was hearing that there is an appetite for
you all to want to work with those. So I'd like
to hear it.

MR. McNEILL: Yes, well, two things:

Number one, I mean, just one of the things that

will probably happen, because I think one of the

clouds that's been hanging over the radio

industry that has not been hanging over the TV

industry over the last five years -- and TV, if

there's a divestiture process or TV stations are

for sale, there's a number of private and public

companies that want to buy and can buy, et

cetera.

In radio it's been tough the last five

years because the two biggest companies have been in -- practical speaking, in bankruptcy and --

MR. KIZART: But the industry still is kicking off good revenues, nice cash -- I mean, you look at the kind of cash that a broadcaster -- radio kicks off, it's as good or better than television.

MR. McNEILL: Well, it's good. I
mean, it -- radio has been hurt by two things:
Number one, it doesn't have the second revenue
stream, but look, we're in a lot of radio
investments including Radio One and like the
business. So, but the -- when you're financing a
new company, whether it's Garret and I or another
private equity firm, one of the things they do
look at is when you're getting into a business,
what's the exit going to be in 2 years or 5 years
or 10 years?

And one of the clouds, one of the general gray clouds that's been hanging over the radio industry the last five years is you really haven't had the same type of vibrant buyer pool

that you do in TV just because the big guys, the two big S guys have been -- haven't been able to do anything. But that's getting fixed, right? I mean, iHeart is getting fixed, Cumulus is getting fixed. And I think hopefully you'll get to the point soon where two things: Number one, there are some spinoffs in radio at economically interesting prices. And number two, I think you're going to get to a point where the biggest companies fix their balance sheets and have the ability to start selectively buying. And it's just a healthier dynamic. So that's one thing.

The second thing, if I'm understanding your question, is, sure, if there's a clever way for entrepreneurs to kind of partner with a bigger company to do something, that -- there -- whether it's share costs or share overhead -- sharing costs is -- you need to be a little careful these days, but sharing costs, sharing overhead, or even just as a strategic investor. I think those kind of things would make a project --

MR. OXENDINE: Do you have an appetite 1 2 for something like that? 3 MR. McNEILL: Sure. Yes, we look at 4 everything. We look at --MR. OXENDINE: Well, look, I've got to 5 fire you now. I'm looking at this man behind 6 7 That was great about the strategic you. partnering is critical. And by the way, we need 8 9 to strategically partner as entrepreneurs ourselves. 10 11 MR. KIZART: And I want to hear from 12 U.S. Bank on this, too. 13 MR. OXENDINE: No, okay. But hold on. 14 So I think two things: (A) we need among ourselves to raise capital, so the Henry Riveras 15 16 of the world need to get with me. The younger 17 ones of us, that is. So we should do 18 strategically -- we should strategically partner, 19 but we should also strategically partner with the 20 big folks, not to compete but to complete. 21 let's let this other gentleman give us a question. 22

Hey, how you doing? MR. KING: Мy name is William King from Omaha, Nebraska. I own a low-power radio station. We haven't talked about two modes where we can raise capital without needing bankers, because I didn't go to a bankers. First, I used collective economics. I called The brother talked about it earlier. friends and family. I collected many amounts of money and I collected it together. That's how I bought my first antenna and transmitter. collective economics, as advice to those that are listening, because I mean, they have barriers, is one mode.

And then also the JOBS Act that

President Obama passed where we could do crowd

funding. And that's the next adventure that

we're going to take on where we could sell stock

for the first time to un-accredited investors,

your neighbors. Since 1933. And so the same

philosophy as the brother talked again from

Florida that we go to our neighbors, those people

that love us, that know us, and then we collect

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the money from them. We could leverage that.

And then we could go to the bankers because now
we have a collection of neighbors that love us,
that believe in us. Then we can move forward.

So I want us to at least bring that to the forefront, because they have barriers. And so collective economics and then a new crowd funding law where we can actually sell stock for the first time to un-accredited investors for \$1 to \$5,000 a year. All right? Thank you.

MR. OXENDINE: Well, that's excellent because you may think that the president has just created this Opportunity Fund. You talked about the Opportunity Fund? Yes, the -- you can talk about the Opportunity Fund gets people to invest in the fund for certain communities. And there are going to be a lot of them. It's happening as we speak. And I'm talking about big bucks for people to come in for us to have the money.

But go ahead. You talked to the issue.

MR. SIMMS: First of all, my name is

George Simms with BingeNow. I agree with what you guys are saying in terms of the investment. We've gotten friends and family. Okay. And then we've gone to Wealth Investors. And during this some unique things are happening. For example, the State of Maryland is investing in our startup because they see an opportunity of new businesses creating new jobs, because they know that's where the jobs are coming from.

So the other thing -- let back up for a minute. Also when you're doing this, sort of separate and understand this whole new OTT world. I'm a technology provider. Like I'm on an edge platform. So I have software. I have IP. I have copyright stuff. And I help a lot of these providers.

Let me get to my question then. I've talked to -- I know in Maryland there are some banks that are -- have high net worth investors who are looking to say how can I take these capital gains and invest in these Opportunity Funds?

So my question is do you have high net 1 2 worth investors who are seeking to put money into Opportunity Funds? And if so, give me a call. 3 4 (Laughter.) MR. OXENDINE: Nice plug. 5 (Laughter.) 6 7 MR. BUSBY: Well, we are exactly 8 ending on time. We as the moderators truly 9 appreciate your enthusiasm, your questions, as well as the brain power that's on the panel. 10 11 From the U.S. Black Chambers' perspective, this 12 is enlightening and we look forward to increasing the number of black-owned TV and radio stations 13 14 across the country. As we start talking about expanding and telling our own story, this is 15 16 going to be critical for the future of the 17 African American community. So again I say thank 18 you. 19 MR. OXENDINE: Thank you, Henry. Thank you for having us. 20 PARTICIPANT: 21 (Applause.) 22 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter

went off the record at 3:49 p.m. and resumed at 3:51 p.m.)

DR. JOHNSON: If I could get everyone's attention, if we could start our seventh panel for today and we have one additional one and then we'll be done.

We're the only thing standing between closing and going home. And so, we're going to try to stay on schedule.

It is a great pleasure for us to welcome all of you here again. And, I want to thank Former Commissioner Rivera and certainly Diane Sutter for their leadership in putting this together.

And, the idea is to -- and, someone asked this question earlier, what can the FCC do?

Well, the FCC has done a lot already.

They planned this event for us. And, they're
looking for us to come away with some ideas and
some suggestions and some pathways forward so
that they can go back to the drawing board and
implement a program that's going to be far-

reaching and be very successful and will benefit 1 2 all of us in this industry. So, we want to thank the FCC for doing 3 4 this. And now, we have to do our part in suggesting some ideas going forward. 5 So, my name is Ron Johnson. 6 This is 7 my third term as a member of the -- at the 8 Diversity Committee. And, personally, I have 9 participated in the incubator program myself in the telecom industry for almost 12 years. 10 11 I can say that it's a successful way 12 to move your small enterprise forward and I hope 13 those of you who have any trepidation about 14 joining an incubator initiative, let me assuage 15 any concerns you might have because they do work. 16 And, the key is having a willing 17 partner, a willing business partner, and a 18 minority firm that's willing to commit to an 19 incubator program. 20 And so, much of the conversation so 21 far has been about access to capital. And, we 22 believe that the incubator program is one way to

satisfy that void in small business and diversity 1 2 businesses. If you have not read about the Docket 3 4 17-289 which spells out the incubator program, I 5 suggest you do that when you get home at your There are some important aspects of it 6 leisure. 7 that I will cover later on in our presentation. 8 And, if you have any questions about 9 that, certainly, we will -- me and my team will 10 try to answer as many of those questions as we 11 can. 12 We have a distinguished panel. And, 13 starting to my far left, Jeffrey is from -- and, 14 I think all of you know these folks, I'm not in broadcasting myself and I noticed all of the 15 16 hugging and shaking hands going on around here. 17 (Laughter.) 18 DR. JOHNSON: And, but I don't have 19 those relationships with my dear colleagues. 20 But, nonetheless, Kim is from Cox Media. We know 21 Cox very well.

And, of course, Brian is from Scripps

out of Cincinnati, Ohio. So, we've had our 1 2 conversation being home boys from Cincinnati, so 3 we are ready to go. 4 And, my co-moderator is no stranger to 5 all of you or any of y'all here, he's Orlando Rosales. He's President of Media Vista Group. 6 7 Very successful entrepreneur. He came through a 8 mentor protégée program himself. And so, he has 9 mentored others as he has grown his own 10 enterprise. 11 And so, why don't we just kick if off 12 with Orlando introducing himself again and just 13 speaking in any way you like about your 14 experiences and how beneficial a mentor protégée 15 program has been to you? 16 MR. ROSALES: Yes, thank you very much 17 for the invitation. 18 I came to the United States in 1996 19 with a lot of dreams and just came up to own a TV 20 station without -- by accident accounting. 21 The opportunity came and then I went

to the DOT program and met Diane Sutter who made

available for me to have that kind of mentorship 1 2 through the program and meet other people in the industry needed to grow the company and go to the 3 4 next level. So, for me, it's very important all 5 these about mentoring. 6 7 With that in place, I want to ask you 8 about, have you mentored any company, any 9 newcomers to the broadcast industry and what experiences you have with that? 10 11 MR. SMULYAN: You want to start with 12 me? 13 MR. ROSALES: Please, please. 14 MR. SMULYAN: I think one thing I should say is, I think, in the DNA of most 15 16 broadcasters I have known, they really feel a 17 commitment to their communities and the people in 18 their communities. 19 And, I've found remarkable willingness 20 in most people that I've known to give back and 21 get involved. And, I mean, I can't even imagine

how many people I have mentored and my company

has mentored over the years.

It's one of the most rewarding experiences you could have.

I think the idea of formalizing the programs make sense. I -- Juan and I were at dinner last night and I was sort of saying, the biggest challenge we have, mentoring helps prepare people to run companies.

The biggest challenge we have still is access to capital. I hate to be redundant on the earlier sessions, but I think if there's one thing that we can do that will really allow individuals to start companies and gain more access it would probably change the tax certificate.

We have -- Brian talked about it in the last session, we have a horrible lack of capital, especially in radio. It's not as pronounced in television, but in radio, there has been a tremendous lack of capital over the last five to ten years.

So, I spoke to -- I think a group, I

1 think it was an ABOC group a couple months ago. 2 And, I said, well, now everybody's in the same Nobody has capital. 3 boat. 4 It used to be that, you know, that 5 minority broadcasters had struggles finding Today, it's really very pronounced. 6 capital. 7 So, I think finding capital is the 8 single biggest thing. Having mentoring programs, 9 preparing people to run businesses, helping them grow their businesses is critical. 10 11 But the biggest issue is lack of 12 capital. 13 DR. JOHNSON: And, you would probably 14 agree that, exponentially, it's more difficult for diverse companies to --15 16 MR. SMULYAN: Absolutely, yes. 17 Absolutely, positively, which is why, to me, the 18 tax certificate was always the great equalizer. 19 You have to have an advantage to gain 20 an equal seat at the table. And, I know that 21 people have talked about whether abuses.

always said over the past 20 years, you could

solve that.

But the biggest thing is getting people a seat at the table. And, I think it equalizes when diverse companies and individuals have the advantage of a tax certificate.

DR. JOHNSON: And certainly, Cox has been involved in diversity for many years and you guys have an outstanding program down in Atlanta.

Can you speak briefly about your experiences in program similar to mentor-protégée on an incubator?

MS. GUTHRIE: Sure.

So, we've taken advantage of the NIBEF programs and have sent quite a few people through the BLT program. Thanks, Diane.

And, I think that's really a wonderful formal and a very hard program. That's not like just a weekend, that's your whole year almost.

So, I really appreciate it and I think that says a lot about the discipline of the people who go through that.

I guess one of the perspectives I

have, I've sat through most of these panels today, I don't come as an owner, I come as an operator.

And, I think we focus a lot today on ownership and getting capital and -- but you've got start at the -- in the pipeline. You've got to get minorities and women in the pipeline and I think that's where we focus more is that you've got to tap those people and you've got to reach out a hand to them and say, I think you're talented and I think you can do more.

And, that's the kind of thing that I think our company's really working on and I think I personally work on. I'm a mentor every year to somebody. And, I try to always have an open door. If you want time with me, you get time with me. I don't care who you are.

We have 5,000 employees. None of those are owners. So, that's a way, I think, to me, if we want to solve the problem at the ownership level, you've got to start by getting people in the pipe in management and -- you came

up through IT.

I mean, it's not a traditional road to the top, but I think we employee a lot of people.

And so, it shouldn't always have to be about ownership. And, I think if we can focus on just getting people exposed to leadership positions and teaching them how to be good leaders, I think that's really important.

And, I think that's where I've spent a lot of my time.

MR. ROSALES: Do you have any specifics about any mentoring you have done? Any of them that became an ownership? Any specific cases that have been successful?

MS. GUTHRIE: Not in -- again, not in the ownership part, but I can look at people who -- I always use an example. A couple weeks ago, we've got -- we were putting our D&I, our diversity inclusion group together.

CMG, Cox Media Group, is only ten years old. Believe it or not, we were radio, TV, newspaper separate and now we, in '09, put it all

together.

So, we had a charter, Diversity and Inclusion Committee, and we were kind of drafting people. And, I said, oh, we should get a Millennial on this committee.

And so, I brought this guy, Tim

Clarke, to the D&I Committee and he showed up.

And, someone turned to me and said, well, you

didn't tell me he was Asian. Oh, I didn't think

of him as Asian, I just thought of him as young.

And, that was the piece I was looking to recruit.

And, I think, you know, when you can stop labeling people like that, I think that's part of it, too. He's not an owner, but his is now running all of our programming and the kid's like 32 years old.

So, that's not an owner, but that's a very important role in my company on the radio side. He controls all of the programming for our 60 stations.

So, and it was largely because we got him exposed to things when he was in his 20s.

So, he's doing a job that, you know, most people 1 2 wouldn't get until they were in their 40s or 50s. So, while this 3 DR. JOHNSON: 4 initiative doesn't speak directly to workforce 5 development as we break that glass ceiling, I 6 think I hear you saying that another pathway to getting a diverse company in the radio space to 7 8 be successful is to find individuals in your own 9 organizations that perhaps have experience, have interest and we kind of train them up to become 10 11 entrepreneurs in the radio industry. That is 12 another pathway. 13 We don't speak of that specifically in 14 this conversation, but that's a good idea that you put on the table for us to think about from a 15 16 workforce development perspective. Great idea, 17 yes. 18 Brian? 19 MR. LAWLOR: Yes, Ron, I'd probably 20 come at it the same way from -- as Kim. 21 I'm an operator, not an owner. 22 Jeff, you know, at Scripps, I don't spend a lot

of time worrying about the assets to capital.

But I do spend a lot of time worrying about, you know, advancing and building a workforce that's representative of our communities, that is diverse and talented.

And so, I think, Orlando, you, you know, had asked for, you know, specific examples of some of the things that we do to focus on, you know, building a diverse workforce.

And, it really, to Kim's point, it really starts at the bottom. You know, the focus starts at the top with the CEO and driving down and making sure the culture is right. And that's a priority at Scripps.

But, you know, we believe that, in order to, you know, build for the future, we've got to start at the beginning. And so, you know, one of the programs that we started seven years ago, we call it the JCP program. It's a journalism career program.

And, we've recognized that we were having a hard time attracting diverse employees

right out of college.

Back then, we only had ten television stations, even if we could attract a diverse employee, once we trained them and mentored them, they were out the door to a bigger company and bigger market.

Now, with, you know, soon, we'll have 52 television stations. We're able to help manage their careers a little bit more.

But, the program really started as a partnership at Arizona State University with the Cronkite School of Journalism where we approached them about being able to interview their graduates as they were, you know, in their final semester and then being able to identify four, present them an opportunity to go work at our Bakersfield television station, one of our smaller television stations.

We had to get creative on how we would build a program like that. We actually rented some housing and we actually put up these graduates so they could afford to live there.

But for the next year, we got to learn and know them and their brilliant skill set as they came out of one of the best journalism schools in America.

They got to learn our workflows and systems and we got to really grow and learn from each other.

And, you know, following a one-year, which is now a two-year contract with us, the next part is, all of our stations start bidding on these guys and are very interested in moving them on to the next markets.

They have markets in Cincinnati and Kansas City, the midsized markets. Seven years later, we have folks who have moved on to three markets and we just had our first JCP graduate who's now in management.

But we have now expanded this into we have partnerships with Syracuse University with the Newhouse School. We're at the University of Missouri as well as Arizona State and we also work with the NABJ to recruit folks who run

through their program.

We've put about 60 people through the program, more than half are still with the company and most of them, our focus is really in growing diversity.

And so, that's been a very successful program. So, while the one on one mentorship exists, the opportunity for us in every year to build a program that takes 12 to 16 graduates, put them in, mentor them at our level.

And, by the way, every year, we bring these folks up to Cincinnati. They get time with the CEO, the CFO, myself. So, they really get high exposure and access to strategy of the company.

We want to engage them early and hopefully, have a career of progression inside of one company.

DR. JOHNSON: So, that leads us to, I think, the next question. It says here, the FCC recently adopted an incubator program for radio.

How will you use the FCC program? How do you

think, overall, the program will be utilized in 1 2 your industry? And, I would suspect, and hopefully, 3 4 I'm mistaken, many of you in the audience might 5 not be aware and have specific knowledge about the FCC incubator program. 6 7 If you're integrally involved, would 8 you raise your hand and I won't have to read what 9 I'm going to read. And, do I see any hands? see two hands, three hands. 10 11 So, just a few comments about how this 12 program would work and I think it would be of 13 value to your understanding the responses that 14 our panelists will give. So, it provides new sources of capital 15 16 and other support to entities that may otherwise 17 lack access to financing or operational 18 experience. 19 It offers an incentive to encourage

established broadcasters to invest the time,
money, and resources needed to help a new or
small broadcaster purchase a radio station that

20

21

it could not buy on its own or make a struggling radio station financially viable.

And so, who can be an incubator entity? Small and aspiring stations owners that lack access to capital and need to buy and operate a radio station, and small owners of struggling radio stations that need financing.

And, the subject of financing just kind of emanates to all of this discussion. And so, now that we've talked a little bit about how the incubator program would work and who can participate, what would be your ideas about establishing a program like this at your company?

MR. SMULYAN: Well, again, it makes a lot of sense. It formalizes the traditional mentoring that we have done.

Interestingly enough, this program provides a carrot for broadcasters that, if they want to own more stations than they're allowed to own, if they participate in these programs that allows them to own more stations.

Again, whatever you can do to level

the playing field. Whatever you can do to get people to, you know, embrace minority broadcasters, it is what you're going to need to increase the number of minority stations.

And, I think Kim is exactly right, the most important thing we can do is get people through the pipeline.

As DuJuan stated earlier, you know, he couldn't, you know, he was ready to run a radio station, there were barriers there -- a TV station, but he had worked his way all the way up to the process.

Obviously, we don't get people who want to buy radio or TV stations unless they have been through the pipeline, they've been sales managers, they've been general managers, they've been program directors.

So, I think the idea is, we're, you know, work with people who we know and then also provide, you know, a program like this program which incents the broadcaster to formalize this project rather than just giving advice or setting

up a system that's saying, okay, we are 1 2 economically tied to making this successful. And, if we make it successful, then we 3 earn the ability to do something we ordinarily 4 wouldn't have done. So, that's the carrot. 5 Again, it's like the tax certificate, provide 6 7 carrots to level the playing field. So, before I hear from 8 DR. JOHNSON: 9 Kim and Brian, there are some caveats, though, and some eligibility requirements to participate 10 11 in the program. And there are three, and so, let 12 me just share with y'all what these three are. 13 The company that wants to be incubated must own no more than 3 full service radio 14 stations and no TV stations. That's number one. 15 16 They must qualify as a small business under the SBA rule which means that their annual 17

And, they must demonstrate that they meet both of the above in order to qualify. So, if you don't satisfy both of those, you would not

revenue for three years running cannot exceed

\$38.5 million. That's number two.

18

19

20

21

qualify to participate in the FCC incubator 1 2 program. So, having said that, what would your 3 4 response be? 5 MS. GUTHRIE: Well, I think all three of our companies are too big to be on the 6 7 incubatee side. So, we would have to be helping, we'd have to be the partner on the other side. 8 9 So, and I guess that's where we've 10 got, we, you know, we've got the capital and we're a \$2 billion company. We've got the 11 12 ability to help with some of our best practices, 13 whether it's how we research or go to market. 14 So, I think the idea that the incubator is smart, again, I still go back to 15 16 you've got to get people in the pipeline so that 17 they want to actually own a station of their own. 18 I personally never wanted to own a 19 I've enjoyed just being an operator and station. 20 taking on more responsibility that way and paying 21 it forward. But there are people who have more 22 of the entrepreneurial spirit. So, I think

1	companies like the three of ours can be very
2	helpful in that partnership.
3	MR. SMULYAN: By the way, I should
4	interject, if somebody's got \$38 million of
5	revenue, they probably don't need
6	MS. GUTHRIE: That's right.
7	MR. SMULYAN: my advice, they've
8	figured it out by themselves.
9	(Laughter.)
10	DR. JOHNSON: But that's a good point,
11	though. Because, as we tee up these responses to
12	FCC upstairs and if the issue is if you had \$38.5
13	for a three-year period on average, then perhaps
14	you might not need any help.
15	So, those are the kind of things we
16	want to pass up to the top.
17	MR. SMULYAN: These would be people
18	we'd be looking to lend us money.
19	(Laughter.)
20	MR. ROSALES: Different question
21	related to that. Do you think this is well-
22	known, the program, and well-promoted by the FCC?

1 And do you guys hear talking about that at the 2 corporate level? MS. GUTHRIE: Well, it's brand new. 3 I 4 mean, this is a, you know, we're trying to look 5 at the ownership rules and I know in the NAB 6 meetings, gosh, in the last six months, we've 7 kind of put that on the table to be a way to, you 8 know, things are tough. Radio business is not 9 growing core and television is not growing. what can we do? 10 11 I think the ownership limits need to 12 be loosened so that the good folks can run more 13 and the incubator program is a way to kind of 14 also solve kind of another problem which is to 15 get more minorities and people of color into ownerships. 16 17 So, it's brand new. I mean, no one's 18 19 I think much more --DR. JOHNSON: 20 MS. GUTHRIE: Okay, so --21 DR. JOHNSON: Yes, so, so noted. 22 Brian?

MR. LAWLOR: Well, we're not in the 1 radio business, so this would be the shortest 2 answer I give over. 3 4 MR. ROSALES: Do you think it can be 5 applied to television? In my case, for example, I own low power TV stations, it could have been a 6 7 great program to own a low power TV station. 8 Yes, I think it MR. LAWLOR: 9 definitely presents opportunity. I think we'll be curious to see how it does in radio. And, 10 11 obviously, early success would be very helpful. 12 But, I think that the opportunity also exists with all the consolidation that's happening 13 14 inside the television space, I think that the 15 opportunity for some of the larger broadcast 16 groups to be able to be mentors or help support, 17 you know, some smaller television stations 18 absolutely exists. 19 So, I would tend to think the FCC 20 would be looking at that sooner than later as 21 well. DR. JOHNSON: 22 I hope so.

MR. ROSALES: Yes, I hope so. So
IM. RODALLD. 1eb, I hope so. bo
MS. GUTHRIE: So, as a company that
owns
MR. ROSALES: Yes, please.
MS. GUTHRIE: two newspapers also,
you know, we just recently changed the ruling
about the newspaper cross ownership rule. You
know, right, as all the newspapers are going out
of business. So, it's a little late in the game
to be changing the ownership rule.
So, I hope that the FCC actually does
pay attention. We compete with Facebook and
Google every single day and they're not regulated
at all about ownership. And, they take 90
percent of all their digital revenues.
So, we sit here with handcuffs in
terms of what we can own and how many stations in
a given market.
We compete with people who have no
regulation whatsoever. It's just, I hope we are
a little more nimble in how we go at the rulings

and how it gets in the way currently.

And, the newspaper is the first canary in the coal mine. Newspapers are a dying business. It's really expensive to print a newspaper and drive it to your driveway every day.

And, those ownership rules, if those had been changed ten years ago when the recession hit and all the newspapers went over the cliff, I think you'd see more newspapers and more good, strong local investigative journalism by the newspaper industry.

We simply cannot find a way to be profitable in that business. So, I hope we learn a lesson on that.

And, the reason is, you know, if you could have early -- earlier put some broadcast with some newspaper in the same market, I think it would be a whole different story today.

DR. JOHNSON: I mean, this is good because the idea of this panel is to kind of assess from your perspective the important role of incubators and mentors, you all, in creating

these success stories that we heard about earlier today in the radio space, not the TV space.

so, having said that, if you were establishing an incubator program today, what would you look for in a potential partner? And, you mentioned some of it, but if we could be kind of very pointed in that because I want to tee these things up to the Committee and they can pass those on to folks upstairs.

MR. SMULYAN: And, the person to answer that, probably better than anybody alive is Diane. Diane has dealt with training people, getting, you know, and all of us, I think, have been involved in your program.

The most important thing in making somebody a successful entrepreneur is a passion for what they do, a willingness to learn.

What we can do is provide the tools. We can teach them how to build a balance sheet. We can teach them in the operational budget. We can teach them what they're going to have to learn about technology and engineering and

programming, sales.

But, you have to start with somebody who has a great passion. And, I, and, you know, having talked to so many people about this, the first thing you have to gauge is do they really want to do this?

This is hard work, especially in an industry that hasn't grown very much. So, I think you have the gauge that.

But, I think then, once they have that passion that can help them to succeed, I mean, we can help provide them with all the tools, all of us, you know, have done this for a long, long time, probably isn't -- there aren't many problems in these industries that we haven't seen.

And, hopefully, we can, you know, provide advice because we've seen things that, you know, a new broadcaster couldn't possibly have seen.

DR. JOHNSON: So, passion for the industry or working in the industry or having a

radio station would be very important, the rule allows for a company with little or no experience to stand up a radio station and be involved in incubator programs.

So, to your point, if you were trying to evaluate from the academic perspective whether or not a potential partner is right for your company, that overarching issue of passion probably supersedes the notion that they have to have been in business for X of years. Is that --

MR. SMULYAN: Well, and again, this gets back to Kim's point. It would be very difficult for somebody to walk in off the street and say, I want to start a radio company. I want to, you know, it would be the people who have been in my company or Brian's company or Kim's company who have worked their way through, have a basic understanding, there is a big leap between the, you know, different parts of these businesses and running the whole business.

But, if you have somebody who has a background in business, who knows the business,

loves the business, then I think you can work
with them and teach them all the things they're
going to need to know to make the leap from
management to ownership.

MR. ROSALES: What do you think is more important? The entrepreneurial spirit or the experience in the media?

MR. SMULYAN: Both, absolutely both.

I think, listen, I don't -- I think it's very

difficult. If you don't have the drive, the

passion, it's very difficult to work the hours to

build a business, it just is.

So, I think you have to be willing to work. But, obviously, you know, if you start without any knowledge, it's hard to say, you know, who is -- number one, you're not going to go any financial institutions, you know, whether there's a tax certificate or not, it's going to be hard to raise the capital.

So, you have to have some expertise as well as the drive. And then, what we can do is provide some of the knowledge that we've seen

with some experience to help.

DR. JOHNSON: That's an interesting comment because, Kim, you spoke very eloquently about your experience working in the corporation like Cox Media, but not on the side of the radio broadcasting side, right?

I mean, so, and having said that, it just seems to me that these companies, though they might be small, if they have the drive that you've talked about, Jeffrey, then learning the operational skills would certainly be required.

I want to note, though, all the sessions I heard today, and most of the panelists, and the audience spoke about the need -- access to capital, right?

We all talked about capital. Very little conversation about, I need some help in standing up and running a radio station effectively or a broadcast station effectively.

But, to your point, that's a very needed ingredient of having a radio station, wouldn't you think, Jeffrey and Brian and all of

you, wouldn't you agree on that?

MR. SMULYAN: Well, very quickly, if you need help operating, I mean, if that means you're already -- you're the owner, you're operating this station, sure, there are things we could do to help people who are broadcasters.

But that means you've cleared the first hurdle to raise the capital to buy the station.

I think we're talking about getting people prepared to buy those stations. It's a different question.

I think all of us have mentored other broadcasters who have started a station. I've dealt with a number of minorities over time as well as not minorities who just, their first station and, you know, what do I need to do? I see this, how do I deal with it?

So, I think that's -- and, that's started with my first comment that most of the people in this business who are in it love it and love giving back to it.

MR. LAWLOR: You know, I'd just add to Jeff's comment.

You know, clearly, drive and passion are the most important thing for them to be successful.

The other thing I would just as is, an incubatee starts to search out a partner. Not every media company is built the same way. And so, you know, mission, purpose, culture, all matter and they're different.

There are some companies that, you know, only operate small market media groups, others have large market, others have, you know, big news organizations, others have no news organizations.

You know, and so, I think finding a company that's a good fit. And, quite frankly, it could be, too, it could be one that's a small market really financially driven and another one where the expertise of understanding how to run a news organization and, you know, understand, you know, what different level of negotiations all

can matter, too.

So, I think it's important to note that not every media company is built the same. And, I think that somebody exploring this space should really look to identify early on what do they want to be and who are the companies that would be the best fit for --

You know, the radio incubator program is a two-year program. You know? That's your shot, right? So, you better get it right and you better have the right partner or you've just wasted two really critical years.

MR. ROSALES: Yes, what do you think can be done in the industry as a whole to promote ownership and by the FCC?

We understand with all the challenges in the industry that it's something you guys are thinking every day, how can we help diversity in ownership, but what do you think can be done?

MR. LAWLOR: You know, again, your question's about ownership, so, you know, Kim and I, we'll be greedy. We want to grow great

leaders and then keep them inside our company and have them run, you know, public and private, you know, companies.

But, I think, at the end of the day, I think we all have a responsibility to be intentional and focused right now on making sure that our organizations are representative of their communities, not just on air, but behind the scenes, the producers, the leadership.

At Scripps, we track our -- the metrics of diversity on a quarterly basis. We report them to the E.W. Scripps Company.

I know off the top of my head that 23 percent of the employees in the local media division are diverse. I know 27 percent of our newsroom employees are diverse.

So, you know, being intentional about it, making it a priority, building mentor programs and other programs that allow you to grow your diverse talent inside the organization will hopefully, you know, allow people to succeed and grow.

I think that's one of the real weaknesses of our industry. I think that, well, we have good diversity at the low and beginning of the middle level. I think we really lack representation at the highest level, at the corporate level as well as even the general manager level and all.

It's going to take time. I think we have the priorities and the programs in place and I'm optimistic that in five or ten years, we'll see the fruits of our labors really flesh up.

But I think the most important thing we can do as an industry will be to be focused and make it a priority and continue to develop programs. From there, I would hope that the big public and private companies will be a more robust relative to their diverse talent.

And, you would tend to think that some of them would break off to be more focused on their own ownership.

MS. GUTHRIE: And, if I -- I'm going to sound like a broken record, but it's not just

about ownership. I think one of the earlier speakers said, you know, what does success look like? Define success.

We will actually pick apart a news cast on our TV stations by the second. And, it's called the Kovsky report. You guys probably do the same thing and it will tell you, you know, of all the man on the street interviews, you know, 14 percent were female, 12 percent were African-American, 10 percent were Hispanic, and the other 60-whatever percent were white males.

And so, diversity isn't just about ownership, it's also about the message. And, if I'm watching the news in Atlanta, Georgia, it's not a lily white town. So, I want to see reporters that look like me, I want to see reporters that talk about stories that affect the community.

You've got to really reflect your communities. So, it's not just about how many owners and radio and television are minorities or women, but I think it's really, it's the entire

media landscape.

You know, how do we tell the news?

And, what kind of music do we play? And, what kind of people are our sales staff made up? If it's just a bunch of white folks in a town that's not, I mean, we need to reflect our communities and that's what people are going to watch local news for.

If it's just for 25 percent of the community, then what about the other 75 percent? You know what's going to happen? They're going to stop watching television. They're going to stop listening to the radio and they're going to go to Pandora or Spotify where they can control what they're hearing and seeing.

And, I think that's really another piece of the message. I know that this is about ownership, but to me, it's all of it. And if we lose sight of that, if we stop serving our communities properly and reflecting the communities that we're trying to serve, if we're serving it to 25 percent, you know, we're going

to kind of -- remember, we talked about the 1 2 newspaper business? This is going to be a different kind of death and we will have done it 3 4 to ourselves. 5 And so I think that's a really 6 important piece of it. You've got to dissect how 7 you're serving it up and what are you serving to 8 the people that are expecting, you know, this to 9 reflect things that they want? And, if they're not getting it from 10 you, they'll go find it somewhere else. 11 12 DR. JOHNSON: And, I guess, to your 13 point, I think that the juxtaposition of a 14 minority ownership supports what you just said, 15 though. Because that's another pathway to get 16 the message out. 17 And, your point is well taken but, 18 certainly, radio ownership is one of the most 19 minority radio ownership. 20 MS. GUTHRIE: Right. 21 DR. JOHNSON: Because you'll probably

have some different content, some different

programming and things like that. 1 2 So, yes, Jeff, you want to comment? MR. SMULYAN: Yes, to the original 3 4 question about what can the FCC do for ownership? Again, I go back to the same thing. 5 incubator programs are great. 6 7 I think if you can incent broadcasters 8 to do things to make minority owned businesses 9 successful, that's great. I still think you've got to address 10 the elephant in the room and that is lack of 11 12 capital. It is lack of capital for, I think, Brian talked about the largest companies haven't 13 14 had capital. They've been, you know, their bankruptcy or in bankruptcy. 15 16 But it ripples to everybody else. smallest broadcasters, the minority broadcasters, 17 18 potential minority broadcasters. 19 The challenge is, you've got to find a 20 way to level the playing field. And, I wish --21 if there's a better idea, I think incubating

programs are great. I think -- I have always

1	thought the tax certificate was the one thing
2	that leveled the playing field more. So, I'd
3	like to see it reinstated.
4	DR. JOHNSON: So, are there any
5	incentives in addition to, I guess, the primary
6	one is if you're in the incubator program and as
7	an owner
8	MR. SMULYAN: Right.
9	DR. JOHNSON: a large owner, it
10	allows you to exceed the radio and TV ownership
11	limits.
12	MR. SMULYAN: Right.
13	DR. JOHNSON: In the same period.
14	MR. SMULYAN: Right.
15	DR. JOHNSON: Been a lot of discussion
16	about that as well.
17	MR. SMULYAN: Well, yes, and there's
18	another question is that most of these companies
19	don't have the capital to do that anyway.
20	DR. JOHNSON: Yes.
21	MR. SMULYAN: So, you know
22	DR. JOHNSON: But of all the

1 incentives that you would like to pass on to the 2 FCC to encourage them to kind of rethink or reprogram and imagine this role? 3 4 MR. SMULYAN: I don't think you're 5 going to have direct subsidies, you know, I think -- I mean, if you really make a decision that you 6 7 want minority ownership, you know, the single and 8 the most valuable way to do it is to subsidize 9 minority broadcasters. I don't think politically in this 10 country that's likely right now. So, I think 11 12 beyond that, ways to induce capital to flow in those directions. 13 14 And, I think any ways that you can induce capital to flow into underserved 15 16 populations, underserved ownership, I think, you 17 know, it's something you need to do. 18 DR. JOHNSON: Well, I think the 19 incubator program is just one pathway to --20 MR. SMULYAN: One path. 21 DR. JOHNSON: -- try to get us to 22 where we want to get in this whole industry.

So, do we have any questions from the 1 2 audience? We have not received any notes up here, but if there's someone -- yes? At the mic, 3 please? 4 MR. KIZART: Good afternoon, Sherman 5 Kizart. 6 And, I wanted to say, Ron, great job 7 8 with you and your co-moderator, but also to thank 9 Jeff, Kim, and Brian. I actually like Ron and I also serve 10 on the FCC Diversity Committee and one of the 11 12 things that interesting, particularly in this 13 subject is, our day started with one of the FCC 14 Commissioners saying, Ron, and but I wanted Brian and Kim to hear this, is that, they -- this 15 16 Commissioner said that he thought that we -- that 17 there should be an incubator program for 18 television. 19 And that throughout the day, that 20 sentiment has kind of permeated and I think that 21 probably has to be part of what our

recommendations to the Committee that we say to

the Chairman that there is an appetite, there is an interest around -- in the industry around -- we created one and there was a pretty fast track of how we did it for radio that -- I think that we could do that for television.

Because as I'm hearing Kim and I'm hearing -- or especially Brian who, I think they sold all their radio holdings about a year or so ago.

MR. LAWLOR: Correct.

MR. KIZART: Is that that's something that you could take a look at and say and maybe it isn't capital, but maybe the other part, and this is to, again, directed primarily with Kim and Brian, is that there are people in this room who are on the television side who specifically talked about, you know, I would love to have an opportunity to meet Kim Guthrie and meet a Brian Lawlor.

Because we are going down the path of how we're expanding television.

So, it's more that point I wanted to

make and I think that -- I think, Madam Chairman, we have to have the discussion. I think it's -- I think part of the -- one of the things coming out of today's symposium is that we created a model on incubation for radio, I think there's an appetite to do it for television.

DR. JOHNSON: So, I guess the question for my distinguished colleagues here is whether or not this panel would support that? And would you support the notion of having incubator programs in TV, right? We would?

So, that is your answer, we support it.

MS. SUTTER: I don't -- just to be clear, it is not that the Commission does not support television, I think everyone is in favor of it for television.

But the idea that being able to buy a radio station was probably a smaller hurdle because of the cost of entry. That, let's get some success with radio and then we can broaden it to television.

I don't think it's ever the intention 1 2 that it wouldn't be television. And, as you may know, the Diversity 3 Committee recommended both radio and television. 4 5 And so, yes. DR. JOHNSON: And, to the -- and to 6 your point, that's why this is so important that 7 8 we stand this up first, have some good stories to 9 tell because the idea was to hear from our panelists about how best to stand up a program so 10 11 it can be successful from their perspective. 12 MR. SMULYAN: Well --13 DR. JOHNSON: So, yes, we on now to 14 Jeffrey and others? 15 Yes, and one of the MR. SMULYAN: 16 things the Commission has sort of done in an 17 informal way is when there are large 18 acquisitions, I think, and Diane, you know this 19 better than me, but when a company makes a large 20 acquisition, they've sort of let it be known from 21 a regulatory standpoint that if they found minority broadcasters, and I think David's still 22

1 here, too, that they have made it easier for 2 regulatory approval if they would include minority broadcasters in some of the spinoffs. 3 And, I think, again, David, and I 4 5 don't know if David -- there's David. David is that an accurate statement? 6 7 MR. HONIG: Yes. 8 Okay. So, that's a way MR. SMULYAN: 9 in which informally the Commission could really 10 help. 11 So, if somebody buys 300 radio 12 stations or 50 TV stations and they're over the limits in certain markets, the Commission can 13 14 kind of quietly say, you know, if you find a home 15 for some of the things you have to spinoff with 16 diverse candidates, they can make that --17 That, frankly, is a way, again, to 18 incent and DuJuan's nodding, that's a way to 19 incent those big deals to get done and to get some of these other assets in the hands of 20 21 people, you know, who are diverse owners.

DR. JOHNSON: And growing the

incubators as well. I mean, that's the whole idea.

MR. SMULYAN: Yes, yes. But, again, you're getting over the hurdle of having access to get the deals done. Because if I'm trying to buy 50 TV stations and it's a \$4 billion deal, and I have to spinoff 20 stations, it very well may be that I'll put 5 of those in the hands of diverse owners and I'll provide financing or access to some of my capital to get a very large deal done.

And, while that's not part of a formal Commission procedure, I think it's an informal way in which you're really getting something done. And, it incents the people who are making these deals to be willing to embrace diverse ownership.

DR. JOHNSON: Any other questions from the audience? We do have one question that was sent it.

The question involves the 5G technology and what impact 5G technology would

have on your industry?
I'm sure you've given some thought
about that. Any thought at all about 5G?
MS. GUTHRIE: I'm a journalism major.
(Laughter.)
DR. JOHNSON: And a very brilliant
one, I might say.
MS. GUTHRIE: Okay, I'll just say
this. We do have a cable company also and 5G is
actually fifth generation, it's not like five
times stronger.
So, and I think that there's a big
misnomer on that. I think technology, whether
it's ATSC 3.0 for television, I think there's all
sorts of opportunity that we just need to be out
there in front of it and working together as an
industry.
And, I think I see television doing a
really nice job of that and really trying to
figure out the next generation and what that's
going to look like.

We've got to fund that and it's hard

to do it at this end when you know it's going to 1 2 pay off in five or eight years. But I think I'm very impressed with 3 4 what we're doing on the TV side to work as an 5 industry on that. DR. JOHNSON: Okay, any other comments 6 7 or questions from the audience? From my perspective, I think that the 8 9 incubator program will work. I've had some 10 personal experience with mentor protégée programs and, for the most part, there are two important 11 12 things you've got to remember. 13 And creating a program like this, if 14 you're going to participate in it, in particular on it, and that is, it has be a partnership. 15 16 And so, the incubator has to be 17 totally committed to it and the incubatee must 18 also be equally. 19 In addition to that, it seems to me 20 there has to be an overarching overseer of this 21 process. Because you have to evaluate it on a

daily basis and to be sure that both partners are

1 carrying out their responsibilities to reach a 2 common goal, and that is to be successful. In the absence of some oversight over 3 4 the partners, the incubator and the incubatee, 5 these programs generally will not be successful 6 and they do require quite a bit of oversight. 7 And, I would like for the FCC to keep that in 8 mind as they move further to stand up this 9 program. And, certainly, all of us around this 10 table will be very supportive of that. 11 12 If there are no more questions, we are two minutes and 14 seconds ahead. 13 14 (Laughter.) 15 DR. JOHNSON: And so, we're good. 16 that okay with you, Mr. And Ms. Chairpersons? 17 Thank you. 18 Thank you, panelists. 19 (Applause.) 20 DR. JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 21 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 22 went off the record at 4:35 p.m. and resumed at

4:39 p.m.)

MR. ALEXANDER: Good afternoon and welcome to panel number eight. We've been working toward this all day and we are now here.

This panel is called success stories for organizations whose mission it is to foster minority and women entrepreneurs.

And I am delighted, as I am sure the panel is, that you have stayed with us, both in the room and the live stream, so we'll get underway.

My name is Marcellus Alexander. I'm

President of the National Association of

Broadcasters Education Foundation. And I am

joined by an accomplished panel of leaders who

will present in the order that they are
introduced.

And, our panel is different from all the others that you've seen today. I'll explain that in just a minute, but it is different in a positive way, we think.

There's going to be a lot of good

information that's shared. And, since all of 1 2 their bios are in your materials, I'll do just a very brief introduction of each them and then 3 4 they will present, as I mentioned. I'll start out with the person, we'll 5 go left to right, Diane Sutter. She is President 6 7 and CEO, ShootingStar Broadcasting. Her career has included radio and 8 9 television station management, overseeing a television and radio station group, and owning 10 and operating television stations. 11 She currently consults for radio and 12 13 television stations, media companies, and financial institutions. 14 Today, she will be talking about, 15 16 among other things, the Broadcast Leadership 17 Training Program, BLT, as it's known, which is 18 one of our NAB Education Foundation's programs of 19 which she is the founder and Dean. So, welcome, 20 Diane. 21 Next to Diane is Maurita Coley She is the President and CEO of MMTC. 22 Flippin.

For those on the line who may not know what that 1 2 stands for, that's the Minority Media Telecommunications Council. 3 She is a lawyer, social impact leader, 4 and former media executive with extensive 5 experience in media, telecom, and internet law 6 7 and policy, and business operations. She has served on the Executive 8 9 Management Team of BET, having oversight of legal affairs and television production operations. 10 11 She's a former partner of two 12 prestigious law firms and earned her law degree 13 from Georgetown Law where she has received the 14 law center's highest honors. She is also passionate about media and 15 16 diversity. Welcome, Maurita. 17 And then, we have Maria Brennan, the 18 President and CEO of Women in Cable 19 Telecommunications, or WICT. It's a 10,500 20 member global nonprofit celebrating 40 years of 21 serving women in the cable industry.

During her time at WICT, Maria has

worked collaboratively with leaders and stakeholders to steer the organization's strategic vision resulting in record levels of membership, sponsor support, and program participation. Glad to have you here, Maria.

And then, we have, last but not least, Dr. Rashaan Harris. He is the President and CEO of the Emma Bowen Foundation, EBF as we refer to it. It was founded in 1989.

EBF connects promising students of color to internships, advocates for best practices in hiring, retention, and advancement in the media and technology industries.

He has more than 15 years of direct experience working in philanthropy and nonprofits. He received his doctorate in public and urban policy from The New School where his dissertation was on Understanding How Socioeconomic Diversity in the African-American Community Affects Their Philanthropic Interests.

Rashaan holds Masters degrees, plural,
Masters degrees, in management in high school

science education from New York University and 1 2 Columbia, respectively, and a Bachelor of Arts from Princeton University. So, welcome, Rashaan. 3 Please welcome all of our panelists, 4 5 if you would. 6 (Applause.) Now, a very brief 7 MR. ALEXANDER: 8 introduction of the NAB Education Foundation 9 which is soon to be renamed the Leadership Foundation of NAB. Watch for that in the 10 11 upcoming months, the Leadership Foundation of NAB will be the new name of the NAB Education 12 Foundation. 13 14 We're a 501(c)(3) supported by the NAB, National Association of Broadcasters, and 15 the broadcast community at large supports us as 16 17 well as other partners. We produce programs that 18 do three things. 19 One, attract recent college graduates and other talented individuals to the broadcast 20

Number two, for people who are already

business.

21

working in radio and television stations, we have leadership and management development programs that help them advance their careers.

And, number three, for senior level, seasoned broadcasters who have an interest in owning radio or television properties, and/or advancing in their own companies, becoming stronger assets with their own companies, we have the Broadcast Leadership Training Program, a program you've heard referenced a few times today and Diane is going to give you more of a deep dive on that.

Those are the three things that our programs do. And we also, as Diane mentioned earlier, launched a NAB NABEF, Diversity and Inclusion Council, the objective and the focus of which is to enhance, develop a strategy that enhances the diversity of our business.

So, that's a little bit about what our foundation does.

But, we're going to get started today, each of the -- I mentioned our panel is

different. Each of our presenters will present 1 2 seven minutes, in seven minutes, what their business, what their organization does and some 3 of the success stories. 4 Or, in Diane's case, what the 5 initiative of BLT is and does and some of the 6 7 success stories. 8 Each of them will go down in order as 9 they've been introduced, seven minutes each, and then, if you'll hold your questions until the 10 11 end, that's when we'll have the question and 12 answer period. 13 So, with that, let me introduce, and 14 Diane, you have the clicker, Diane Sutter, 15 President --16 MS. SUTTER: I have the clicker. 17 MR. ALEXANDER: You have the clicker, 18 excellent -- the President and CEO of 19 ShootingStar Broadcasting and founder and Dean of 20 the BLT program to get us started. 21 Diane? Thank you, Marcellus. 22 MS. SUTTER:

And, thank you all for being here today. 1 2 The Broadcast Leadership Training program came about when I was sitting in my 3 4 television station in lovely downtown Abilene, And, I was really thinking about the fact 5 how very fortunate I was. 6 7 There were very few women in ownership 8 then, not sure that's changed that much. And, 9 the fact is, that there were even fewer people of color that I was going to meetings all the time 10 and I was the only one that wasn't a white male. 11 12 And, I was really thinking about why 13 that had happened. And, I think there are two 14 reasons why I was able to get a deal done. First was, that I learned by working 15 16 for Shamrock Broadcasting, for all the years that 17 I did, I ran radio stations, television stations. 18 I became EVP and operations director of their 19 radio and TV stations. 20 And then, I headed up their television 21 group. 22 So, when we were buying stations, I

was the one doing the bank presentations with our 1 2 CEO of the whole company. When it was time for us to divest 3 stations, it was my job to sell them. 4 So, I 5 learned all of that while working for Shamrock, which was owned by the Roy Disney Company. 6 Capital was not a problem for that 7 8 So, I had the advantage of doing that company. 9 on -- and learning all of that and getting my, in essence, Master's degree, in owning and operating 10 television and radio stations on his nickel. 11 12 So, when it came time to sell our 13 televisions stations, I sold all the stations and 14 got down to the last one and I went in and said, I have a great buyer for our last station. 15 16 said, great, who? And, I said, me. 17 And, they smiled and looked at me and 18 said, that's great, Diane. But show me the 19 money. 20 So, I was able to go out and raise 21 senior debt and equity. You met Brian McNeill today, Brian was my equity partner. Bank Boston 22

was my senior lender.

But the reason I got that done, when I thought about it, I was sitting there at 9:00 at night in Abilene waiting for the later news, it was because of two reasons.

One, I had learned the things that you never learn, even as a general manager about the business of our business. Meaning, I didn't know about capital structure and acquisitions and due diligence until I had to do that on behalf of Shamrock.

And, I had been a general manager of radio and TV stations for over a decade.

So, one was access to the information that I never learned in operating radio and TV stations.

The second thing was, I had already established the relationships with the bankers and the brokers and all the people that I would need to know to get a deal done.

And so, I realized how really special and fortunate that was. And, I set about

thinking how do I create that same opportunity 1 2 for others who don't have the advantages that I had working for such a great company. 3 4 And so, I thought about, what were the 5 steps I took to create my company? How did I start the company? What did I do with it? 6 7 And, as a result, what we ended up 8 starting and coming up with -- okay, I have the 9 clicker, there it is -- was I created the Broadcast Leadership Training program. 10 11 And, as Marcellus has already said, 12 after creating it, I took it to the National Association Broadcasters and asked them if they 13 14 would underwrite it and support it and that was 19 years ago. And, they have been our partners 15 16 and it is now their program that I worked with 17 them on to develop. 18 And, many of the people you saw and

And, many of the people you saw and talked to today were sponsors of that program.

And, I'll talk more about that.

The first thing you need to know is that it's always called the BLT program. And,

19

20

21

yes, that's not just a sandwich which, after we 1 2 start talking our shorthand, everyone goes, what? The program was designed to do two 3 4 things, to get you the information that you need, 5 but also to get it from the people that you would need to know so that you could develop the 6 7 relationships. 8 The faculty for the BLT program are 9 all working professionals in the business. Chairman Pai has come and talked to the class 10 which is no more than 20 in any given year, has 11 12 come and talked to the class the last three 13 years. Last week was a BLT class weekend and 14 we had Commissioner O'Rielly come. 15 16 So, the fact is, the program is to 17 teach especially what you need to learn from the 18 people that you will need to do business with. 19 You met Garret and Dan today from U.S. 20 Bank. They come and talk to the BLT program 21 about how to get money. 22 Brian and others have come, how do you get money?

The best thing about the program is, that it's really designed to help improve diversity.

so, two-thirds of the seats are reserved for women and people of color. And, those people who apply and are accepted into the program are fully fellowed. That is about a \$17,000 investment in every person that goes through. We pay for their tuition, we pay for their room, their board, their flight and all the course materials.

So, it's a very significant investment in their growth and their leadership and their learning.

And, just to give you an idea, this is who should apply. This is a senior level program, it's not an entry level program. You need to have been a manager of people and budgets.

So, you need to have responsibility for hiring, you need to be able to someone who

creates a budget, maintains a budget.

And so, the people that we have had in the program are those that have been part of that.

The program curriculum, as you see, is everything from how do you start your company?

How do you get -- how do you achieve getting what you need for advisors, where do you get a lawyer and who should you hire and how do you do that.

And, again, the people that are coming are FCC lawyers. They are consulting engineers, so that you learn that from them.

The program is ten months long. It starts in September and it goes until June of every year. It meets one weekend a month at the NAB, except for April when the whole class will actually go and attend the NAB convention in Las Vegas.

So, you can see that the program is thorough, tons of things in it. And, the fact is, that they also, you work in a mentoring group. You then also, after the end of each

class, have a case study and you have to do a Shark Tank presentation with the full presentation as if, in fact, you are presenting to private equity in order to graduate from the class.

So, we kind of work you hard.

And, as I say, the faculty is drawn from people who are in the industry. We have Commissioners. We have many of the people that you saw today have been there and have spoken to the class and are participants of it.

And, you participate -- there are brochures on the table over there about the BLT program. May 1st is when the applications will become available online at the NABEF website.

And then, you can apply for -- to be in the program and for a fellowship.

And, I think that you can talk to the 325 BLT graduates that now exist. You've met a lot of them today. Orlando Rosales is a BLT graduate. DuJuan McCoy is a BLT graduate.

Brian brought with him from his

1 company at Scripps, he brought his HR person who 2 is a BLT graduate. So, they are everywhere and I think 3 you will find that the class is done -- the 4 5 graduates have done pretty well. Sixty-five percent of them have been promoted at least once 6 7 since they went through the program. 8 Two have become group heads, the head 9 of Hearst Broadcasting is a BLT grad. And, we have 51 graduates who own or have owned stations 10 11 and we've had as many as 9 that have served on 12 the NAB Board. 13 These are our sponsors. The program 14 is designed by broadcasters for broadcasters, paid for by broadcasters. 15 16 So, all of the people that you see up 17 there are currently broadcasters that have 18 supported the program with their sponsorships and 19 I look forward to having a lot of you 20 participate. 21 Thank you. 22 Excellent. MR. ALEXANDER:

1	Maurita?
2	MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: Good afternoon,
3	everyone.
4	MS. SUTTER: I'm passing the clicker.
5	MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: Oh, thank you.
6	Good afternoon, everyone. I'm really
7	excited to be here today, even if flagging a
8	little bit for is anybody here at MMTC's
9	conference yesterday?
10	MS. SUTTER: Oh, I heard it was great.
11	MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: Okay so then it
12	was great, but I'm sure you're dragging a little
13	because it was a lot of great content, a lot of
14	great content today. This was absolutely
15	phenomenal.
16	And, I've been thinking whether or
17	not, was this really a good idea? That was David
18	Honig's idea that we do them back to back like we
19	did in the old days.
20	And, I think it worked, you know,
21	we'll get some feedback from you all as to
22	whether having the conferences back to back like

this is something we can continue.

But for those of you who may not know, MMTC, the Multicultural Media Telecom Internet Council, we did change our name a couple of years ago, but it's okay, everybody knows of us as MMTC.

And, we are a nonprofit that's been around since 1986 which we're 33. So, technically, we're a millennial, but I don't feel very millennial, but we have been -- I'd like to think of MMTC as one of the leaders in media, telecom, and internet policy.

We have a very strong bench of leaders, starting with our former Chair, Henry Rivera, our founder, co-founder, David Honig who spoke today.

We also had on the program today,

Jenell Trigg who is one of our advisory board

members. Steve Roberts who spoke on another

panel and Dean Jannette Dates.

So, we've been around for a while and we would like to think that we are bringing a lot

of the information from the past to the 1 2 entrepreneurs and professionals of the future. Since we were founded in '86, we've 3 4 graduated over 80 fellows. Most of our fellows are either lawyers or communications majors, 5 researchers. We've mentored hundreds more than 6 7 that. 8 I'd like to get to the number that 9 Diane mentioned with over 300 graduates, that's 10 my goal. 11 But MMTC is primarily known as a 12 policy organization. We advocate before the FCC 13 on policy issues that affect ownership diversity, 14 all the issues you've heard today, we've been instrumental in. 15 16 But, so, all these years of 17 advocating, and this was before I joined MMTC, so 18 I'm going to tell you some things that -- stories 19 that I know about. 20 But all these years of advocating for 21 diverse ownership, David Honig told me that it 22 was actually Dick Wiley who basically said, you

guys are always complaining, why don't you do 1 2 something about it? So, in 1996, MMTC formed MMTC Media 3 4 and Telecom Brokers. And, I'm sorry, 1997, 5 formed the brokerage. And so, we think of our success story 6 7 as really helping to create success stories in this industry much in the way that my co-8 9 panelists are doing here. 10 So, it's really, we have a great 11 story, but today, I want to tell you about some 12 of our success stories, some of -- one of whom 13 you've met today. 14 And, just to give you an idea of what's possible, you know, when you bring 15 16 together policy makers and investors with 17 entrepreneurs who are seeking to achieve 18 diversity in the industries that we care about. 19 So, I'm going to turn this on here. 20 So, our first success story that I 21 want to talk about, Asian American Broadcasting and St. Paul, Minnesota, KFXN-AM. 22

I had the pleasure of meeting the 1 2 owner, Kongsue Xiong. We made -- they were our entrepreneurs of the year a couple years ago. 3 4 In September of 2011, Clear Channel 5 which is now, as you know, iHeartRadio, donated KFXM to MMTC. 6 7 I don't know if too many people here 8 know about the fact that MMTC is a nonprofit, so 9 we have had station owners who are interested in

know about the fact that MMTC is a nonprofit, so we have had station owners who are interested in diversity who've actually donated stations to MMTC and then our brokerage goes through the process of trying to find a minority or woman-owned broadcaster to run the station and, ultimately, with the hope of purchasing the station.

So, this station was operated by Asian American Broadcasting for three years and then, they were able to acquire it from MMTC in May of 2014.

And, they continue to operate it with great success. They're the first MOM broadcasters in Minnesota.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And, I think you all have the PowerPoint, but we have in the PowerPoint, we have some quotes from the owner, Kongsue Xiong, who is the licensee.

So, that's one diverse success story, successful ownership story.

And then, the next one is, okay, KMLU

TV of Monroe, Louisiana and KHME and KQME TV of

Rapid City, South Dakota, Sherry Nelson, who is

always is a well-known household world name

around these conferences.

And, that MMTC brokerage brokered this when Gray Television retained MMTC as a broker to identify women and minorities to buy six of its spinoff stations. And, Sherry Nelson surfaced as one of the broadcasters, owner of Legacy Broadcasting.

They were founded in 2014 from Gray
Television. And, it's just an example -- I think
someone had mentioned earlier today that when
there's spinoffs that often there's opportunities
for minorities and women to acquire a spinoff.

1 So, this is an example of one of those. 2 They went through a process and, after reviewing a lot of applicants, Gray selected 3 4 Sherry Broadcasting based on, you know, their 5 decision that they would be a buyer who would be 6 able to operate the station successfully. 7 acquired six stations, Sherry and her team, 8 thereby contributing to the diversity without any 9 rules, you know, just, you know, broadcasters in the industry who are doing their part to try to 10 11 create diversity. 12 And, also, you know, contribute to the 13 bottom line because, you know, Sherry paid and 14 financed her station. She was also very well 15 MS. SUTTER: 16 trained. 17 MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: Yes, right. 18 MS. SUTTER: Where do you think she 19 Maybe a graduate of the BLT program? was? 20 MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: Another BLT

I couldn't help it, it

MS. SUTTER:

graduate.

21

1	was there.
2	MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: With all 320 of
3	the graduate, can you imagine what that would
4	look like?
5	MS. SUTTER: Well, but again, all of
6	the graduates from BLT aren't going to ownership.
7	MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: Right, right.
8	MS. SUTTER: They're also moving up in
9	their companies.
LO	MS. COLEY FLIPPIN: Okay, okay, okay.
L1	All right.
L 2	Okay, so, our last success story is
L3	Zamora Broadcasting, WDTW 1310-AM in Detroit.
L 4	And, that is one that's near and dear
L5	to my heart because that was a station that was
L6	donated to MMTC from Clear Channel. It was not
L7	on the air at the time, it really needed an
L8	investment to get it back on the air.
L9	I remember calling I'm from
20	Detroit, so I remember calling friends to see, do
21	you want to buy, you know, the station? Because

I grew up with this station. It used to be

Keener-13. So, it was a really exciting thing in
Detroit.

And then, in 2014, Pedro Zamora of Zamora Broadcasting purchased WTDW-AM. They totally rebuilt the station from the ground up with new towers and state of the art studio and have their corporate offices in Metro Detroit.

It's the first full coverage Spanish language radio station serving the Latino community in Detroit.

And, we've also -- our brokerage, led by David Honig and Suzanne Gougherty who you met earlier, they also assisted Pedro and his team with the purchase of a low power TV translator.

And so, these are just examples of MMTC's success stories in ownership. Obviously, we are kind of a feeder for BLT, but just want to, you know, let you know what's possible. And, if you're interested in stations, buying stations, owning stations, and you can see David or Suzanne or me.

Thank you.

MR. ALEXANDER: Excellent.
Maria?
MS. BRENNAN: Who wants this? Come
on, Greg, yay. Because, if I know me, it's going
to stay on slide one the entire time.
(Laughter.)
MR. ALEXANDER: It takes a team, it
takes a team.
MS. BRENNAN: I know, they're Lord
knows what I'd do without team WICT.
So, I want to thank you for hanging
out and making it through to the last panel. I
also, I know Henry and Jamila have been thanked a
lot today, but I've got to thank them again for
all the work that they do.
(Applause.)
MS. BRENNAN: And, I want to thank our
moderator, too, Marcellus.
So, I love talking about WICT, not
just because I've been here for ten years, but
also because we have this really terrific
mission, we foster women leaders who we expect to

change our industry.

And, we're like you, when we started out, it was women in cable and now the landscape has grown so vividly.

So, like our friends at NCTA which is now the Internet and Television Association, we're sort of going through that same metamorphosis as well.

So, I can tell you that we turned 40 in 2019, which sounds pretty good to me right now.

But, inside of those 40 years, the successes are tremendous. You can imagine, because when you're an organization that fosters women leaders, we don't do a million different things. We do two things and we do them really well.

We educate and develop women so that they can move and advance inside of our industry and reach the sort of level that can give back so that they, too, can foster and grow women.

And, we also commission original

research. Because, as wonderful as it feels to look around a conference room and be able to say, well, it looks like we have people of color in our company or in this conference.

Or, wow, it looks like we have women, you know, walking around.

We actually like data at WICT. We like empirical data. We're not crazy about anecdotes.

So, the two things we do best is we bring really important programs to the market and we help our member companies measure how they're faring in terms of women and people of color.

And, we do this with our partners in the survey, NAMIC, who you all probably know well, or I hope you do. And, we have to really tip our hat to the industry because the sampling we get represents about 68 percent of the total workforce. So, we feel those of you in the data business know that that's a very good sampling.

And, I think our greatest success story is that, for the 15 years since we began

fielding the PAR survey, the numbers have been steadily increasing.

And, that's really what it's all about. It's about moving the needle forward.

Because you could have all the best D&I practices in the world, diversity and inclusion practices in the world, but in the end, if you're not moving the numbers closer to equal, they're really just policies that don't, in the end, get you to where you want to go.

So, WICT is a global organization, as Marcellus said, it's -- we're 10,500 members and we're 24 chapters in the U.S. and domestically.

In fact, two weeks ago, I was in

Amsterdam launching our second chapter in Europe

and I can tell you that the issues and the

challenges we face here are no different from our

friends abroad. And, they're pretty universal.

So, I'm going to talk about the two things we do well in the three minutes that I have left.

We bring all of these programs to

market, everything from our senior executive summit at the Stanford Graduate School of Business and the Betsy Mangess Leadership Institute that we partner with the Center for Creative Leadership to bring to market.

And then, there are just a series of other programs that we develop. We think of them as a pyramid because there's something for every woman inside of her career journey.

Another one of our best success stories is the Betsy Mangess Leadership Institute which turns 25 at the same time that WICT turns 40. And, in May, we will graduate our 1000th Betsy.

That means that there are 1,000 women out there who have gotten a really deep dive into what it means to be excellent in business, excellent in all of the things that it is that they need to do to be better at their job, but also excellent at leading, mentoring, and managing a workforce that's as diverse as the industry -- as the customers that we serve.

So, that's a really important facet of who WICT is and what we do.

Like NABEF and some of the others, every program that we do has the opportunity for at least two, if not eight, fully funded scholarships.

And, when you look at a program like the Betsy Mangess Leadership Institute, that's about a \$25,000 investment per person. And, it's not for companies that can afford to send their women to programs, it's for companies that are underserved and smaller and entrepreneurial and entrepreneurs because we know that there is as much need for them to be given the opportunity for excellence but also given the opportunity to meet other women who can influence their career, but also their entrepreneurial expertise.

So, you know, simply put, all the data tells us that everything about gender diversity is good for business and it makes perfect sense because, you know, women in business make a company extraordinarily more profitable.

And, if you ever want to see a ridiculous amount of data points on that, we have a tremendous clearinghouse at WICT aside from our own PAR survey. We post a lot of other fantastic organizations' research at wict.org and just search diversity stats and you'll get a plethora of them.

saying, as we field the next PAR survey which is being deployed right now, we expect the numbers to go up. And, if they don't, we work very closely with the companies that participate in the survey because it's very easy to do some minute course corrections that can really change how to get women from here to there because, in the end, at WICT, we're not about more, we're not about less, we're just about getting to equal because it's good for business and it's a competitive differentiation.

That's all I've got.

MR. ALEXANDER: Excellent, thank you,

Maria.

1	MS. BRENNAN: Thank you.
2	MR. ALEXANDER: Rashaan?
3	(Off-microphone comments.)
4	DR. HARRIS: Great, so, thank you for
5	having me here. It's a pleasure to be here
6	speaking at the FCC because it's a part of the
7	Emma Bowen Foundation's history.
8	And, there are many folks who are in
9	this room that know the history a lot better than
10	I do, so I am humbled to be able to represent the
11	Foundation in our conversation today.
	So, we're talking about entrepreneurs.
12	50, we re carking about entrepreneurs.
13	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its
	_
13	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its
13 14	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its mission to produce entrepreneurs, so I'm going to
13 14 15	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its mission to produce entrepreneurs, so I'm going to admit that at the very beginning.
13 14 15 16	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its mission to produce entrepreneurs, so I'm going to admit that at the very beginning. But, as we heard on the panels
13 14 15 16 17	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its mission to produce entrepreneurs, so I'm going to admit that at the very beginning. But, as we heard on the panels earlier, there are certain conditions that help
13 14 15 16 17	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its mission to produce entrepreneurs, so I'm going to admit that at the very beginning. But, as we heard on the panels earlier, there are certain conditions that help entrepreneurs to be successful. Their
13 14 15 16 17 18	The Emma Bowen Foundation doesn't has as its mission to produce entrepreneurs, so I'm going to admit that at the very beginning. But, as we heard on the panels earlier, there are certain conditions that help entrepreneurs to be successful. Their relationships, their commitment to excellence,

to have access to that pipeline.

And so, the Emma Bowen Foundation is here because of social entrepreneurs, not necessarily traditional entrepreneurs that you knew in the past. Because they've recognized that those relationships, the financing, the access wasn't there unless someone advocated for them.

So, members of our National Advisory
Council, folks like Henry Rivera and Loretta Polk
of the NCTA, and Marcellus Alexander and Michelle
Duke of -- over at the NABEF are some of those
social entrepreneurs that help push to create the
conditions where people of color can get access
to the industry and feel like they have a place
there.

Ultimately, the Emma Bowen Foundation was created in a room like this at the FCC because of social entrepreneurs that wanted to give access and change the way that the news media spoke about people of color.

And, it was that advocacy that allowed

us to have a 30-year history that we're celebrating this year in 2019 of being able to provide a pipeline of people of color to work within media companies.

And, we believe, as those people work in those media companies, they get the competence and they get the skill set to become the entrepreneurs of the future.

So, a little bit more than 30 years ago, Emma Bowen was a Harlem advocate that was really displeased and disgusted by the way people of color, in particular, African-Americans, were represented in the news.

And so, she wanted to change those negative images to be more positive and to reflect the full spectrum of the African-American and person of color experience.

So, she went to Midtown and she talked to some of the folks that ran the networks there. She came down to the FCC and started advocating for new types of regulations and a new conversation about the way the news was going to

be broadcast.

From her advocacy, she was able to reach another advocate, Dr. Everett Parker, and came to collaborate with the news industry to be able to create this internship program that was, at the beginning, meant to get news reporters of color in newsrooms.

They were going to get these interns that were going to hopefully progress to become news reporters of the future.

Well, our internship program has developed to be more than just the news. We recognize that the messages that we get are from all the aspects of how media is created.

So, it is the business of media, it's the HR functions and the operations and the accounting and the sales that matter.

It's the content of media, so it's the journalist, and the production of the media that matters.

And, also, the innovation of media which influences technology and big data that

allows us to target messages to specific

audiences.

So, our internships are at over 70

companies that are across the country. Some of our partners include folks that have been around since the beginning with us, NBC, CBS, Fox, we provide interns for Comcast, Charter

Communications, Forbes Media, and the New York

Times.

Our partners let us know what their internship needs are and we source talented students to fulfill those needs, whether they are in the business of media, the content of media, or the innovation of media across the country.

Our students are highly qualified.

They have to have a 3.0 average to get into the program. And, they are placed based on the needs of the company. The company select the individuals that they want to work with them.

So, there is a co-ownership of the process.

And, we believe that the internships

that they receive create a familiarity and a partnership that can allow for the success of these individuals over the long-term to make sure they have careers within media.

So, over our history, we have placed over 1,300 interns at the companies that we've partnered with.

Our alumni ranks are over 900. And, all of those individuals have an opportunity to come to our annual conference where they get to meet one Another, create an Emma Bowen family and meet partner companies who are in our network.

So, again, they create their relationships, the familiarity that is essential for making sure that they are retained and they advance within the field.

We provide scholarships that help them pay for school costs. And, we also really focus on the hiring process. So, as they graduate, that they have opportunities to stay in the field. So, it's not just an internship, but they become professionals within the field.

We believe that the Emma Bowen

Foundation has an impact because we impact the

way that people of color who are interning at

those companies feel.

Overwhelmingly, they feel that their experience at the company has made them feel better about being within the industry. And, overwhelmingly, they believe that their careers have been enhanced by their time with the Emma Bowen Foundation.

So, the picture shows you the full spectrum of colors in the rainbow that Emma Bowen Foundation brings. The folks who are in our 2018 fellowship class almost ranked 200 folks interning across the country.

And, we believe that the confidence that they get and the experiences that they have at these companies allow them to have the push to believe that they belong and that they are the leaders of the future and hopefully that spurs the entrepreneurs of the future that create access and opportunity and ultimately brings the

1 mission that Emma Bowen had 30 years ago of 2 really changing the way media is presented and the narrative that is out there about people of 3 4 color. 5 So, thank you very much. MR. ALEXANDER: Excellent. 6 7 So, let's give the panel a round of applause. 8 9 (Applause.) 10 MR. ALEXANDER: We are right at the time that the panel ends, but if we have one 11 12 quick question for anyone on the panel, we would 13 take that. Is there a question from the 14 audience? If not, then, thank you to the 15 16 panelists, thank you, Diane and Henry. And, I 17 believe our closing remarks are coming up, but 18 let's, again, recognize the panel for their work. 19 (Applause.) 20 MS. SUTTER: I just want to thank you 21 all for your participation in today's symposium. You saw the wealth of talent and expertise and 22

1 history, frankly, that we had a chance to talk 2 today. And, that's because of the work that 3 4 has been done by the Committee that Henry has the 5 Subcommittee and so, thank you, Henry, again. 6 (Applause.) But, any meeting is 7 MS. SUTTER: 8 really that works is really because what you 9 haven't seen, and that is what Jamila and her team has put together where it all just, as you 10 saw, this was a pretty tight schedule. And, it 11 12 all went flawlessly. Jamila and all of the FCC work, thank 13 14 you, thank you. 15 (Applause.) 16 MS. SUTTER: What we hoped to 17 accomplish today is to give you an idea about 18 resources and people and that this is the 19 beginning of what we see as a conversation that 20 goes on after this. 21 So, we hope that what this has done is 22 to give you the relationship building that we

hope will come, the information that you might 1 2 need. And, there are packets of information 3 on that back table for most of our speakers and 4 5 presenters. We hope you will avail yourself of that. 6 We will be in this room until 6:00 so 7 8 that you can have a chance to meet with one 9 another, to talk, but mostly, I want to thank the Commission for their initiative to do this, to do 10 11 it, and to have it happen, and also, to all the 12 members of the FCC Diversity Committee, all of 13 whom donate their time and their energy to make 14 this a better place. 15 Thank you. 16 (Applause.) 17 MS. BESS JOHNSON: Thank you, Diane. 18 And, I just wanted to say, in closing, 19 we're just greatly indebted to the Advisory Committee as a whole including Diane Sutter who 20 21 is Chair.

(Applause.)

1	MS. BESS JOHNSON: And, Anna Gomez,
2	Vice Chair.
3	(Applause.)
4	MS. BESS JOHNSON: Thank you both so
5	much.
6	We especially want to thank, again, Henry Rivera
7	who's the Chair of the Broadcast Diversity and
8	Development Working Group.
9	(Applause.)
10	MS. BESS JOHNSON: And the entire
11	Working Group. As Chairman Pai said, they
12	continued working while we were on that brief but
13	meaningful furlough.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MS. SUTTER: So nicely put, Jamila.
16	MS. BESS JOHNSON: Yes, thank you.
17	And that's why we were able to have this program
18	on the date that we actually had predetermined to
19	have it.
20	And we certainly appreciate all of the
21	panelists and the experts who came from afar to
22	share their time and their expertise with us.

Let's give them a round of applause. 1 2 (Applause.) MS. BESS JOHNSON: Thank you all so 3 4 much. 5 And, on behalf of our Media Bureau 6 Chief, Michelle Carry, we also want to thank Kristen Welker of NBC News for her wonderful 7 8 remarks this morning and also, our Chairman Ajit 9 Pai for launching the Symposium. And, thank you also to Commissioners 10 O'Rielly, Starks and Carr for their 11 12 participation. 13 So, we do hope that you all enjoyed 14 the day at the FCC. We hope that maybe you 15 learned something new or met someone that you 16 didn't know or that you made a connection through 17 networking. 18 And we certainly couldn't have done 19 this symposium without the terrific FCC staff. Ι 20 don't want to name names because I'd forget 21 someone, but we want to give them a round of 22 applause because they have really outdone

1	themselves today.
2	And so, we just ask that you watch our
3	space on the FCC webpage for more activities from
4	the Advisory Committee and anything related to
5	media diversity.
6	Again, as Diane said, you all have
7	this room until 6:00 p.m. so, talk, stay and
8	linger and thank you for coming.
9	MS. SUTTER: Thanks, Jamila.
10	(Applause.)
11	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
12	went off the record at 5:22 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	accepting 13:1	192:9 196:12 199:13	162:4 170:14 172:17
a.m 1:10 6:2	access 19:22 44:13	205:12 219:8 239:21	172:21 185:1 186:5,6
ABC 296:18	45:16 50:7 54:6 58:4	263:13 273:1 345:1	186:7 195:21 198:12
	72:20 73:3 110:7,10	added 22:21 150:17	198:22 205:20 206:7
ABCs 139:7	110:21 113:13,18	182:11 261:18	212:17 213:19 214:16
Abilene 138:1 231:15	114:22 115:20 119:14	addition 8:17 51:11	214:19 239:11 244:17
371:4 373:4	125:7 126:17 175:13	82:13 94:13 106:14	244:19 245:2,5
bility 124:5 132:19	186:12 188:3 201:1	161:9 191:5,14,16	advice 125:13 158:3,13
143:8 175:14 213:21	207:22 226:8 236:19	222:12 353:5 362:19	161:13 162:2 164:9
217:17 272:14,17	267:2,3 270:8,11	additional 110:18 177:9	164:13 175:18 210:21
307:11 332:4 333:12	275:7 314:21 318:10		
ble 12:8,22 24:20		178:19 313:6	215:5 261:15 309:11
31:15 40:20 47:7	318:14 328:14 329:17	address 71:14,22 72:3	331:22 334:7 340:18
55:21 58:16,17 61:19	330:5 343:15 360:4	75:15 76:8,19 77:10	advise 159:13,20
96:11 97:21 99:18	360:10 373:14 396:20	79:21 113:12 119:7	160:16
103:9 112:13,20	397:1,7,14,20 402:22	187:19 188:10 200:17	Advisor 224:10
116:3,4 118:9 119:13	Access.1 83:9 86:13	352:10	advisors 377:8
120:15 136:1 140:22	accessing 110:19	addressed 249:17	advisory 6:6,15 7:16
141:3,5,11,12 145:11	accident 316:20	addressing 76:2	8:5 9:5,20 12:13
151:8 155:18 156:2	accomplish 404:17	Aderant 171:22	14:13,16,17 25:10
164:2 172:6 174:4,18	accomplished 364:15	adjacent 177:19	33:11 157:6 169:14
181:5 190:4 191:17	account 57:3	Adjourn 5:22	179:11 381:18 397:9
191:19 193:1 195:5	accounting 316:20	adjourned 180:9	405:19 408:4
196:2 216:1 221:9	399:17	administration 20:1	advocacy 172:11 173:3
225:10 226:11,14	accurate 359:6	admit 396:15	397:22 399:2
228:8,10,12,14,18,19	accustomed 15:9	adopt 103:7 200:7	advocate 16:4 382:12
233:22 234:3 237:1,2	ACDDE 1:12 3:15,18	adopted 12:18 328:21	398:10 399:3
237:11,19,20,21,21	4:7,8	advance 29:4 136:14	advocated 397:7
238:9 239:8 246:10	achieve 12:8 40:21	157:10 273:7 369:3	advocates 39:14 278:5
248:18 249:2,3,4,10	189:12 377:7 383:17	390:19 401:16	367:11
253:16 257:1,9	acknowledgment 200:5	advanced 1:4 10:1	advocating 382:17,20
272:12 274:21 280:16	acquire 45:6 102:5,10	advancement 367:12	398:20
	136:1 228:14 303:16	advancing 29:6 242:8	afar 406:21
280:21,22 286:15	384:18 385:22	325:3 369:7	affairs 366:10
93:7 300:7 307:2	acquired 85:9 87:9	advantage 27:10 41:15	affect 265:1 349:17
326:8,13,15 336:16	98:11 132:9 267:9	103:9 121:19,19	382:13
357:18 371:14 372:20	00.11 102.0 201.0		
	386.7	227-10 228-8 13 10	attiliata 135.5 170./
376:22 384:18 386:6	386:7	227:10 228:8,13,19	affiliate 135:5 149:7
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22	acquiring 44:5 59:9	242:8 259:4 293:16	affiliated 48:18 83:12
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 ly 9:16	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 ly 9:16 3OC 319:1	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 oly 9:16 BOC 319:1	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 oly 9:16 BOC 319:1 oode 15:12 pove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 oly 9:16 BOC 319:1 code 15:12 cove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 coreast 119:21 coroad 392:18 cosence 363:3	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 oly 9:16 BOC 319:1 code 15:12 cove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 creast 119:21 croad 392:18 cosence 363:3 coolutely 61:6 64:20	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18 bsence 363:3 bsolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18 bsence 363:3 bsolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18 bsence 363:3 bsolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1 229:6 295:8,13	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21 75:7 133:6 144:7,14	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11 206:10 209:8 213:7
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18 bsence 363:3 bsolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1 229:6 295:8,13 319:16,17 336:18	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3 activities 224:7 408:3	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21 75:7 133:6 144:7,14 150:16,20 159:21	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11 206:10 209:8 213:7 213:10
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18 bsence 363:3 bsolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1 229:6 295:8,13 319:16,17 336:18 342:8 380:14	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3 activities 224:7 408:3 ad 68:8 69:5 214:4 adapt 211:22	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21 75:7 133:6 144:7,14 150:16,20 159:21 160:8,14 168:16 201:4 296:22	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11 206:10 209:8 213:7 213:10 African 18:12,19 42:11 44:1,14 60:3 183:18
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 BOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18 bsence 363:3 bsolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1 229:6 295:8,13 319:16,17 336:18 342:8 380:14 buses 319:21	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3 activities 224:7 408:3 ad 68:8 69:5 214:4 adapt 211:22 adapted 212:1	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21 75:7 133:6 144:7,14 150:16,20 159:21 160:8,14 168:16 201:4 296:22 advertising 75:16 76:3	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11 206:10 209:8 213:7 213:10 African 18:12,19 42:11 44:1,14 60:3 183:18 266:8,11 270:14
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 bly 9:16 ABOC 319:1 bode 15:12 bove-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 breast 119:21 broad 392:18 bsence 363:3 bsolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1 229:6 295:8,13 319:16,17 336:18 342:8 380:14 buses 319:21 cademic 341:6	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3 activities 224:7 408:3 ad 68:8 69:5 214:4 adapt 211:22 adapted 212:1 add 90:6 93:2 94:1 95:3	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21 75:7 133:6 144:7,14 150:16,20 159:21 160:8,14 168:16 201:4 296:22 advertising 75:16 76:3 86:18 117:7,18	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11 206:10 209:8 213:7 213:10 African 18:12,19 42:11 44:1,14 60:3 183:18 266:8,11 270:14 312:17
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 ably 9:16 ABOC 319:1 abode 15:12 above-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 abreast 119:21 abroad 392:18 absence 363:3 absolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1 229:6 295:8,13 319:16,17 336:18 342:8 380:14 abuses 319:21 academic 341:6 accent 134:7	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3 activities 224:7 408:3 ad 68:8 69:5 214:4 adapt 211:22 adapted 212:1 add 90:6 93:2 94:1 95:3 109:21 115:15 119:8	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21 75:7 133:6 144:7,14 150:16,20 159:21 160:8,14 168:16 201:4 296:22 advertising 75:16 76:3 86:18 117:7,18 118:16 119:17 126:20	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11 206:10 209:8 213:7 213:10 African 18:12,19 42:11 44:1,14 60:3 183:18 266:8,11 270:14 312:17 African-69:1 82:20
376:22 384:18 386:6 391:2 396:10,22 398:2 399:2,5 406:17 ably 9:16 ABOC 319:1 above-entitled 180:10 265:16 312:22 363:21 408:11 abreast 119:21 abroad 392:18 absence 363:3 absolutely 61:6 64:20 73:5 79:5 96:10 166:19 186:18 216:1 229:6 295:8,13 319:16,17 336:18 342:8 380:14 abuses 319:21 academic 341:6	acquiring 44:5 59:9 60:3 88:7 acquisition 41:12 84:5 135:17 184:4 199:21 225:8 228:17 231:13 231:14,16 358:20 acquisitions 4:21 8:21 40:21 228:9 230:8,14 280:2 358:18 373:9 act 184:18 309:14 action 153:18 255:16 actions 173:10 activate 123:3 active 102:3 activities 224:7 408:3 ad 68:8 69:5 214:4 adapt 211:22 adapted 212:1 add 90:6 93:2 94:1 95:3	242:8 259:4 293:16 303:6 319:19 320:5 320:13 372:8 advantages 153:13 233:17 374:2 adventure 309:16 adventures 53:16 advertise 69:17 advertised 69:18 303:14 advertiser 117:14 151:10 advertisers 64:14 70:21 75:7 133:6 144:7,14 150:16,20 159:21 160:8,14 168:16 201:4 296:22 advertising 75:16 76:3 86:18 117:7,18	affiliated 48:18 83:12 affiliates 134:14 137:22 139:7 147:19 148:11 148:12,15 224:5 272:4 affiliation 130:19 affiliations 130:11 afford 58:13 72:8 326:22 394:10 afloat 114:13 120:17 afraid 71:22 125:16 Africa 184:17 201:9,11 202:3 204:9,11 206:10 209:8 213:7 213:10 African 18:12,19 42:11 44:1,14 60:3 183:18 266:8,11 270:14 312:17

п			410
	Ī	1	I
11:15 38:22 44:20	agreements 169:5,5	231:17 236:10 327:4	antenna 309:10
46:6,10 53:5 57:22	ahead 22:21 28:14 95:1	America's 11:13	anticipate 12:22
68:12 87:6 117:4	105:4,6 121:5 127:17	American 2:19 18:13	anybody 66:21 72:17
119:15 122:11 144:10	158:13 199:10 265:22	18:19 69:2 82:21 83:7	76:2 101:20 113:15
145:6 153:8,14	310:20 363:13	86:5,15 96:8,20	113:17 116:11 137:5
III			
159:11,15 168:8	aim 186:17	148:22 222:19 266:8	152:3 166:8 170:6,7,8
172:17 173:20 216:18	air 15:10 17:11 21:13	312:17 349:10 383:21	173:22 188:10 204:4
250:10 367:19 398:16	21:16 52:11 53:1,6	384:17	205:11 260:2 339:11
African-American-o	58:18,22 64:13 68:20	American-owned 42:11	380:8
188:14	87:10,13 92:19 109:6	266:11 270:15	anymore 115:1 276:3
African-Americans	120:14 121:14 142:16	Americanized 93:7	286:4 289:22
259:1 398:12	154:3 160:3,7,8	Americans 7:12 12:7	anyway 14:4 108:1
African-Jamaican	161:17,21 162:1	20:10 44:2,14 60:3	116:10,17 242:6
183:19	168:12 204:16 217:19	amount 63:11 68:18	265:10 353:19
afternoon 94:9 122:14	243:10,15 286:5	206:19 210:14 300:14	apart 207:3 349:4
180:7,13,19 183:16	347:8 387:17,18	395:2	apologize 79:18
266:3,20 269:13	aired 132:14	amounts 119:9 309:8	Apparently 90:21
304:2 355:5 364:2	airs 160:4	amplified 303:10	appetite 305:9 308:1
380:2,6	Ajit 1:17 4:3 407:8	amplify 237:17	356:1 357:6
age 7:11 150:4	Alabama 84:5 300:12	AMs 104:6 113:3	applause 6:22 9:10
agencies 68:11,22	Alarcon 2:2 39:7,13,17	Amsterdam 392:15	15:1 16:10 26:2,6
71:18 95:17 117:16	39:19 42:4 63:2,3	AMTC 132:9	28:9,12 31:19 33:8,22
136:13 172:21 186:7	64:1 68:4,14 69:7,9	ANA 205:18	37:9 38:13 54:4 81:7
		analog 129:17 141:13	
agency 15:2 75:16	70:9 76:10,13		175:3 203:13 221:13
76:17 116:20 172:18	Alexa 100:10,10	analysis 10:7 70:5	256:10 265:15 312:21
185:2,3 198:12 214:5	Alexander 2:3 364:2,12	223:14	363:19 368:6 389:16
218:17,18	368:7 370:17 379:22	analytics 213:22 275:1	403:8,9,19 404:6,15
agency's 7:16	389:1,7 395:21 396:2	275:2,11 277:1	405:16,22 406:3,9
aganda 6:17 21:6	397:11 403:6,10	anchor 185:10	407:1,2,22 408:10
agenua 0.17 34.0	331.11 403.0,10	ancho 100.10	407.1,2,22 400.10
agenda 6:17 34:6 262:13.16	I		
262:13,16	Alfredo 3:3 180:14	anchored 21:8	applicants 386:3
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9 71:10 106:12 154:10	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10 alumni 401:8	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8 213:2 245:21 257:13	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12 approaching 168:16
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9 71:10 106:12 154:10 162:15,20 212:3	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10 alumni 401:8 amazing 82:9,17 94:19	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8 213:2 245:21 257:13 259:13 272:7 315:10	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12 approaching 168:16 247:14
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9 71:10 106:12 154:10 162:15,20 212:3 247:15 311:1 319:14	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10 alumni 401:8 amazing 82:9,17 94:19 AMC 291:16	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8 213:2 245:21 257:13 259:13 272:7 315:10 336:3 339:11 357:12	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12 approaching 168:16 247:14 appropriate 38:20
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9 71:10 106:12 154:10 162:15,20 212:3 247:15 311:1 319:14 344:1	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10 alumni 401:8 amazing 82:9,17 94:19 AMC 291:16 amen 65:10	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8 213:2 245:21 257:13 259:13 272:7 315:10 336:3 339:11 357:12 370:12	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12 approaching 168:16 247:14 appropriate 38:20 approval 359:2
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9 71:10 106:12 154:10 162:15,20 212:3 247:15 311:1 319:14 344:1 agreeing 33:18	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10 alumni 401:8 amazing 82:9,17 94:19 AMC 291:16 amen 65:10 amenable 263:11	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8 213:2 245:21 257:13 259:13 272:7 315:10 336:3 339:11 357:12 370:12 answered 115:6	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12 approaching 168:16 247:14 appropriate 38:20 approved 80:14 141:15
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9 71:10 106:12 154:10 162:15,20 212:3 247:15 311:1 319:14 344:1 agreeing 33:18 agreement 73:18	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10 alumni 401:8 amazing 82:9,17 94:19 AMC 291:16 amen 65:10 amenable 263:11 America 1:1 29:19 95:4	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8 213:2 245:21 257:13 259:13 272:7 315:10 336:3 339:11 357:12 370:12 answered 115:6 answering 24:3	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12 approaching 168:16 247:14 appropriate 38:20 approved 80:14 141:15 141:16
262:13,16 aggregator 296:2 aggressive 41:14 aggressively 176:19 Agha 2:1 129:1,13 130:22 140:16,21 142:11,18 155:5,14 164:16 171:9 174:1 ago 7:8 22:6 28:22 62:9 68:4 72:20,20 77:2 78:9 85:9 89:10 111:1 137:17 139:22 152:22 152:22 154:12 182:6 182:15 190:15 209:17 229:14 233:16 255:15 268:1 271:10 276:8 284:3 297:8 301:2 319:1 322:17 325:19 338:7 356:9 374:15 381:5 384:3 392:14 398:10 403:1 agree 63:4 64:19 71:9 71:10 106:12 154:10 162:15,20 212:3 247:15 311:1 319:14 344:1 agreeing 33:18	Alfredo 3:3 180:14 191:14 194:10 aligning 304:13 alive 339:11 all-star 8:18 Allen 204:13 allocate 89:14 allocation 141:21 allow 210:5 213:15 214:20 289:14,15 303:9 318:12 347:19 347:21 401:2 402:18 allowed 102:4 194:12 330:19 397:22 allowing 87:4 allows 330:21 341:2 353:10 400:1 alluded 230:18 233:16 alluding 238:2 Alta 2:21 269:4 Altice 191:1 altogether 115:10 alumni 401:8 amazing 82:9,17 94:19 AMC 291:16 amen 65:10 amenable 263:11	anchored 21:8 ancillary 292:20 and/or 270:21 369:6 anecdotal 171:19 anecdotes 391:9 Angeles 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,14 241:15 Angelo 138:1 231:15 anger 65:6 angle 136:4 angry 64:4 Anna 9:18 406:1 Anniversary 11:6 announce 14:15 announcement 68:6 138:10 announcer 52:8 annual 133:15 332:17 401:10 answer 75:11 164:15 166:12 170:8 211:8 213:2 245:21 257:13 259:13 272:7 315:10 336:3 339:11 357:12 370:12 answered 115:6	applicants 386:3 application 13:8 61:22 270:18 applications 13:1 378:14 applied 49:3,8 53:4 97:20 204:5 336:5 applies 218:16 258:14 apply 258:15 376:7,17 378:16 applying 14:2 appointment 66:8 appreciate 26:8 47:3 50:21 143:6 150:2 175:22 176:1 208:18 312:9 320:19 406:20 appreciation 183:6 approach 58:10 65:11 77:21 118:11 145:3 150:16 177:12 195:21 approached 55:18 326:12 approaching 168:16 247:14 appropriate 38:20 approved 80:14 141:15

317:20 326:2 333:15

April 124:6,7 377:16 architecture 297:2 archives 303:16 area 27:1 35:3 46:15 47:13 53:4,19 69:3 83:19 85:14 102:21 102:22 103:1,13 105:21 214:16 219:5 259:11 areas 24:16 41:1 59:1 95:15 178:19 214:22 arena 139:6 168:7 arenas 185:7 Argentina 104:5 Arizona 326:11 327:21 Arkansas 42:15 arm 140:1 arrangement 168:11 arrived 19:9 22:1,17 23:5 art 388:6 article 163:8 articulate 280:21 Arts 368:2 **Asian** 3:5 85:18 94:19 182:17 186:20 196:7 323:9.10 383:21 384:16 **Asians** 85:15 186:22 aside 12:12 23:13 206:17 395:3 asked 8:2 20:9 35:1 55:4 85:7 142:5 181:19 216:6 255:20 313:16 325:7 374:13 asking 19:14,22 20:9 44:18,19,21 84:22 166:9 252:14 264:8 264:14 aspects 315:6 399:14 aspiring 164:7 330:4 assembled 82:10 assess 338:21 asset 14:19 281:5 282:8 assets 54:21,22 55:1,4 55:5,19 56:3 61:10 73:13 134:21 225:15 226:16 228:7,21 252:4 281:1 289:4 325:1 359:20 369:8 assigned 49:22 222:13 assimilate 93:9,15 assist 171:17 assistance 196:2 201:20 Assistant 182:5 assistants 127:6

assisting 102:4 associated 110:18 associates 48:22 **association** 2:15 141:2 158:22 221:20 223:17 261:20 262:1,11,18 364:13 368:15 374:13 390:6 **assuage** 314:14 **assuming** 250:15 assurance 200:7 assuring 50:13 Atlanta 320:8 349:14 **ATS** 165:18 **ATSC** 361:14 attached 92:14 attacked 288:11 attend 377:17 attendance 13:19 attending 38:4 attention 294:21,21 313:4 337:12 attitude 57:8 attorney 84:13 89:8 147:5,5 256:3 attorneys 55:14 255:14 256:4 attract 278:18 326:3 368:19 attracting 325:22 attractive 211:6 225:9 254:21 255:8 auction 48:10,14 142:13,15 162:10 165:8,10 226:3,4 227:8,12 230:21 231:1,9,18 232:3,22 233:1 241:10,11,14 241:16 251:8,9,17 261:5 auctions 141:8,8 226:4 231:12 236:5 237:11 261:8 audience 34:17 35:2 92:7,20 95:16 100:16 100:17 102:8,15,21 103:11 113:15 116:10 120:6,15,16 123:2 126:3 129:11 156:9 186:16 187:2,16 189:12 198:18 199:1 206:9 213:14 216:8 276:4 277:11,15 278:4 287:12 288:12 293:11 297:17,21 303:10,13 329:4

343:14 355:2 360:19

362:7 403:14

audiences 126:12 186:16 188:2 213:16 214:10,15 289:20 295:16 400:2 audio 86:16 august 208:2 **Augusto** 3:9 181:14 185:15 189:16 192:10 215:1 **AURN** 86:5 author 184:15 185:14 authority 223:12 authorize 156:16 automotive 144:15 avail 405:5 available 13:9 49:10 58:8 97:18 100:22 103:10 104:2 148:5 174:17,19 178:17 225:14,16,21 228:7 260:1 285:11 286:1 291:21 317:1 378:15 **Avenue** 15:10 **avenues** 73:10 average 196:18 215:19 334:13 400:16 awarded 49:13 awards 133:13 153:4,4 168:1 191:7 aware 22:17 54:17 329:5 awful 217:10 224:15

В **babv** 159:2 Bachelor 368:2 back 13:9 23:12 26:14 36:3,9,16 41:7 42:1 48:19 52:20 53:10 54:18 56:6 60:2 61:11 63:10 80:6,9 87:10 89:9 91:18 93:11 97:6 97:13 107:12 109:2 110:6 121:14 124:11 125:7 128:1,10 135:18 136:22 137:15 137:19 139:1 147:9 156:19 160:3 180:5 202:21 205:15 212:5 220:2 228:13 232:15 232:19 234:17,19 235:3,7 243:7,14 247:22 248:20 249:15 256:9,11 258:12 273:5 274:13 278:3 283:17 288:11 291:13 295:7 297:22 300:10 301:1 311:10 313:21

341:12 344:22 352:5 380:18,18,22,22 387:18 390:20 405:4 backbone 296:9 backed 45:1 138:22 **background** 17:6 90:2 96:19 196:1 199:20 238:1 249:5 267:13 270:3 341:22 backgrounds 181:11 backyards 300:8 bad 80:11,15,20 270:21 278:20 282:2 **badly** 256:5 **Bain** 139:12,13 bait 216:19 Bakersfield 326:17 balance 307:10 339:19 balanced 215:17 **balls** 16:3 Baltimore 185:14 band 103:17 104:9 bandwidth 211:20,20 bank 2:9,16 44:21 56:3 61:9 65:19,22 66:8,9 66:11,20 73:11 114:17 138:21 139:15 140:6 142:8 143:21 236:10 268:19 269:2 269:14,15 270:1,18 277:16,18 278:21 283:10 288:9,13 291:8 292:14 300:16 300:19 304:15 308:12 372:1.22 375:20 bank's 281:17 **banked** 269:22 banker 64:8 106:17 bankers 54:16 267:1 278:5 298:9 309:5,6 310:2 373:18 banking 54:20 67:15 277:3 bankruptcy 306:2 352:15,15 banks 112:3 229:9 247:22 269:22 272:2 279:8 283:12 284:3 284:14,20 291:3 299:22 300:11,12,13 311:19 **Baptist** 53:20 barely 226:20 **barriers** 30:7 68:3 72:5 176:22 179:2 189:13 276:9,21 277:5 288:1 309:12 310:6 331:10

assisted 388:13

I		ı	1	1
	base 24:14 137:7 145:3	316:14	234:15 236:1 244:14	board 66:1,4,5,6,8,9,11
	151:3 161:11 266:10	benefit 78:12 152:20	264:1 279:2 282:15	166:20 261:19 262:7
	297:1	173:5 185:22 225:4	305:3 307:16 326:5,6	263:11 313:21 376:11
	based 109:18 110:19	314:1	bigger-size 244:14	379:12 381:18
	143:5 159:12 183:8	benefits 7:11 213:4	biggest 73:2 250:14	boardrooms 194:20
	206:5 226:5 274:16	benefitted 228:1	283:20 306:1 307:9	boards 61:9
	280:7,8 300:18	benefitting 118:21	318:7,9 319:8,11	boat 319:3
	304:21 386:4 400:17	Benjamins 235:4	320:2	boats 227:18
	basic 136:15 145:3	Bess 3:18 5:20 10:8	bill 146:21 235:18	Bob 288:22 291:12
	341:18	33:20 405:17 406:1,4	billion 68:11 77:5	Bobby 242:19
	basically 21:7 64:1	406:10,16 407:3	165:12 333:11 360:6	body 208:3 216:20
	67:14 88:22 130:7,16	best 11:14 19:2 23:10	billionaires 62:14	bolts 13:13
	131:2,15 141:10	134:8 188:17,19	billions 159:22	book 57:14,20,21
	142:4 165:14 228:11	190:3 198:4 271:2	BingeNow 258:22	boots 30:11
	231:19 232:11 273:17	276:11 327:3 333:12	311:1	bootstrapped 114:16
	382:22	346:7 358:10 367:11	bios 181:8 365:2	bootstrapping 74:11
	basis 21:18 230:5	376:2 391:10 392:5	bipartisan 10:21	border 129:22 130:4
	347:11 362:22	393:10	Birmingham 84:4	141:19 142:1
	basketball 149:17	BET 291:13 366:9	bit 7:6 17:6 28:22 29:2	born 40:13 94:8
	bat 136:11	Betsy 393:3,11,14	39:5,17 43:6 46:21	borrowed 97:8
	BATE 272:22	394:8	50:6,19,22 86:14	boss 243:10
	bathrobe 288:17	better 25:4,5,6 30:10	105:7 110:14 124:11	Boston 269:4 277:11
	battle 20:21 22:11	32:18 46:7 51:15 62:8	131:19 142:22 164:13	288:16 372:22
	Bayou 2:21 128:19	89:18 100:12 111:22	170:15 176:18 186:19	bottom 14:5 61:8,10
	134:12 224:1,2,7	115:2 123:4 126:13	200:11 207:4 208:4,5	62:7 138:12 325:11
	Beasley 2:4,4 223:1,2	196:8 227:18 242:15	229:22 243:13 248:11	386:13
	228:5 229:22 230:2,9	244:14 255:2 278:3	267:13 272:8 277:1	bought 52:21 58:18
	232:7 239:21 244:21	299:6,10 306:6	278:16 279:2 291:8	108:14 137:21 138:3
	245:3,18 246:15	339:11 346:10,11	292:16 326:9 330:10	138:13 237:5 241:14
	247:15 254:16 255:3	352:21 358:19 393:19	363:6 369:19 380:8	244:13 272:10 309:10
	255:7 258:12 265:5	396:9 402:7 405:14	398:9	Bounce 130:20
	Beasley's 270:1	Beverly 207:19	black 2:6 22:5 52:11	boundaries 213:6
	beat 50:22	beyond 24:15 154:16	57:4 82:5 83:2 86:9	boutique 82:21
	beauty 226:19	354:12	96:1 110:2 172:21	Bowen 2:13 367:8
	becoming 76:22 303:8	bid 48:10 226:11 227:3	208:11,13 219:14,19	396:7,13 397:2,17
	369:7	231:19,21 235:14	266:6 290:13 312:11	398:10 401:11 402:1
	began 52:16 243:13	236:22 237:2 241:8	black-owned 201:15,19	402:10,12 403:1
	391:22	bidders 227:7,11 241:9	204:12 221:20 312:13	box 295:1
	beginning 52:6 56:9 141:5 195:17 199:15	bidding 48:15 227:6	blacks 289:20 290:4 Blackstar 3:1	boy 8:6
	325:17 348:3 396:15	327:10 bids 237:1	blathering 14:5	boys 251:2,4 316:2 brain 312:10
	399:6 400:6 404:19	big 12:3,17 13:3 95:7	blazed 46:14	branch 84:13
	begun 266:4	101:6,6 115:4 139:6	blazer 169:1 170:11	brand 335:3,17
	behalf 6:5 14:22 15:2	139:15 140:5 141:20	blazing 51:7	brand-new 202:1
	20:10 33:10 199:22	148:11,15 149:11	blend 293:20 294:22	branding 86:20
	250:6 373:10 407:5	177:21 191:4 192:5	blended 280:3 297:15	brands 126:11 191:4
	believable 281:17	194:6,20 195:2	blew 250:13	205:21 228:20
	believe 24:11 105:14	204:14,14 214:3	blip 283:17	Brazil 104:5
	202:5 205:1 251:7	215:11 231:12 232:16	block 207:16	break 106:9 176:8
	300:2 310:4 314:22	236:6 270:3,4 275:12	blogs 30:21	233:7 281:11 324:5
	322:21 325:15 398:5	275:12,14 276:9	BLT 320:15 365:17	348:19
	400:22 402:1,8,16,19	279:3 291:17 294:4	370:6,20 374:22	Brenda 10:9
	403:17	307:1,2 308:20	375:8,14,20 378:13	Brendan 1:19 4:15 10:7
	believed 191:21	310:18 333:6 341:18	378:19,20,21 379:2,9	Brennan 2:5 11:7
	believer 15:16 112:21	345:14 348:15 359:19	386:19,20 387:6	366:17 389:3,9,17
	belong 402:19	361:12 399:22	388:17	396:1
	bench 381:13	bigger 88:15 139:9	Blue 77:4	Brent 3:10 222:16,16
	beneficial 285:19	141:1 152:15 205:17	BNC 219:18	222:20 224:17
		I	l	

II			
Brian 2:17,21 268:3,20	174:7 249:22 264:10	brokerage 236:12	256:1 259:6 266:11
269:3 271:9,10,14	286:8 295:3 300:9	383:5 384:11 385:12	267:5 269:17,18
11			
272:17,20 277:15	305:4 306:5 329:22	388:11	281:21,22 282:19
282:14 298:21 304:16	331:21 340:19 384:13	brokered 385:12	292:20 311:7 315:2
305:8 315:22 318:16	broadcaster's 295:10	brokers 373:19 383:4	319:9,10 341:20
324:18 332:9 335:22	broadcasters 13:17,19	Brookings 3:8 128:3	352:8
343:22 352:13 355:9	23:19 44:16 57:12	Brooks 2:6 181:14	busy 12:13 16:7 31:1
355:14 356:7,15,18	68:13 71:17 96:12	184:20,21 188:12	buy 55:5 62:4 67:3,7
372:21,22 375:22	98:21 102:1,14 115:3	198:11 214:4	97:2 112:6,12,13
378:22	125:12 128:9 150:6	brother 46:8 47:19	126:9 136:17 148:11
Brian's 272:19 341:16	153:13 154:6 157:21	50:21 215:12 309:7	148:17 152:14 159:4
brief 26:9 68:2 80:1	158:22 170:4,14	309:20	231:21 234:21 246:19
84:22 125:11 161:15	173:4 221:20 223:17	brothers 48:22	246:19 247:14 280:6
161:15 181:10 365:3	226:2,13,14 227:19	brought 45:4 77:15	280:22 305:20,20
368:7 406:12	240:15,19 241:3	98:18 183:9 190:3	330:1,5 331:14 344:8
briefing 19:11	251:3 259:7 261:21	323:6 378:22 379:1	344:11 357:18 360:6
briefly 40:16 76:16	262:2,12,18 264:7	brutal 192:2	385:14 387:21
320:9	285:4,11 286:2,11	bucks 310:18	buyer 253:3,4 306:22
bright 18:2	287:6,7 303:7,8	budding 61:13	372:15 386:5
brilliant 327:2 361:6	304:12 317:16 319:5	budget 339:20 377:1,1	buyers 250:10,22
	329:20 330:18 331:3		
bring 8:2 25:1 36:16 51:1 67:1 79:14 188:2	344:6,14 352:7,17,17	budgets 206:2 273:22 376:20	buying 59:20 68:11 77:7 148:11 152:10
		build 112:14,16 125:8	
195:3 207:11,13,14	352:18 354:9 358:22		165:12 243:20,21
215:7 282:22 283:1	359:3 364:14 368:15	163:9 177:15 252:17	244:10 245:19 251:11
310:5 328:11 383:15	369:5 374:13 379:14	325:16 326:20 328:9	258:16 281:5 307:11
391:11 392:22 393:5	379:14,15,17 384:22	339:19 342:12	371:22 388:19
bringing 7:11 157:18	385:16 386:9	building 9:2 73:16	buys 67:5 69:2 359:11
169:11 172:15,20	broadcasting 2:2,11,21	140:13,13 325:3,9	Byron 204:13
234:2 269:1 381:22	3:2,3,4,5,7,7 10:21	347:18 404:22	С
brings 92:10 94:10	13:4,22 15:20 17:3	built 27:14 42:16 74:13	
145:18 206:9 402:13	20:6 32:1 39:1,1,8	87:16 140:11 144:22	C 3:2 48:14
402:22	40:13 41:16 43:18	145:14 237:8 345:8	C-Suite 78:5
broad 24:20,22 69:4	44:1 45:7 46:5,10	346:3	cable 2:5 11:6 130:10
101:11 286:10	47:1 48:1 49:2,6 51:9	built-in 303:12	182:13 183:14 186:4
broadband 4:17 180:21	52:6 54:17 57:9 60:1	bullet 218:9	190:5 195:18,19
186:12	60:4 62:10 69:2 74:8	bully 264:22	201:15,17,19 204:12
broadcast 1:4,11 3:9,14	82:20 84:3 88:6 92:14	bump 225:17	209:20 210:4 211:18
4:8 6:11,13 8:19 9:5	92:21 105:10 106:18	bunch 72:14 163:15	216:15 217:14 247:8
12:14,16 13:2 16:5	106:18 120:10 128:19	350:5	276:11,14,16 286:21
32:7 33:2 38:22 42:14	134:12 146:16 153:1	bureau 1:13,13 3:16,17	296:20 361:9 366:18
42:18 51:12 68:9,20	224:1 229:22 240:22	4:2,3 6:5,5 10:4 18:18	366:21 390:3
82:15 84:14 89:8 120:7 128:16 133:4	261:17 276:8,15,16	20:18 25:9 84:14	California 21:3,13
	286:4,4,5,7 287:9	182:5 197:10 223:15	129:14 182:16 187:5
133:11 134:13 146:2	289:10,13 299:6	407:5 Burn 260:5	300:18 303:5
148:20 151:19 182:5	302:1 315:15 343:6	Burr 269:5	call 35:15 74:10 80:3,7
182:13 217:13 229:12	365:7 370:19 371:16	Busby 2:6 266:1,3,5,16	87:14 95:18 271:9,13
231:20 235:17 238:5	379:9 383:21 384:17	267:17 270:6 271:7	271:19 279:9 312:3
254:22 263:17 268:17	385:17 386:4 387:13	274:2,7,11,15,18	325:19
271:21 274:5 295:10	388:4	277:10,14 297:18	called 21:3 80:9 103:2
304:18 317:9 336:15	broadcasts 149:9	303:22 312:7	105:21 122:15 133:19
338:16 343:19 365:16	broaden 293:2 357:21	businesses 39:12	158:21 165:18 187:11
368:16,20 369:9	broader 179:11 260:11	48:11,12,12 49:15	199:15 203:18 218:17
371:2 374:10 399:1	broadly 177:17	50:15 62:22 68:21	238:4 259:4 269:4,5
406:7	brochures 378:13	72:8 73:8 74:4 111:17	309:7 349:6 364:5
broadcaster 39:9 42:12	broke 59:18	112:17 114:19 115:3	374:22
108:3 141:21 148:10	broken 348:22	188:7 189:9 202:6	calling 16:3 49:21
149:8 154:22 155:20	broker 232:14,14,15	203:12 204:7 208:22	122:3 235:15 387:19
156:6 165:21,22	235:13 385:13	211:2 217:18 255:17	387:20
I	I	I	I

II
calls 24:2 272:3
camaraderie 109:10
camera 21:4
Canada 104:5 130:5
canary 338:1
candidates 359:16
Cantu 170:12,12
capabilities 165:4
capable 283:14 299:11
capacity 280:2
capital 44:13 45:6,16
50:7 54:6 58:4 72:21
73:4 79:1,8 110:7,11
110:19,21 113:13,18
114:22 115:18,20
125:7 139:12,13
140:12 175:13 201:1
226:9 267:2,3 268:22
269:8 270:8,11 271:3
275:3,7,8 280:4 284:7
285:3,5 291:2 292:8
292:16,18 301:11
308:15 309:4 311:21
314:21 318:10,18,20
319:3,6,7,12 321:5
325:1 329:15 330:5
333:10 342:19 343:15
343:16 344:8 352:12
352:12,14 353:19
354:12,15 356:13
354:12,15 356:13
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capital 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capital 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5 Carlos 130:14
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5 Carlos 130:14 Carney 19:15
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5 Carlos 130:14 Carney 19:15 Carolina 42:16 46:12
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5 Carlos 130:14 Carney 19:15 Carolina 42:16 46:12 Caroline 2:4 223:1,3
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5 Carlos 130:14 Carney 19:15 Carolina 42:16 46:12 Caroline 2:4 223:1,3 227:22 229:19 232:7
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5 Carlos 130:14 Carney 19:15 Carolina 42:16 46:12 Caroline 2:4 223:1,3
354:12,15 356:13 360:10 372:7 373:9 396:20 capitalists 79:14 capitalize 91:4 Capitol 18:20 captains 260:12,18 captivated 120:6 captive 92:20 120:16 car 214:13 care 290:9 321:17 383:18 career 15:20 21:2,21 24:5 32:15 42:22 45:2 84:11 106:16 128:6 184:5 203:19 325:20 328:17 365:8 393:9 394:16 careers 326:9 369:3 401:4 402:8 careful 307:19 carefully 42:2 Carey 10:5 Carlos 130:14 Carney 19:15 Carolina 42:16 46:12 Caroline 2:4 223:1,3 227:22 229:19 232:7

261:19 262:6 265:14
270:1
Carr 1:19 4:15 10:17
25:14 176:9,10
407:11
carriage 186:4 187:10 189:13 192:17 196:14
210:10 211:19 212:4
carriers 193:4,22
195:19 196:13 210:13
216:16
carrot 330:18 332:5
carrots 332:7
Carry 407:6 carrying 76:14 94:21
202:2 363:1
cars 99:3,3,4
Cartoon 191:2
case 41:7 71:6 120:22
141:12 201:9 202:11
237:20 238:9 242:2 245:9 257:2 336:5
245:9 257:2 336:5 370:5 378:1
cases 67:17 136:13
322:14
cash 55:9 136:1,12
137:10 164:4 246:15
246:17 280:7 288:13
293:6 295:6 306:4,5
cast 349:5 casting 287:10,11
296:16,18
catch 117:11
catching 28:16
categories 38:18
category 20:11
caters 129:10 Cathy 109:6
cats 9:16
cause 15:17
cautionary 115:13
caveat 169:19
caveats 332:9
CBS 134:14 224:2
228:13,18 232:7,8 400:6
CBSs 139:7
ceiling 12:4 106:9
324:5
ceilings 12:3
celebrate 29:10
celebrating 133:1
366:20 398:2 Celebration 11:7
cell 130:3 286:19,22
289:16 296:6
cellular 48:13
census 197:10 205:16
1

```
center 34:14 117:20
  128:2 393:4
center's 366:14
centered 304:6
centers 185:7
central 2:14 102:17
  128:21 182:15 187:5
  216:10
cents 163:21
century 105:15
CEO 31:22 39:8 77:6
  78:7 82:4 83:6,16
  86:3 128:18,20,22
  129:1 184:22 224:1
  266:6 325:12 328:13
  365:7,22 366:18
  367:7 370:18 372:2
CEOs 262:3
Cerda 2:7 181:13
  182:21,21 192:10
  211:16
certain 68:18 115:22
  116:1 251:1 292:9
  302:11 310:16 359:13
  396:17
certainly 41:1 42:6
  46:17 51:18 102:11
  103:13 177:13 188:17
  213:9 258:1 277:9
  313:12 315:9 320:6
  343:11 351:18 363:10
  406:20 407:18
certificate 41:10 151:18
  152:2,19 154:13
  155:2 156:14 171:17
  172:1 182:8 242:16
  242:17,22 257:4,7,8
  258:14 264:6 318:15
  319:18 320:5 332:6
  342:18 353:1
certificates 62:2,4
 264:18
cetera 195:22 275:9
 289:12 294:19 305:21
CFO 328:13
CFOs 78:8,10
chair 1:11 3:14 4:7,8
  9:7,19,19 32:2 261:20
  263:11 381:14 405:21
  406:2,7
Chairman 1:17 4:3 6:20
  7:1,7 9:11 15:2 17:19
  25:7 27:14 28:20 32:9
  32:16 38:5 39:7,21
  41:18 47:4 51:9 52:3
```

375:10 406:11 407:8
Chairman's 102:3
Chairpersons 363:16
Chairwoman 82:19
challenge 17:15 27:4
57:19 65:4 70:19
102:13 110:11 111:7 193:16 245:14 318:7
193:16 245:14 318:7
318:9 352:19
challenged 272:18
challenges 21:1 23:4
45:8 53:11 90:18
110:18 117:5 346:16
392:17
challenging 45:16
111:18,20 124:13
129:21
chambers 2:6 266:6,8
Chambers' 312:11
chance 12:2 72:16
176:11 178:12 185:21 192:1 197:7,8 216:2
192:1 197:7,8 216:2
222:11 229:20 237:13
255:21 404:1 405:8
Chang 2:8,8 128:21,22
131:22 132:2,2
135:16 146:10,12,15
154:10 161:14,20
162:6,13 163:6,12
164:10
change 89:19 188:22
197:19,19 262:21
297:15 318:14 381:4
390:1 395:14 397:20
398:14
changed 73:7 137:18 275:12 276:4 337:6
2/5:12 2/6:4 33/:6
338:7 371:8
changes 165:7 200:17
252:19
changing 199:14 227:16 275:19 304:20
337:10 403:2
channel 07:5 7 102:19
channel 97:5,7 103:18 103:18,20,21 141:12
141:14 184:17 190:9
191:18,22 192:3
201:10,11,22 202:3
204:9 206:11 207:4,5
209:9,19 213:7
219:14,19 236:14
240:9,17 241:14,17
241:18 245:8 250:4
384:4 387:16
channels 104:1 147:16
186:3,8 189:18 190:3
192:11 196:19.20

68:5 128:20 169:8 182:2,6,22 185:18 265:6 356:1 357:1

212:10,22 213:1

225:12 230:20 289:3 chapter 304:22 392:15 **chapters** 392:13 character 283:15 **charge** 262:8 **charged** 7:19 157:8 charity 227:1 **Charles** 170:12 charter 68:7,16 196:3 323:2 400:7 **chartered** 7:19 14:13 **Chase** 236:11 **chats** 193:3 **cheap** 284:6 cheaper 112:13,16 cheapest 291:15 **cheat** 285:1,6 **check** 209:7 230:22 273:21 **checked** 149:10 273:3 checking 240:6 **checks** 163:2,3 Chesley 2:19 78:8 83:5 83:13,15 86:1 88:21 93:22 106:11 109:13 117:10 119:8 126:7 127:10 **Chicago** 3:7 37:13 87:16 95:8 96:1 100:11 109:9 304:4 **Chicago's** 83:2 87:6 **chief** 1:13 3:16 4:2 6:4 10:4,5,7,11 18:18 83:8 84:13 182:4 200:8 222:18 223:2 223:13,15 407:6 **chiefs** 20:18 child 11:17 107:21 children 161:3 China 96:18,21 213:8,9 **Chinese** 97:11,12,14,15 98:14 183:20,21,21 **choice** 90:22 **choices** 124:9 **choose** 195:6 243:7 253:3 Christian 66:17 Christmas 100:10 Chubb 94:14 **church** 53:21 churches 66:22 Cincinnati 316:1,2 327:13 328:12 circuitry 297:2 circumstances 253:2 **Citadel** 250:4 cite 240:16,16 **Citibank** 236:11

cities 88:15 102:17 **Citizens** 222:19 city 2:14,21 58:8,14 69:12,15,16 83:17 89:19 97:2,18 128:19 128:21 130:8 134:12 185:6,14 216:11 224:1,2 299:9 303:5 327:14 385:9 City's 224:7 civil 261:9 **Clarke** 323:7 Clarke's 242:19 class 89:18 103:6,6 142:14 155:18,18,22 156:1 158:21 159:6 174:9,20 375:10,12 375:14 377:16 378:1 378:5,11 379:4 402:14 **CLE** 260:21 261:12 clear 180:5 236:14 240:9,17 241:14,17 241:18 250:4 256:2 357:15 384:4 387:16 cleared 344:7 clearinghouse 395:3 **clearly** 16:20 20:19 110:7 218:22 301:10 345:3 **clever** 307:14 clicker 370:14,16,17 374:9 380:4 client 252:8 261:5 clients 198:17 214:8,12 261:15 clients' 96:15 **cliff** 338:8 **climate** 197:13 clock 266:4 **close** 125:11 142:19 165:10 223:8 247:18 252:6 257:10,11 395:8 **closed** 156:4 240:9 closely 395:12 **closer** 194:9 392:8 closing 5:18 63:9,13,16 63:16 301:13.20 313:8 403:17 405:18 cloud 296:11 clouds 305:15 306:19 306:20 club 142:20 251:1,2,3 clusters 228:15 **CMG** 322:20

co-founder 224:13 381:15 co-moderator 37:21 82:11 222:16 316:4 355:8 co-moderators 180:18 co-owned 132:9 co-ownership 400:20 co-signing 210:3 coal 338:2 Coast 302:9 coasts 15:21 coffee 24:1 coin 226:8,9 cold 22:7 28:3 197:2 **Coley** 2:8 365:21 380:2 380:5,11 386:17,20 387:2,7,10 collaborate 110:3 399:4 collaboratively 367:1 collateral 64:2 65:21 66:2 **colleague** 22:4 35:13 colleagues 10:17 22:2 143:14 157:5 315:19 357:8 collect 76:1 309:22 **collected** 309:8,9 collection 310:3 **collective** 309:6,11 310:7 collectively 20:15 **college** 49:7 243:15 326:1 368:19 **colleges** 178:15 **color** 19:13,20,21 20:16 21:13,16 22:10 23:1 24:7 33:1 128:9 151:19 161:10 218:3 218:5 272:9 335:15 367:11 371:10 376:6 391:3,13 397:14,21 398:3,12,17 399:7 402:3 403:4 Colorado 132:7,8,15 154:18 colors 402:12 Columbia 368:2 combination 120:12 211:11 304:11 combine 83:1 combined 211:5 Comcast 184:4 187:10 190:12 191:5 194:11 196:3,5 199:21 200:1 200:6,7 400:7 Comcast-NBC 201:12 come 8:5,12 19:4 29:2

35:3 37:5 66:12 70:6 70:18 76:1,8 77:10,12 81:10 91:11 93:10,20 105:14 106:19 109:4 130:16 134:21 136:22 141:1 153:18,18 157:9 173:10,19 176:4 199:7 204:3 205:21 212:18 221:4 231:22 232:1 233:17 234:7 243:7 249:15 249:18 256:20 260:14 264:17 268:1 294:7 297:4,5 299:16 300:4 300:11 303:18 310:19 313:19 321:2,2 324:20 375:10,12,15 375:20,22 389:3 401:10 405:1 comes 12:10 20:6 22:12 81:20 143:15 144:8 146:6 161:6 163:22 188:18 190:19 237:22 252:7,15 262:13 267:3 289:4 comfortable 175:19 248:6 coming 7:4 17:21 23:7 25:19 30:15 33:14 35:8 37:15 61:14 68:9 74:9 96:4 105:18 111:13 118:11 125:7 170:21 220:15 227:7 239:4 241:10 259:13 261:1,6 264:8,13 282:5 288:7 304:22 311:9 357:3 374:8 377:10 403:17 408:8 Comm 254:14 command 174:20 comment 94:4 171:11 171:12 219:3 231:6 261:18 343:3 344:20 345:2 352:2 commentary 166:7 172:3 comments 12:16,17 48:20 205:14 213:12 216:12 219:8 263:14 291:18 329:11 362:6 396:3 Commerce 42:20 commercial 44:17,21 52:5,10 54:16,20 55:3 78:22 283:10 291:3 **commission** 1:1 10:15

CNBC 205:7

co- 84:15 383:8

10:22 14:19 16:13

26:12,13 30:10 32:17

II			
36:1,5 42:1 43:10	317:17,18 325:5	competitive 49:12	200:2
47:10 141:15 142:5	347:8 349:20 350:6	88:17 101:19 189:7	Congresswoman
155:15 156:2,3 174:4	350:20,21	210:6 238:14 298:12	242:18
180:3 184:14 253:6	community 53:18 57:5	395:19	connect 13:18 66:17
253:18 257:16 260:9	66:18,20 67:2 68:9	competitively 236:22	75:14 120:14 125:19
357:15 358:16 359:9	70:16 71:2,3 74:12	competitor 43:19	303:5
359:13 360:13 390:22	83:18 85:12,12,19	competitors 207:1,6	connection 93:14 117:4
405:10	86:18 87:18 88:10	282:3 302:9	187:13 407:16
Commission's 7:9 17:2	95:10,11,12 97:11,13	complaining 383:1	connections 14:9 126:9
155:17 254:3 260:2	106:2 113:1 117:3	complete 240:4 308:20	126:10 135:5 272:19
Commissioner 1:18,19	118:18 119:16 125:20	complicated 124:12	connects 367:10
1:20 4:6,7,15 9:9	129:19 136:6,9 174:6	189:21 190:8 292:6	conquer 105:21
25:13 26:5,7,11 28:11	178:15 186:8 188:16	comply 213:5 240:12	conservative 56:11
28:13,20 29:1 38:5	195:5 197:16 278:1,9	components 273:1	122:9,11
40:15 52:2 176:8,9,10	298:8,10 312:17	281:11	consider 207:14 209:10
184:12 263:16 313:12	349:18 350:10 367:20	comprehensive 12:16	225:5
355:16 375:15	368:16 388:10	17:2	considered 90:21
commissioners 1:9,15	community-relevant	conceivable 242:12	consistent 7:15
10:17 52:3 220:7	303:12	concentrated 88:11,13	consolidated 301:5
259:21 260:5 294:20	companies 42:18 44:8	concept 143:21 274:22	consolidation 155:6
355:14 378:9 407:10	47:21 50:15 60:4 74:6	274:22 283:11	193:20 194:16 196:17
commit 314:18	86:4,12,14 119:1	concepts 214:6	230:10,16 242:3,9,10
commitment 17:5 18:5	129:6 131:4 142:12	concern 270:7,11	284:2 336:13
25:21 190:12 191:12	144:15 155:7,12	concerned 47:14 148:1	constantly 211:18
192:5 197:6 202:14	159:19 174:14 178:11	260:8	constitutional 256:20
202:15 212:15 317:17	185:5 192:6,6 194:21	concerns 314:15	constructed 200:13
396:19	196:21 198:6 211:10	concert 88:3	construction 58:16,18
commitments 144:16	211:18 225:15,17	concerted 118:14	111:2,16
145:10	226:15,22 227:3	concerts 41:19 88:11	consulting 377:11
committed 212:19,19	228:6 229:3,12	88:12,13	consults 365:12
362:17	230:19 236:1 250:14	concise 131:20 166:12	consume 302:4,6
committee 6:6,15 7:17	258:4 264:1 269:8,19	214:9	consumer 124:2,2
7:18,20 8:6 9:20	279:22 280:1 284:16	conclave 219:1	161:11 197:20
12:13 14:13,16,18	284:21 288:21 292:18	concur 164:16	consumers 124:9
15:4 25:10 32:3 33:11	293:1,5,5 294:2 299:5	Condista 3:9 185:16,17	126:10,12
37:14 46:18 47:7	301:6 305:20 306:1	conditions 396:17	contacts 32:13 136:5
62:17 176:1 256:9,15	307:10 318:8,13	397:14	246:3,6
263:8,15 273:16	319:15 320:4 333:6	conducted 230:21	content 4:18 8:20 110:4
304:5 314:8 323:3,5,7 339:8 355:11,22	334:1 343:8 345:11	conference 28:6 47:5	123:20 124:1,2
358:4 404:4 405:12	346:6 347:3 348:16 352:13 353:18 365:13	77:14 119:20 380:9 391:2,4 401:10	125:19 131:1 133:10 147:20 157:1,16
405:20 408:4	369:7,8 387:9 391:12	conferences 380:22	159:22 161:11 166:22
Committee's 9:5	394:10,11 395:12	385:11	175:14 180:21 182:18
169:14 179:11	398:4,6 400:4 401:6	confidence 402:16	189:10 192:19,20
common 275:5 363:2	401:12 402:4,18	confront 90:17	193:1,2 195:7,11
commonplace 29:15	company's 80:4 134:12	confronting 90:12	198:12,17 202:3,13
communication 142:1	321:13	conglomerate 215:19	203:7 204:15 205:2,5
communications 1:1	compared 193:7,9	conglomerates 188:1	205:8 207:2 211:7
2:6,10,21 3:6 10:10	compel 226:22	193:10 196:16 203:2	214:2,8,9,17,21 215:8
11:11 12:6 83:10	compete 99:8 198:5	congratulations 240:22	296:1,9 303:9,12,15
84:12 169:3 180:17	280:1 302:3 308:20	Congress 50:12 62:19	303:16 351:22 380:13
184:22 231:17 259:10	337:12,19	151:21 152:7 156:15	380:14 399:18 400:13
269:4 382:5 400:8	competence 398:6	257:5	contents 4:1 5:2 131:12
communities 8:1 11:12	competent 239:3	Congressman 38:10,11	131:13
95:13,20 96:7 102:9	competing 121:21	43:12,12 45:13	context 99:9
117:1,4 118:1 161:4,5	227:11	242:19	continent 204:11 212:1
270:22 294:2,5	competition 146:5	congressmen 220:19	continue 13:15 101:1
297:22 300:3 310:16	198:1 277:7,8	Congresspersons	101:17,18 157:11
	I	I	I

179:2 188:15 217:7 348:14 381:1 384:20 continued 256:16 406:12 contours 30:17 contract 130:17 255:19 258:10,10 327:9 contracts 147:6 210:4 **contribute** 90:7 386:12 contributing 386:8 control 86:13 117:20 152:13,13 254:5 350:14 controlled 131:4 142:12 155:12 controlling 108:9,14 controls 290:7 323:19 convention 185:6 377:17 conversation 35:5,10 78:2,4,8,22 79:7 82:8 90:8 95:3 96:4 114:20 122:21 128:1,12 134:20 143:12 156:12 175:11 183:3 208:3 208:21 218:1 242:16 243:3 262:20 266:18 314:20 316:2 324:14 343:17 396:11 398:22 404:19 conversations 35:12 81:11,14 122:15 217:5 convey 210:22 convince 55:21 64:9 66:6 152:7,8 280:11 convinced 44:3,4 57:9 97:3 convincing 64:14 107:1 280:10 COO 83:9 Cooper 3:6 82:19 87:3 87:5,21 95:2 99:12,15 107:15 109:22 113:19 113:22 114:4,6,9 115:12,15 116:6,8,12 117:9 122:7,19 123:12,21 124:15 125:2,5,22 127:11 222:6 Cooper's 223:5 coordinated 12:15 coordination 141:17,18 copper 276:12 copyright 311:15 **cord** 91:19 **core** 335:9 **corporate** 255:11 335:2

348:6 388:7 corporation 82:20 212:16 343:4 corporations 57:21,22 212:13 corps 18:6,7 19:7 **correct** 61:6 76:6 91:3 126:1 172:22 205:15 212:9 356:10 correcting 151:22 corrections 395:14 correspondent 3:20 4:5 8:9 124:8 correspondents 18:12 20:17 cost 88:18 140:22 174:17 211:22 212:4 280:3 282:20 357:20 costing 73:16 costs 112:11 162:20 209:8 307:17,18,19 401:18 Council 128:8 224:12 262:3 366:3 369:16 381:4 397:10 counsel 61:20 223:13 223:16 261:2 count 95:17 202:3 218:14 counted 103:19 counter 299:21 countries 104:4,5 130:4 130:4 country 8:1 12:11 14:20 17:17 22:9,14 39:10 39:11 41:20 42:18 44:8 85:10,14 91:12 93:10,14,16 95:7 98:13 106:1 118:14 133:8 157:2 174:12 192:12 250:14 266:9 312:14 354:11 400:4 400:14 402:15 couple 26:19 34:9 74:6 78:9 117:17 161:14 176:11 190:15 232:21 235:9 236:14 237:11 243:7 295:22 301:15 305:1 319:1 322:17 381:4 384:3 **courage** 107:10 course 17:15 19:15 24:18 29:7 30:1 39:22 53:2 73:8 77:11 96:21 101:8 104:7 107:5

court 108:4,7 **cover** 17:13 20:8,8 209:8 248:9 281:12 315:7 coverage 20:6 130:8 131:10,11 165:5 388:8 covered 17:7 48:17 covering 15:21 268:12 268:15 Cox 2:12 315:20,21 320:6 322:20 343:5 **COZI** 132:16 crazy 66:15 391:8 **create** 85:20 141:3 156:22 175:14 202:12 212:21,22 231:3 287:2 289:13 294:13 294:15 296:1,13 303:14 304:17 305:3 305:5 374:1,5 383:7 386:11 397:13 399:5 401:1,11,13 402:21 created 48:8 74:19 79:15,16 89:15 103:1 144:15 178:1 218:12 262:2 310:13 356:3 357:4 374:9 397:18 399:14 creates 17:22 287:4.4 288:16 302:1 305:2 377:1 creating 5:6,11 39:14 41:6 154:2 173:1 182:15 271:3 288:18 296:8 311:8 338:22 362:13 374:12 creative 89:20 108:11 123:13 127:5 194:3 196:11 198:16 214:7 278:11 326:19 393:5 credentialed 226:2 236:19 credibility 282:21 credit 67:16,18 114:18 169:11 172:2,14 254:18 272:7 273:10 273:16,19 274:13 **credits** 153:7 154:1,5 169:15 crescendo 159:3 233:8 crews 178:11 cries 211:10 criteria 246:7,12 critical 23:16 63:6 65:3 107:13 117:22 194:1 275:3 299:18 308:8

376:12 395:14

312:16 319:10 346:12 critically 11:21 29:9 **Cronkite** 326:12 cross 195:21,22 337:7 cross-promoting 187:15 Crossings 182:15 **crowd** 107:19 309:15 310:7 **CSI** 148:22 cultivated 252:12 **cultural** 94:10 205:22 259:2 culture 92:10 93:8,9 113:10 289:22 325:13 345:9 **Cumulus** 114:21 304:13 307:4 curious 121:16 199:12 244:18 336:10 current 15:4 32:2 94:15 224:9 **currently** 83:5 84:3 134:13 196:5 254:19 337:22 365:12 379:17 curriculum 377:5 curse 241:12 customers 393:22 **cut** 91:19 193:14 208:14 300:5 cycle 17:18

D **D** 48:14 **D&I** 322:18 323:7 392:5 **D.C** 1:10 185:13 266:7 298:1 300:5 dad 87:10 dad's 108:2,15 daily 21:18 169:3 204:16 254:15 362:22 dais 35:7 37:4 **Dakota** 385:9 **Damon** 2:9 268:22 269:13,14 281:10,21 290:19 291:19 298:3 301:19 Dan 268:3,22 281:8 300:22 375:19 danger 81:2 Daniel 2:9 269:14 305:8 data 172:5 391:7,8,19 394:18 395:2 399:22 date 26:22 169:20 244:12 406:18 Dates 2:9 180:17 181:1 182:20 184:18 186:9

158:21 222:18 223:10

242:15 259:20 315:22

223:15,20 224:8

187:18 197:9 198:10

II	ı	ı	ı
199:10 203:15 206:10	371:14 373:20	democracy 17:14 25:5	316:22 320:15 339:12
209:11 210:8 216:4	dealing 122:16 124:17	170:18,19 175:20	339:12 358:18 365:6
219:9,16 220:11	126:2,2 146:4 279:5,9	demographics 227:15	365:20,21 369:11,14
221:5,16 381:20	deals 204:20 239:22	demonstrate 332:20	370:14,14,21 372:18
daughter 12:1	251:15 253:11,18	demonstrated 217:3	382:9 403:16 405:17
David 2:14 224:9,12,22	270:19 278:17 279:1	department 69:12,16	405:20 408:6
225:7 230:18 233:5	279:3,4 359:19 360:5	142:2	Diane's 159:2 238:4
233:16 236:4 250:3	360:16	depending 140:3	263:13 269:21 370:5
253:4 265:13 359:4,5	dealt 104:14 339:12	212:11	diaspora 183:18,18,20
359:5,5 380:17	344:15	depends 247:12 258:19	Dick 382:22
381:15 382:21 388:12	Dean 180:16 365:19	deploy 143:17	dictate 70:8 218:19
388:20	370:19 381:20	deployed 395:10	Diego 129:14 141:14
David's 224:9 228:5	Deana 2:22 268:3,10	deposit 67:1	diehards 296:21
358:22	dear 16:18 150:8	depreciate 163:4	differed 56:14
day 6:9,18,21 7:4,7 14:9	315:19 387:14	Deputy 1:12 3:16 4:2	difference 14:6 160:1
16:3 17:15,22 19:4	death 351:3	6:4 10:5,9 182:4	254:6 275:12,14
21:18 23:11 28:6,14	debt 56:5 60:5 61:11	223:14	different 17:11 20:11
28:18 29:21 30:1	116:3,5 138:16,18	Des 84:4 112:14	25:1 27:20 38:17
31:17 32:10 33:4	275:4,4 280:1 281:18	descent 85:18	47:22 51:13 61:9
37:16,18 52:13 59:16	292:14 372:21	describe 11:16	65:11 79:12 85:6
64:5 65:6 104:21	decade 192:13 373:13	described 38:15 253:4	90:18 98:14 99:17
107:20 111:21 124:7	decades 51:15 208:11	description 85:1	110:4 114:21 123:6
136:16 156:17 163:4	decide 203:22	229:20	124:13 126:19 133:2
177:4 208:12 226:16	decide 203.22 decided 85:19 111:14	deserve 23:14 207:7	133:16 135:5,11
233:15 237:18 238:2	decision 59:4 67:17,19	design 27:21	137:16 139:5,20
239:5 245:22 259:22	220:5,6 246:1 354:6	designated 10:8 256:8	140:3 143:4,8,20
270:11 271:19 282:17	386:5	designed 27:18 195:20	145:17,19 159:14
283:16 299:14 302:13	decisions 69:1 220:10	375:3 376:3 379:14	168:18 170:15 189:10
302:17 337:13 338:5	declining 99:2	designing 27:16	195:4 199:17 229:7
346:18 347:4 355:13	decrease 103:5	desires 175:13	258:17 267:3 275:21
355:19 364:4 407:14	decreasing 120:4	desk 162:20	289:2 292:17 293:2
day-to-day 82:14	deep 170:21 171:2	despite 65:6 70:1	297:8 334:20 338:18
days 71:15,16,16,19	213:22 214:22 282:11	destroy 163:10	341:19 344:12 345:10
72:9 77:2 78:9 80:5	369:11 393:16	details 223:9	345:22 351:3,22,22
83:20 201:4 213:17	deeply 24:11 29:7	determined 11:21	364:18,20 370:1
213:21 270:17,19	226:18	determines 226:20	390:15 392:17
276:7 279:18 295:22	defeats 170:3	Detroit 84:6 112:15	differentiation 395:19
296:17 307:19 380:19	defend 288:9	387:13,20 388:2,7,10	differently 203:16
daytime 52:21 57:18	defer 231:7 268:3	develop 106:3 195:6	302:5
daytime-only 103:8	define 245:13 349:3	348:14 369:17 374:17	difficult 61:12 62:3 63:5
daytimer 44:7	definitely 191:9 192:6	375:6 390:18 393:7	102:10 147:15 156:1
De 134:10	197:4 275:13 276:4	developed 12:18	192:18 193:18 204:6
de-one 134:9	336:9	252:12 263:1 399:12	212:12 281:15 282:4
deal 33:13 88:14,20	definition 200:20 209:3	developer 213:9	283:10 292:2 298:19
116:20 117:17 124:18	217:8	developing 7:21	319:14 341:13 342:10
130:1,17 131:7	DeForest 78:21 166:20	development 1:11 3:15	342:11
187:16 200:17 210:12	degraded 276:14	4:8 9:6 12:15 199:6	difficulty 64:14
232:7,18,20 233:10	degree 366:12 372:10	259:5 324:5,16 369:2	digest 124:2
235:8,19 241:22	degrees 105:18 367:21	406:8	diginet 133:19 160:12
247:6 248:7 249:19	367:22	Devon 44:7	digital 6:7 7:11,17,22
249:22 252:18 253:10	deja 182:10,10	devote 218:21	14:18 25:10 41:19
253:16,19 256:22	Deleage 269:6	devoting 15:5,17	86:20 90:12 100:8,20
267:9 270:19 271:21	delighted 263:2 364:8	DFO 3:18 10:9	123:6 130:22 141:13
273:6 277:16,19,19	deliver 272:14,18	diabetes 117:21	141:21 143:9 150:22
277:22 278:12,17,19	deliverables 8:5	dialogue 114:10	170:13 186:10 199:9
283:22 287:14 294:4	delivered 8:7 274:17	Diane 3:7 4:7 5:19 9:18	202:10 210:10 214:5
300:9 302:15,16	delivering 259:19	31:22 34:3 261:16	292:22 293:8 337:15
344:18 360:6,11	demanding 212:2	263:8 269:10 313:13	diligence 240:5 252:1
	l	l	l

divisions 47:22 273:2.12 283:14 distribute 89:13.14 298:17 373:10 distributed 97:10 203:3 **DJ** 96:19 dime 162:19 distributing 203:6 **DJs** 91:22 120:14 121:2 **dinner** 318:6 distribution 11:20 **DNA** 317:15 direct 225:6 354:5 121:11 133:7 145:12 do-it-yourself 21:4 367:14 192:22 194:12 202:14 doc 95:11 directed 166:11 186:20 204:18 205:2 207:8 **Docket** 315:3 356:14 213:8 216:14 doctor 192:14 direction 219:7 distributor 202:20 doctorate 367:16 directions 27:6 354:13 distributors 204:21 doctors 56:10 dive 214:22 369:12 directly 178:21 235:12 Doctrine 68:7 documentaries 144:13 324:4 393:16 director 37:12 184:6 diverse 4:20 6:16 13:4 168:19 185:11 304:3 371:18 19:8 24:14 25:6 71:3 documentary 167:1 directors 222:6 331:17 73:8 132:12,13,17 185:10 disc 87:11 225:3 227:17 231:3 documentation 70:5 discipline 320:20 319:15 320:4 324:7 dog 63:20 disclosure 271:13 325:5,9,22 326:3 doing 16:2 17:4 42:7 discounted 161:8 44:6 45:1 51:22 68:11 347:15,16,20 348:17 74:1 85:7 90:17 91:4 discourse 31:3 359:16,21 360:9,16 discovered 44:6,12,15 382:21 385:5 393:21 95:21 98:16 111:2 54:16 59:21 65:12 diversifying 236:2 115:2 118:9 121:16 discredit 163:18 diversity 1:4,11 3:14 125:8 139:18 145:5 discretion 242:21 4:8 6:7,13 7:3,17,21 150:12 152:9,9,10,12 252:13.16 9:5 12:11,14 14:18 153:8 165:15 167:5 discuss 78:1 16:5,17 17:3,5 18:4,5 168:5,17 170:22 discussed 45:14 77:17 18:8 20:5 22:13 23:17 171:1 174:6,7 177:3 165:19 243:6 266:19 24:11 25:10,22 26:22 178:3 179:15 191:12 **discussion** 37:20 46:2 29:6,13 32:3,7 37:13 193:10 199:4 211:12 51:2,2 65:20 201:6 40:7 46:18 47:7 62:17 214:11 219:2 222:8 208:19 218:2.4 126:17 169:7.17 228:13 245:17 252:2 222:10 234:11 270:5 177:5 178:7 179:4 267:16 268:19 269:6 273:9 274:14 292:14 184:2 200:3,8 212:14 279:1,6 280:20 301:4 292:15.16 298:4 227:4 232:16 233:9 304:17 309:1 311:11 330:9 353:15 357:2 233:18 234:16.18 314:3 324:1 361:18 discussions 74:17 235:8,14 236:18 362:4 372:1,8 383:9 233:21 242:9,11 246:8 253:7 386:10 **Disease** 117:20 **DOJ** 240:13 256:9,14 258:7 disgusted 398:11 260:14,22 261:7 dollar 68:11 115:5 **DISH** 180:15 191:19 262:2,8,14 264:3,3,16 138:20 140:1 161:6 DISHLatino 3:3 304:5 314:8 315:1 278:11 320:7 322:19 323:2 dismissive 175:10 dollars 65:19 114:16 **Disney** 191:2 372:6 328:5 346:18 347:11 117:7 118:2,3,4,11,12 displayed 183:13 348:3 349:12 355:11 119:14 146:21 159:22 displeased 398:11 358:3 366:16 367:19 161:7 162:10,21 disrupt 35:11 163:21 168:10,17 369:15,18 376:4 disruption 90:12,15 382:13 383:18 384:10 domestic 130:2 121:7,8 122:5 386:8,11 392:6 domestically 392:13 394:19 395:6 405:12 dominant 89:12 108:19 disruptions 124:4 406:7 408:5 290:6.15 disruptive 141:9 142:7 143:9 145:22 146:1 Don 2:14 121:5 128:20 divest 152:16 155:7 dissect 351:6 173:15 250:11 372:3 132:21 143:11 149:22 dissertation 367:18 150:10 159:7 167:10 divesting 139:3 152:9 distinction 42:13 244:11 216:10 217:1 **E** 48:14 divestiture 305:18 223:18 donate 405:13 **E.W** 2:17 347:12 distinguish 298:12 divide 186:10 donated 384:5,10 eager 29:22 distinguished 181:2 division 67:16 268:11 earlier 10:6 14:12 15:14 387:16 315:12 357:8 347:15 door 28:7 31:5 243:16 17:20 79:11 107:16

243:16 245:10.16 247:19 249:8 299:17 321:16 326:5 dormant 7:18 **Dorsey** 78:9 86:1 127:10 **DOT** 316:22 downtown 371:4 drafting 323:3 dragging 380:12 drawing 313:21 drawn 378:7 dream 12:2 190:9 dreamed 190:8 dreams 12:8 316:19 drinking 33:15 drive 151:14 158:17 214:13 338:4 342:10 342:21 343:9 345:3 driven 345:19 driver's 148:15 drives 76:4,9 driveway 338:4 **driving** 325:12 due 34:3 240:5 251:22 273:2.11 298:16 373:9 **DuJuan** 2:21 128:18 133:22 134:1,2 135:4 137:11 145:19 147:18 222:6 223:19.20.22 229:4 230:9 233:22 237:3 238:4 243:8,12 248:22 249:16,20 260:13 265:13 269:10 271:9,14,17,20 272:3 272:5,9 273:4,11,18 279:10 298:5,20,21 298:22 331:8 378:21 **DuJuan's** 246:2 270:1 272:7,17,20 359:18 Duke 2:10 82:11 84:16 84:18 86:22 87:2 89:22 105:6 106:10 107:14 109:17 117:8 125:10,21 126:7,14 126:21 127:13 397:12 dying 221:21 299:22 338:2 **dynamic** 307:12 dynamics 275:22

Ε

	1	1	
108:12 199:3,20	25:11 119:2 244:13	encourage 30:4 35:6	79:12 206:6 329:16
210:11 223:21 231:14	Egan 269:5	127:3 153:13 173:19	entity 48:2 217:14
234:14 238:6 242:17	eggs 271:22	194:18 210:1,3	239:13,13 330:4
243:6,9 244:16 245:7	ego 163:9,10	215:13 253:8 329:19	entrance 276:9
246:2 258:2 264:20	Egyptian 94:8	354:2	entrant 172:2
271:11 275:17 300:22	eight 8:17 9:2 19:6,18	encouraged 40:9 43:21	entrants 27:9 227:6
309:7 313:16 318:11	236:13 240:10 251:11	ended 139:18 243:20	281:15 285:21 297:7
331:8 338:16 339:1	362:2 364:3 394:5	243:21 244:9,11	304:12
349:1 369:15 385:20	eighth 31:6	273:20 374:7	entrenched 51:16
388:13 396:17	either 38:15 104:1	endorsement 272:17	entrepreneur 86:10
early 21:1,12 40:17	143:1 193:1 253:20	ends 403:11	106:20 186:14 251:10
44:12 54:16 65:12	382:5	energy 44:7 185:4	267:9 279:16 316:7
177:16 269:22 278:6	eking 203:4	241:9 405:13	339:16
291:8 328:16 336:11	elected 22:19 49:5	Enforcement 223:15	entrepreneurial 226:6
338:16 346:5	electric 185:5	engage 198:18,19	295:2 333:22 342:6
earn 332:4	elements 131:15	328:16	394:12,17
earned 366:12	elephant 352:11	engaged 100:20 152:1	entrepreneurs 4:12,14
Earnest 19:15	eligibility 332:10	178:11	4:17,20 5:16 29:11
earth 121:12	eliminates 211:17	engagement 27:12	61:13 82:9 100:2
easier 50:5 160:13	eloquently 343:3	engages 199:1	128:14 164:7 180:22
195:9 274:14 359:1	emanates 330:9	engine 76:3,9 160:20	225:3,10 231:4
easiest 281:18	embark 25:16 82:7	engineer 240:2	248:15 269:9 270:21
easily 111:5	embrace 189:3,4 331:2	engineering 11:17	280:6,22 305:5
East 49:10	360:16	130:1 131:7,9 140:19	307:15 308:9 324:11
easy 54:10,11 129:16	embracing 147:12,13	140:21,22 141:6,11	364:7 382:2 383:17
212:11 265:11 279:20	275:18	143:7 154:19 162:15	384:3 394:13 396:12
302:10 395:13	emerge 259:7	339:22	396:14,18 397:3,4,13
EBF 367:8,10 echo 192:10	Emerita 180:16 Emeritus 224:10	engineers 89:20 127:5 162:17,18 377:11	397:19 398:8 402:21 entries 15:22
Echos 100:9	Emma 2:13 367:8 396:7	engines 148:3	entry 4:22 179:2 189:14
Econ 227:10	396:13 397:2,17	English 91:1 183:11	270:22 277:5 288:1
economic 261:10	398:10 401:11 402:1	enhance 369:17	357:20 376:18
272:13 282:20	402:9,12 403:1	enhanced 402:9	environment 62:8
economically 307:7	Emmis 3:6	enhances 369:18	120:13 212:21 272:13
332:2	Emmy 163:19	enjoy 167:6 250:17	272:18
economics 218:2,5	Emmys 163:15	enjoyed 333:19 407:13	episode 168:7,10
279:18 309:6,11	emotional 105:21,22	enlightening 312:12	equal 236:20,22 319:20
310:7	107:10	enormous 119:9	392:8 395:17
economies 282:1,2	emphasis 41:21	Enrique 40:2	equalizer 319:18
economy 282:8,11	emphasize 238:11	ensure 157:11 203:11	equalizes 320:4
ecstatic 63:16	empirical 391:8	225:1,2 262:19 278:5	equally 42:8 362:18
edge 12:9 158:20 233:9	employ 135:10	enter 199:9	equipment 55:20 163:4
311:13	employee 322:3 326:4	Entercom 228:18	equity 18:8 139:10,16
edict 227:1	employees 189:5	enterprise 314:12	140:12 143:5 229:8
Edison 83:21	321:18 325:22 347:14	316:10	269:7 275:5 282:5
editorially 56:15	347:16	entertain 129:7	283:9,18 285:17
educate 390:18	employment 9:1	entertainment 3:11	288:14 291:1 292:15
education 2:3,10 82:13 82:14 119:10 185:6	empower 62:18 empowered 106:7	60:8 92:18 112:19 126:11 146:17 159:12	297:19 300:21,22 301:3,9,10 306:15
262:10 364:14 365:18	empowering 11:9	204:17 294:17	372:21,22 378:4
368:1,8,12	126:10 128:9	enthusiasm 312:9	escorted 36:8,9
educational 105:16	empowerment 6:7 7:17	enthusiastic 65:5	escorting 36:11
EEO 31:14	7:22 14:18 25:11	entire 9:5 18:13 19:7	especially 7:22 9:7 26:9
effect 206:17 262:21	105:16,21,22	28:3 32:8 34:1 100:17	32:6 92:6 110:22
effectively 343:19,19	enable 12:2	166:20 234:22 235:1	111:8 112:4,22
efficient 95:19 281:22	enabled 10:14 153:20	259:22 268:21 349:22	120:10 132:18 136:18
effort 118:14 267:6	encode 101:1	389:5 406:10	162:14 173:20 191:3
efforts 9:7 15:6,17	encountered 189:14	entities 44:1 45:7 77:3	225:9 270:21 274:5
			•

П			721
	1		1
289:3 318:18 340:7	102:20 110:5 117:19	247:19	Facebook 121:19
356:7 375:17 406:6	123:20 167:9 187:4	expected 137:4	124:19 337:12
essence 130:6 131:13	201:9 204:8 208:9	expecting 351:8	faced 21:1 30:8 71:18
142:6,8 164:21	237:3 245:4 271:6	expensive 49:18 97:3	facet 394:1
372:10	311:5 322:17 336:5	207:18 284:9 338:3	facilities 89:21
essential 401:14	385:19 386:1	experience 21:22 30:11	facility 36:6,14
essentially 126:9	examples 96:12 240:16	30:12 73:1 94:11,16	facing 90:18 211:22
Essentials 187:11	240:17 270:16,20	94:17,19 135:14	212:3
establish 188:15 246:5	271:1 325:7 388:15	140:14 152:4,6	fact 16:20 45:13 100:14
		•	118:7 121:7,13,18
established 47:9	exceed 332:18 353:10	210:20 226:5,6,10	
136:12 154:14,22	excellence 226:6	257:17 260:4 261:4,7	122:4 123:4 154:11
293:6 329:20 373:18	394:15 396:19	278:15 324:9 329:18	154:17 161:9 188:3
establishing 278:6	excellent 85:22 87:1,20	341:2 342:7 343:1,4	191:18,19 205:5
330:13 339:4	90:1 92:2 93:21 94:22	362:10 366:6 367:15	238:9 269:20 272:11
esteemed 180:16	95:1 107:2 121:9	398:17 402:6	304:21 371:5,9
et 195:22 275:9 289:12	122:6 127:9 198:10	experienced 273:12	375:16 377:20 378:3
294:18 305:20	222:8 237:4 310:11	278:19	384:8 392:14
ethnic 126:19 130:12	370:18 379:22 389:1	experiences 24:17,21	factor 205:17 277:9
Europe 392:15	393:17,18,20 395:21	24:22 172:5 181:3	facts 171:18 272:21
European 104:4	403:6	199:14 278:16 316:14	faculty 375:8 378:7
evade 254:3	exceptionally 16:7	317:10 318:3 320:10	fail 238:16 247:10
evaluate 341:6 362:21	excited 6:14 16:6 17:1	402:17	277:20,21
Evansville 134:14	28:15 42:4 51:1 128:5	expert 225:5	fair 68:7,16 70:20 227:3
139:20 224:3 272:4	128:9 147:2 151:5	expert 223.3 expertise 105:1 222:3	233:5 252:11 268:7,8
•		•	•
273:22	159:9 175:6 185:19	224:15 300:19 301:11	268:9 288:15
event 10:2 26:15 30:3	380:7	342:20 345:20 394:17	fairly 115:21
179:10 313:18	exciting 27:8 133:9	403:22 406:22	faith 159:12
events 17:9 41:19	388:1	experts 8:19 9:21 13:22	faith-based 66:18,19
70:16 149:17,20	exclusive 149:11	82:18 406:21	fakes 170:21 171:3
eventually 12:18 44:3	168:11	explain 74:7 200:2	fall 77:13 79:14
197:1 215:6	exclusively 211:6	239:8 364:19	falls 292:10
Everett 399:3	269:18	explained 272:9	familiar 26:13
everybody 38:1 53:10	exclusivity 275:17	explaining 143:22	familiarity 401:1,14
67:4,12 74:14 109:1	excuse 142:21	explore 99:17 101:18	families 294:3
114:11 134:19 158:10	excuses 211:18	211:14	family 61:16 74:12
169:10 175:3 176:3	executive 11:15 83:9	exploring 1:3 346:4	183:19,20 184:16
180:14 209:5 210:17	184:1 222:18 223:2	exponentially 319:14	309:8 311:3 401:11
212:18 220:20 233:19	366:5,8 393:1	exposed 322:6 323:22	family-owned 52:17
234:8 244:8 260:3	exercises 196:17	exposure 328:14	59:7
352:16 381:5	exist 200:10 207:7	extended 35:14	fancy 186:11
everybody's 71:11	254:19 378:19	extensive 84:11 102:19	fans 270:4
254:20 319:2	existed 57:1 201:20	366:5	fantastic 9:12 17:4
everyone's 25:20	208:10 218:10	extent 23:22 187:6	123:11 166:15 180:19
11 -			
194:22 313:4	existing 13:17 62:5	291:1	395:4
everything's 146:9	104:12 127:4 146:6	extinction 98:21 100:1	far 102:19 109:4 130:18
evidence 11:14	207:1 255:16 256:1	100:2	131:7 132:14 141:9
evidenced 10:22	280:1 293:10 295:17	extra 73:9	141:11 142:15 155:5
evolve 277:2 286:13	exists 218:11 328:8	extraordinarily 394:22	155:15 165:4,6 174:3
evolving 304:7,18	336:12,18	extremely 10:12	182:3 223:1 226:21
EVP 371:18	exit 306:17	eye 45:9 138:6	314:21 315:13
exactly 68:4 123:18	expand 115:18 143:8	eyeballs 303:13	far- 313:22
212:8 228:6 230:10	214:5 230:11 287:8	eyebrow 218:17	faring 391:13
231:1 234:4,9 237:12	expanded 121:10	eyebrows 264:21	fascinating 224:20
257:9 258:1 264:4	187:14 327:18		fashioned 293:19
265:3,5 278:1 291:19	expanding 158:1	F	fast 79:10 139:3 281:3
312:7 331:5	177:14 312:15 356:21	F 48:14	356:3
examining 151:2	expect 389:22 395:10	Fabulous 54:3	faster 17:18 147:13
CXallipic / U. 13 93.22	expectations 124:21	l face 392:17	fat 193:14
example 70:13 95:22	expectations 124:21	face 392:17	fat 193:14

II			
father 63:18 107:20	filter 117:19 257:15	firms 89:17 301:3,4	280:7 283:22 288:13
115:22 223:6	final 67:17 326:14	366:12	293:6 295:6 354:12
fatter 26:15	finality 170:1	first 6:10 7:7 8:13 9:4	354:15
favor 181:7 357:16	finally 10:16 12:21 57:9	17:2 19:9 20:22 21:2	flows 302:15
favored 210:2	58:22 204:2	25:18 33:1 38:15,16	FM 53:3 89:12,14 97:4
FCBA 260:20	finance 8:20 13:22	41:12,12 49:3,16,21	97:17 101:8 102:5,15
FCC's 7:2 13:10 14:3	44:18 65:18 107:5	54:15 57:14,20 63:6,7	104:6,9,13 240:9
25:9 68:6 151:18	138:12 193:17 235:19	63:10 64:6,22 65:3,6	250:5
177:12	239:6 240:2 248:2,4	65:15,16,20 66:11,19	focus 105:7 163:20
FCC.gov 34:22	259:12 269:17 283:8	76:17 80:14 84:16	197:20 213:16 219:5
fear 77:21	284:4 291:7,12 293:7	88:17 90:9,9,22 91:2	269:16 321:4,8 322:5
feature 184:15	301:7	91:8,15 93:5,16 110:8	325:8,11 328:4
featured 8:10 15:8	financed 291:12 298:2	112:6 136:1 137:15	369:16 401:18
federal 1:1 10:8 218:17	386:14	137:20 144:13 153:2	focused 31:15 118:10
244:16,18 256:8	financial 43:1 44:17	159:21 166:13 168:21	128:8 178:7 182:18
feed 217:8 260:6,7	78:12 177:1 232:19	175:2 185:20 221:22	259:1 347:6 348:13
•	242:11 342:17 365:14	222:15 224:20 225:6	348:19
feedback 380:21 feeder 388:17		231:13 234:15 237:5	focuses 187:12
	financially 246:7 330:2 345:19		focusing 268:16
feeding 230:11 feel 21:19 22:2,4 23:6	financials 280:12	237:10 242:5 243:20 254:16 256:8 260:22	folk 266:21 270:9
90:8 222:1 260:8	financier 143:20	261:21 268:6 269:20	folks 23:19 29:8,18
317:16 381:9 391:19	financing 108:11 111:3	279:9 285:1 309:6,10	30:14 31:1,7 62:13
397:15 402:4,5,6	111:6,22 112:16	309:18 310:9,22	74:20 75:1 152:8
feeling 21:15,20	135:6,14 138:14,15	327:16 338:1 340:5	158:14 172:20 234:2
feels 179:5 391:1	139:17,17 140:1,2,18	344:8,16,20 358:8	238:10 272:21 294:1
fees 59:6 247:9,10	144:19 193:18 194:3	371:15 374:21 383:20	294:4,9 296:6 297:17
fell 58:22 138:12 253:13	194:4 232:2 247:20	384:21 388:8	308:20 315:14 327:15
253:14	248:7 253:14 269:7	fit 138:6,7 206:11	327:22 328:12 335:12
fellow 128:2 196:9	270:12 272:12 275:7	255:10 345:17 346:7	339:9 350:5 396:8
fellowed 376:8	280:2 285:10,22 287:5 291:14,15,16	five 18:11 32:18 37:1	397:10 398:19 400:5
fellows 382:4,4	291:21 292:5 297:19	50:4 69:14 80:22	402:13,14 follow 31:14 166:6
fellowship 378:17 402:14		82:18 90:4 134:13	
felt 23:5 69:17	300:14 302:16 306:13	168:2 243:17 244:12 292:20 305:17,22	184:19 218:8 229:11
female 11:15 22:22	329:17 330:7,8 360:9 397:6	306:21 318:21 348:10	following 103:16 184:20 327:8
			foot 245:10,16 249:7
53:5 86:9 108:8,18 349:9	find 15:11,14 18:3 36:15 39:14 73:12	361:10 362:2	
Ferris 182:6		fix 307:10	football 149:12,14,14
	74:5 80:8 85:18 89:20	fixed 307:3,4,5	149:15 footprint 46:9
fewer 193:19,20 301:10 301:12 371:9	95:18 110:17,18 112:16 139:9 153:12	flag 76:14 flagging 380:7	I
fiber 276:14			footsteps 158:7 Forbes 400:8
field 68:17 84:12	174:4,8 186:3 199:4 203:6 215:12 257:9	flagship 87:8 flashbacks 38:8	force 17:11 172:20
197:14 331:1 332:7	257:18 277:7 281:14	flawlessly 404:12	forces 149:8
352:20 353:2 395:9	284:11 290:14 292:1	flesh 348:11	fore 172:15
401:16,21,22	324:8 338:12 351:11	Fletcher 2:18 84:9	foreboding 77:20
fielding 392:1	352:19 359:14 379:4	flight 376:11	forefront 310:6
fields 47:13 270:13	384:12	Flippin 2:8 365:22	foreign 17:10
fifth 361:10	finding 150:15 194:2	380:2,5,11 386:17,20	foresight 154:14
fighting 250:15	199:2 270:19 319:5,7	387:2,7,10	forever 170:3
figure 115:4 198:17	345:16	float 201:3	forget 285:6 407:20
282:9 298:15 361:20	finds 113:5 291:6	flood 74:14	form 196:6 228:15
figured 334:8	fine 35:6 214:19 242:3	floor 31:6 36:20 72:11	formal 264:6 320:17
figures 171:18	fingertips 100:12	72:12	360:12
figuring 196:12	fire 22:21 33:15 302:20	Florida 219:15,19 245:3	formalize 331:21
filings 61:20	308:6	245:5 309:21	formalizes 330:15
filling 270:18	firm 84:9 112:21 185:12	flourish 197:7	formalizing 318:4
film 184:15	269:4,5 306:15	flow 136:1,12 137:10	format 120:8,9
filmmaker 184:14	314:18	164:4 246:15,17	formation 86:7
II			

formed 59:18 86:9 383:3,5 former 9:8 19:16 43:12 313:12 366:5,11 381:14 formerly 184:1 185:8 forming 299:12,17 forms 13:5 267:3 forth 148:4 225:21 fortunate 41:14 69:11 106:15 112:12 120:8 232:4 371:6 373:22 fortunately 136:14 forum 87:4 forward 6:16 11:22 13:3 30:3 31:7,17 32:14 33:5 39:6 40:10 54:1 109:18 114:19 139:3 149:19 153:19 176:2 178:22 179:9 221:9 224:6,16 248:6 270:5 304:19 310:4 312:12 313:20 314:5,12 333:21 379:19 392:4 forward-thinking 208:5 foster 5:15 364:6 389:22 390:21 fosters 390:14 found 53:3 64:12 88:4 98:1 226:1 245:16 317:19 358:21 **foundation** 2:3,11,13 82:13,15 262:10 364:14 367:8 368:8 368:10,11,13 369:20 396:11,13 397:2,17 402:2,10,13 Foundation's 365:18 396:7 founded 367:9 382:3 385:18 founder 51:9 222:17 365:19 370:19 381:15 Foundry 77:4 four 10:22 27:15 42:15 46:12 50:4 57:14,20 79:12 86:19 148:12 148:15 204:19 209:21 219:10 326:15 **Fox** 134:13,14,15 137:21 139:7 224:3,4 276:10 400:6 framework 99:8 145:1 Francisco 80:7 132:6 Frank 3:9 181:12,15,22 186:11 195:14 211:3 frankly 17:20 18:7 19:1 20:20 21:18 24:8 25:5

33:13 192:16 194:12 215:3 345:17 359:17 404:1 fraternity 47:19 50:21 fraud 170:20 171:3 Fred 107:3 free 56:5 90:8 190:19 frequency 53:4 58:7 97:9 frequently 262:13 freshen 268:2 freshly 49:4 Friday 168:14 friend 43:11,18 47:18 88:21 139:12 250:12 251:16,16 friends 47:11 61:16 74:11 91:22 161:3 251:18 252:21 253:22 254:7 309:8 311:3 387:20 390:5 392:18 front 19:10,13,20 48:3 257:5 361:16 fruits 348:11 fuel 22:21 fulfil 124:5 fulfill 400:12 fulfilling 28:18 full 7:7 103:21 132:4 176:12 260:9 332:14 378:2 388:8 398:16 402:11 **full-powered** 48:7 49:9 full-service 103:20 fully 144:20 376:8 394:5 **functions** 399:16 **fund** 290:8 295:18 310:13,14,15,16 361:22 funded 144:21 394:5 funding 117:6 135:15 143:13 144:8 171:2 309:16 310:8 funds 74:18 79:15,16 259:5,11 311:22 312:3 furlough 34:4 406:13 furloughed 9:15 furniture 56:19 further 48:19 71:10 78:11 114:11 173:10 230:11,14,15 363:8 future 11:13 29:13,16 125:12 167:19 198:14

211:1 249:3 295:15

382:2 398:8 399:10

297:19 312:16 325:16

337:9 gas 185:4 gates 74:14 **GE** 268:22 **gears** 105:7 394:19 373:12 81:4 31:13

402:20.21 G gain 318:13 319:19 **gaining** 270:22 gains 311:21 galling 69:10,21 galvanized 150:5 game 78:10 79:4 116:2 169:19 235:22 254:7 Garret 2:16 268:3,18 276:13 279:10.10 283:21 292:10 298:22 298:22 300:19 305:8 306:14 375:19 gather 127:20 244:22 gathered 6:10 gathering 179:6 gauge 340:5,9 **geared** 110:16 gender 7:12 18:8 24:12 general 24:8 90:11 92:8 96:6 184:7,8 186:2 206:8 215:3 223:13 223:16 234:21 240:20 243:22 244:2 306:20 331:16 348:6 373:7 generally 147:22 178:18 363:5 generate 248:8 281:3,4 generating 288:13 generation 12:20 23:19 24:10 30:14 87:5 91:1 91:8,15 93:5,17,18 107:17 361:10,20 generations 87:19 gentleman 96:17 168:21,22 170:11 243:10 308:21 **gentlemen** 52:4 64:3 66:12 76:16 77:16 geo-political 213:6 **Geoffrey** 1:20 4:7 29:1 George 258:21 311:1 Georgetown 366:13 **Georgia** 42:15 349:14

135:14 151:1 164:18 168:13 173:3 176:22 199:8 200:16 201:20 208:17 215:18 218:3 220:17 224:16 238:15 244:6 245:15 246:22 247:3 249:7 255:18 260:9,15 261:1 262:4 278:8 288:10 293:4 299:13 306:16 307:3 307:4,4 320:2 321:5 321:21 322:6 324:7 339:13 344:10 351:10 360:4,14 372:9 377:7 395:17 give 39:17 64:9 66:8 71:15 72:15 74:15 80:7,13 84:22 92:16 92:18 93:18,19 95:21 101:12,13 105:8 106:2,20 113:5 120:12,20 128:19 129:8 131:14 138:16 138:18,22 158:3,8,14 160:3 164:13 175:2 181:10 183:6 196:14 209:2 211:13 216:22 217:7 221:11 229:4 229:20,21 243:12 270:15 271:1,12 272:8 277:19 278:1 278:10 283:7 288:5 302:8 308:21 312:3 317:20 329:14 336:3 369:11 376:16 383:14 390:20 397:20 403:7 404:17,22 407:1,21 given 6:17 40:5 69:8 124:4,9 130:16 135:10 230:12 302:19 337:18 361:2 375:11 394:14,15 gives 131:9 203:5 302:2 giving 8:10 90:2 172:16 174:9 295:12 331:22 344:22 glad 28:15 33:10 72:4 183:9 190:18 257:18 367:5 **glass** 276:15 324:5 glasses 168:22 global 77:3,3 268:12 366:20 392:11 **GM** 161:17 244:3,4 **GM's** 163:15 qoal 16:17 29:6 32:21 195:18 363:2 382:10 goals 176:21 213:7

getting 20:16 36:20

64:6 67:21 69:4,5

117:6 129:19 133:7

God 203:5 207:13 33:11,17 34:5 46:19 305:16 306:20 389:11 100:6.11 110:9 godsend 194:9 118:7 128:22 132:3 happen 138:9 160:15 111:19 122:21 146:3 **Goldman** 73:11 152:15 157:6 178:9 190:10,17 203:16 150:6 152:3 170:20 **Gomez** 9:19 406:1 179:19 213:20 216:15 209:13 218:1 252:1 176:18 177:10 194:7 goodness 237:9 223:2 225:20 234:22 278:10,21 305:14 220:1,19 228:3 263:2 Google 337:13 235:2 262:6 279:5 350:11 405:11 264:2 305:11 308:11 gorillas 195:2 280:11,15 316:6 happened 96:2 137:1 324:6 332:8 335:1 gosh 335:6 318:22 319:1 322:19 190:11,18 232:4,21 355:15 358:9 322:20 365:10 371:21 heard 10:6 52:9 63:11 **Gospel** 133:13 237:10 251:7 276:7 377:22 379:8 406:8 96:9 110:8 121:12 gotten 189:21 190:7 292:19 371:13 254:2 311:3 393:16 406:11 128:14 134:19 138:5 happening 93:12 Gougherty 2:11 37:22 groups 8:21 15:4 27:21 234:12 310:17 311:5 139:13 159:2 175:15 38:1 54:3,5 55:1 74:16 98:14 102:9 177:7 186:9 193:17 336:13 60:11,14,17,21 65:7 103:16 178:7 187:15 happens 11:3 105:20 200:18,21 216:12 67:10 72:10,14 78:17 188:8 336:16 345:12 137:2 208:3 261:10 219:21 237:18 241:4 grow 79:22 115:18 294:1 243:8 251:2 270:20 81:3,6 388:12 **government** 117:7,13 204:7 226:14 249:3 happy 86:3 89:7 146:10 274:20 277:15 339:1 119:9,14,17 140:11 259:7 317:3 319:10 146:13,13 149:21 343:13 369:10 380:10 142:19 152:18 171:1 327:6 346:22 347:20 150:19 181:1 191:11 382:14 396:16 171:2 185:7 196:1 347:22 390:21 241:19 hearing 6:16 11:15 227:1 244:17,19 growing 170:4 229:11 hard 10:13 16:3 23:8 97:22 99:20 143:1,14 276:1 281:3 328:5 grab 214:10 276:3 30:7 33:12 34:2 42:9 157:3,13 183:4 335:9,9 359:22 44:4 54:8,10 110:22 199:17 200:11 224:6 grace 18:16 gracias 40:2 grown 316:9 340:8 111:4,14 112:1,4 271:16 299:21 305:9 **grad** 379:9 390:4 125:6 184:18 189:19 350:15 356:6,7 graduate 327:16 378:4 growth 4:22 205:20 192:2.13 196:21 hearings 49:13 378:21,21 379:2 259:5 270:13 295:12 197:3 198:7 215:4 Hearst 379:9 278:18 279:19,22 386:19,21 387:3 376:14 heart 387:15 393:2,13 401:19 quaranteed 247:9 298:12 320:17 325:22 held 1:9 22:18 48:4 graduated 382:4 quess 58:21 161:4 340:7 342:15,19 208:22 223:11 261:21 **graduates** 326:14,22 167:3 172:3 223:3 361:22 378:6 262:20 328:9 368:19 378:19 226:3 235:7 245:12 hard-working 6:6 Hello 28:13 221:18 harder 23:9 379:5,10 382:9 387:6 251:15 301:19 320:22 help 12:18 13:18 24:3 grand 274:1 333:9 351:12 353:5 hardships 30:8 27:13,15,20,21 28:1 grandfather 183:21 357:7 hardware 276:9,21 30:9.13 32:14 50:18 **guest** 8:8 grant 155:18 hardy 31:20 68:16 89:20 103:13 **Harlem** 398:10 granular 208:4 **Guthrie** 2:12 320:12 140:7 153:13 163:9 Harris 2:13 367:7 396:4 graphs 70:4 322:15 333:5 334:6 177:9 179:12 191:21 335:3,20 337:2,5 hat 391:17 192:6 197:6 198:7 **grass** 116:19 grateful 15:3,11 64:7,8 348:21 351:20 356:18 hate 318:10 201:8 212:21 214:3 gray 154:12 306:20 361:4,8 hated 137:1 236:1 259:5 261:1 haul 87:19 385:13,18 386:3 guts 208:14 287:2 302:7,11 greater 194:12 228:16 Hawaii 132:6 136:19 311:15 326:8 329:21 Н **Hawaiian** 136:20 303:10 333:12 334:14 336:16 greatest 45:8 53:15 hair 26:20 head 23:12 186:20 340:11,12 343:1,17 234:5 347:13 379:8 344:3,6 346:18 391:21 haircare 208:10,11,13 greatly 405:19 half 65:19 66:15 195:11 **headed** 371:20 359:10 369:3 376:3 greedy 346:22 headquartered 185:12 386:22 391:12 396:17 268:21 328:3 greeting 66:10 hall 35:10,16 81:11,15 266:7 284:12,13,14 397:13 401:17 300:13 Greg 389:4 **hallway** 63:21 helped 191:9 238:7 heads 262:6 299:7 helpful 99:21 109:14 grew 387:22 hand 14:11 128:19 grinder 273:13 221:11 321:10 329:8 379:8 118:9 123:19 154:16 ground 30:12 136:6 Heald 2:18 84:10 154:17,19 236:17 handcuffs 337:16 handle 282:12 153:5,10 195:10 healing 91:13 334:2 336:11 388:5 hands 235:17 315:16 health 117:21 helping 89:18 258:7,7 group 1:12 2:4,8,12,17 329:9,10,10 359:20 healthier 307:12 319:9 333:7 383:7 3:4,7,15 4:8 6:16 9:6 hear 8:18 12:5 28:11 helps 149:8 227:14 360:8 9:8,12 12:15 32:8 29:22 43:9 62:13 79:8 318:7 hanging 107:18 305:15

Henry 1:10 3:14 4:8 9:9 370:10 124:8 182:7 199:5 Illinois 49:11 230:22 231:19 288:17 9:11,15 32:6 33:7 holding 101:9 182:2 images 175:20 297:13 40:1,1 176:4 308:15 holdings 356:8 household 28:3 196:18 398:15 312:19 381:14 389:13 **holds** 12:7 162:21 385:10 imagine 44:19 49:17 397:10 403:16 404:4 367:21 households 202:17 143:20 196:21 317:21 404:5 406:6 354:3 387:3 390:13 Holland 10:7 296:17 Henry's 294:20 home 46:13 56:19 **IMDb** 15:22 housekeeping 34:9 **Herb** 63:14 161:22 91:18 93:11 98:20 housing 326:21 immediately 35:18 how's 176:10 herding 9:16 108:12 121:14 248:9 248:3 272:14 313:8 315:5 316:2 Howard 2:9 180:16 **immense** 21:17 heritage 87:9 93:19 94:8 359:14 HR 379:1 399:16 immigrant 90:20 102:8 homes 194:5 203:3 hesitant 147:11 huge 119:17 182:17 110:17 116:22 hey 46:5 81:13 127:17 hometown 84:6 188:1 293:15 **immigrants** 91:1,8,15 hugging 315:16 138:10 152:18 176:10 homework 252:16 93:6,17 94:6,16 95:5 232:11 233:6 235:3 302:6 impact 11:3 360:22 **Hughes** 109:6 honest 23:4 258:9 Hulu 210:12 366:4 402:2,2 252:20 271:11,14 272:9 274:16 297:22 Honig 2:14 224:9,22 humanly 6:19 impediments 186:15 309:1 225:13 236:5 241:8 humble 15:12 285:3 Hi 38:1 87:22 107:1 **humbled** 396:10 imperative 157:16 242:7 251:19 260:20 119:19 170:12 267:18 implement 179:12 265:8 359:7 381:15 hundred 104:1 305:1 **hiatus** 32:18 382:21 388:12 hundreds 17:9 298:14 249:4 313:22 high 12:3 52:7 103:5 Honig's 380:18 implemented 8:3 40:17 382:6 276:8 311:19 312:1 honor 16:16 17:12 25:8 hungry 236:21 implementing 27:16 328:14 367:22 38:2 45:21 47:3,6 **Huntington** 116:15,16 importance 51:12 honored 26:1 43:11 high-quality 226:16 116:19 117:3 155:8 higher 185:6 191:2 266:20 hurdle 344:8 357:19 important 5:5.10 7:20 227:9 241:11 honors 366:14 360:4 11:2 15:6 16:21 20:4 highest 7:10 17:14 20:1 hope 12:9 13:5,10,16 hurdles 17:22 200:22 20:5 23:14 24:6,14,16 148:21 232:10 241:12 14:6 30:2,17 61:15 200:22 201:2 25:12,17 26:18 28:7 348:5 366:14 62:16 93:19 111:21 hurt 306:9 29:5,7,10 30:22 37:19 highlight 25:11 113:2 161:15 173:18 hyper 213:16 40:8 41:22 42:7,8 47:8 50:10,13 63:7 highly 273:4 400:15 185:21 194:21 204:3 hyperlocal 95:15 115:2 Hildreth 2:18 84:10 213:18 218:12 314:12 hypothetical 277:17 68:1 76:21 91:9 93:15 Hill 18:20 47:11 336:22 337:1,11,20 95:3,12 96:5,8 113:1 Hills 207:19 338:13 348:15 384:14 113:9 115:14 118:5 **Hilton** 154:15 391:16 404:21 405:1 ICN-FV 2:1 129:1 136:2 137:19 157:1,1 **hip-hop** 58:1 405:5 407:13,14 idea 97:1 129:5,8 182:8 170:17,18 172:21 hire 70:15 71:1 188:17 hoped 404:16 255:22 257:14 263:12 175:15 176:16 188:16 195:4 377:9 hopeful 153:11,18 313:15 318:4 324:14 189:6 192:19 195:3 hired 43:21 52:7 87:11 154:5 324:16 331:18 333:14 198:13,21 200:15 hiring 367:12 376:22 hopefully 140:7 175:16 338:20 352:21 357:18 201:14 213:14 215:11 401:19 177:9 179:8 194:19 358:9 360:2 376:16 217:16,22 233:14 Hispanic 39:1 41:1 219:20 221:9 222:11 380:17,18 383:14 238:3 242:4 245:22 243:2 248:1 307:5 68:12 69:2 83:3 92:8 404:17 250:20 275:6.11 190:1 290:13 349:10 328:17 329:3 340:17 277:2 279:4,7,13,17 ideally 215:11 347:21 399:9 402:20 279:17 299:4 315:6 Hispanic-owned 39:9 ideas 27:22 62:13 historic 37:16 hoping 182:10 194:15 108:22 157:9 181:4 317:5 322:8 323:18 history 7:6 17:17 31:3,4 194:16 181:11 256:12 297:21 331:6 338:21 339:15 112:7 147:9 174:10 hopped 271:18 313:19 314:5 330:12 341:1 342:6 345:4 **horrible** 318:17 182:4,12 241:13 **identified** 31:8 238:7 346:2 348:12 351:6 264:5 290:1 396:7,9 hose 33:15 358:7 362:11 391:11 identify 31:9 36:11 398:1 401:5 404:1 hospitality 25:14 326:15 346:5 385:14 394:1 hit 136:6 232:16 246:6 host 94:9 122:13,14 importantly 31:8 62:20 **Idol** 148:22 246:11 338:8 hot 229:8 267:1,5 ignorant 55:7 impossible 75:20 144:1 **hitting** 246:13 hours 133:10 342:11 **iHeart** 100:7 114:22 **Hmong** 187:4 **house** 3:19 4:4 8:9 119:22 236:15 304:14 impressed 362:3 **hobby** 164:20 15:21 17:7 18:6,7,10 304:20 307:4 impressive 199:12 **hold** 16:18 308:13 18:21,22 19:6 22:17 iHeartRadio 384:5 **improve** 89:18,21 376:3

II
improvements 127:7
improves 113:4
incensed 63:15
incent 352:7 359:18,19
incentive 41:5,15 50:17 154:6 218:12 258:4
329:19
incentives 48:21 49:14
62:5,19 92:16 254:18
254:21 257:22 353:5
354:1
incentivize 62:20 incents 331:21 360:15
include 69:1 82:22 99:4
189:10 359:2 400:5
included 184:5 365:8
includes 18:6
including 9:14 10:1,4
12:1 17:10 166:2 222:5 269:10 306:12
405:20
inclusion 262:3,9,14
322:19 323:3 369:16
392:6
income 111:13 186:12
increase 59:2 156:19 169:6,16 270:14
331:4
increased 19:21
increasing 13:4 18:5
120:3 312:12 392:2
incredible 15:20 18:16 18:21 19:2,3 202:13
incredibly 176:16
incubated 203:10 304:9
332:13
incubatee 333:7 345:7
362:17 363:4
incubating 188:6,7 203:10 206:21 208:5
208:20 352:21
incubation 201:21
357:5
incubator 12:17 13:2
13:11 14:3 27:8,16,17
153:2,12 157:4 169:9 172:12 173:2,11
177:8,14 254:17
255:1,9 257:19
258:15 314:9,14,19
314:22 315:4 320:11
328:21 329:6 330:3
330:11 333:1,15 335:13 339:4 341:4
346:8 352:6 353:6
354:19 355:17 357:10
362:9,16 363:4
incubators 5:10 177:11
I

194:18 200:16 248:16 338:22 360:1 **indebted** 405:19 indefatigable 9:8 independence 211:19 independent 135:22 193:5,6 194:22 209:19,20 212:15 213:1 243:16 independently 217:13 independently-owned 215:15 independents 193:19 198:6 **India** 121:15 **Indian-Americans** 121:16 122:1 Indiana 46:12 134:15 139:20 224:3 272:5 Indianapolis 243:16 **Indians** 289:20 indicated 218:21 indirect 245:21 individual 54:9 58:15 213:16 247:12 292:5 305:6 individuals 158:4 174:18 283:12 292:3 318:13 320:4 324:8 368:20 400:19 401:3 401:9 induce 354:12.15 **industries** 77:3 114:3 193:4 194:6 283:5 340:15 367:13 383:18 industry 6:11 10:7 33:3 38:3,22 39:12,15 42:6 42:9 43:17 68:9 69:5 71:13 75:16 77:7 85:2 85:8 90:11 106:19 108:19 113:8 115:1 133:2 137:18 170:20 171:3 175:8,10 177:12 178:2 189:22 216:16 219:1 227:14 227:16,17,19 229:16 246:4,9 249:18 260:11,12,18 262:5,7 265:1,9 269:9,15 270:3 271:1 281:16 284:2 291:11,12 298:20 299:3 302:2 305:16,17 306:3,21 314:2,10 317:3,9 324:11 329:2 338:11 340:8,22,22 346:14 346:17 348:2,13

361:17 362:5 366:21 378:8 383:8 386:10 390:1,19 391:17 393:22 397:15 399:4 402:7 influence 101:14 265:1 394:16 influencers 126:12 influences 399:22 influential 126:11 informal 358:17 360:13 informally 359:9 information 13:7 32:13 122:2 126:11 127:15 171:19 172:5 191:1 234:5 248:13 252:3 256:22 365:1 373:14 375:4 382:1 405:1,3 information's 33:14 informative 182:3 informed 152:1 165:6 165:14,16 informs 20:7 infrastructure 2:15 142:1 157:19 175:13 ingredient 343:21 **initial** 153:19 initially 14:12 187:12 **initiate** 144:20 initiated 200:9 initiative 39:22 41:22 118:7,7 314:14 324:4 370:6 405:10 initiatives 12:14 117:21 179:1 200:4 innovation 128:3 399:21 400:14 innovative 100:5 196:11 202:9 214:7 inoculate 171:3 input 108:22 **insane** 88:19 inside 279:11 328:17 336:14 347:1,20 390:12,19 393:9 insight 51:1 154:22 insights 105:9 insist 204:22 inspiration 182:14 inspiring 12:5 Instagram 124:19 **instance** 153:2 177:22 261:11 instilling 24:9 **Institute** 393:4,11 394:8 institution 3:8 43:1 44:18 128:4 236:10 institutions 279:12

342:17 365:14 instrumental 269:1 382:15 instruments 67:15 insulate 211:12 insurance 144:15 intact 247:3 integrally 329:7 intend 239:9 intent 156:18 intention 358:1 intentional 347:6,17 interactive 82:22 interest 11:16,18 26:17 39:3 73:20 108:9,14 125:10 194:22 236:2 265:10 294:19 297:12 324:10 356:2 369:5 **interested** 8:22 14:2 27:12 32:9 61:14 74:20 138:5 159:5 168:17 196:4 258:15 327:11 384:9 388:19 **interesting** 43:15,19 45:12 76:20,21 117:15 150:1 156:11 202:4 241:1 250:2.22 290:18 303:19 307:8 343:2 355:12 Interestingly 330:17 interests 2:13 182:18 283:4 367:20 interfacing 123:5 interference 104:12,14 interject 334:4 internally 198:18 internet 119:22 121:22 128:7 147:21 148:2 150:4,11 151:14,17 166:3 187:11,13 207:15 224:11 268:17 271:18 289:5,9,10 295:21 366:6 381:3 381:12 390:6 interning 402:3,15 interns 399:8 400:7 401:6 **internship** 399:5,11 400:11 401:21 internships 367:11 400:3,22 interrupting 142:21 intersects 261:9 intervene 255:15 interview 326:13 interviewed 244:4 interviews 349:8

354:22 356:2 361:1

intimately 184:3

intimidated 23:5.6 Karen 109:22 110:1 334:12 341:8 219:14.18 265:22 intro 256:7 issues 15:6 16:5 122:16 267:8,18 **Karmazin** 250:12 introduce 14:8 37:21 179:12 212:3,4 239:5 **John's** 240:21 keen 135:2 82:17 128:11 139:12 Keener-13 388:1 239:6 382:13,14 **Johnny** 3:4 51:8 222:16 267:12 370:13 392:16 Johnson 2:15 3:18 5:20 keenly 22:17 introduced 223:20 it'll 33:16 197:11 10:8 33:20 288:20,22 keep 23:13 28:4 35:21 224:21 364:17 370:9 item 262:13 313:3 314:6 315:18 60:20 61:1 92:20 introduces 208:12 items 222:11 240:5 319:13 320:6 324:3 113:20 114:13 119:21 120:5,16,16,21 121:2 introducing 222:15 243:5 328:19 332:8 334:10 335:19,21 336:22 131:20 140:13 150:18 316:12 introduction 4:2 16:14 338:19 340:21 343:2 157:20 158:1 176:2 39:20 40:3 43:9 365:3 **J** 2:9 351:12,21 353:4,9,13 178:22 198:19 203:9 **J.C** 38:10.10 43:14 353:15,20,22 354:18 208:19 214:8,12,14 368.8 invest 62:21 189:4 **J.P** 236:11 354:21 357:7 358:6 234:1,9,10,11,17 193:1 292:1 310:15 249:10,14 255:16,22 Jackson 2:14 51:10 358:13 359:22 360:18 311:21 329:20 361:6 362:6 363:15 347:1 363:7 70:12 128:20 132:21 invested 75:2 165:10 132:22 143:16,19 363:20 405:17 406:1 keeping 12:13 173:16 406:4,10,16 407:3 investigative 338:10 144:5,12 150:14 197:9 152:21 153:17 159:8 Johnson's 291:10 investing 74:19 311:6 keeps 146:7 157:17 join 16:8 77:13 81:5 169:10 investment 179:3,6 159:18 160:20 167:12 Kelvin 277:11 287:1 230:22 273:22 301:3 171:5 172:10 216:10 joined 38:8 89:17 311:2 376:9,13 276:13 364:15 382:17 Ken 18:19 216:10 387:18 394:9 jam-packed 28:18 34:6 joining 46:2 51:19 **Kevin** 154:15 investments 306:12 Jamaican 94:14 84:19 314:14 **key** 24:18,19 64:20 investor 3:9 142:9 joint 152:22 169:5 **JAMES** 3:10 67:15 131:8 137:5 213:9 278:22 279:5 Jamila 3:18 5:20 10:8 261:19 263:11 167:18 168:13 176:21 280:11.15 307:20 33:19 36:13 179:21 joking 134:11 279:15 283:6 298:7 investoral 75:3 389:13 404:9,13 Jose 72:19 299:13 314:16 investors 5:6 303:7,15 **Joseph** 107:4 **KFXM** 384:6 406:15 408:9 309:18 310:9 311:4 Jamila's 179:19 **Josh** 19:15 **KFXN-AM** 383:22 311:19 312:2 383:16 Jane 2:20 223:10,11 journalism 25:3 325:20 KHME 385:8 invitation 265:7,8 231:5 238:17 239:1 326:12 327:3 338:10 kick 6:21 38:20 316:11 316:17 265:14 361:4 kicked 159:3 invite 121:8 263:16 **Jannette** 2:9 180:17 journalist 22:22 23:1,1 kicking 134:18 306:4 invited 251:8.9 381:20 23:2 24:19,20 399:19 kicks 306:6 inviting 88:1 **January** 117:12 journalists 8:12 15:16 kid's 323:15 kids 29:17 52:19 183:11 **involve** 103:10 **Jay** 19:14 22:9 23:20 24:1,7,7,8 involved 49:7 108:13 **JCP** 325:19 327:16 24:10 191:7 302:4 129:20 154:6,13 Jeff 2:8 128:21 132:1 iourney 85:5 105:9,12 kill 208:20 164:18 226:18,20 135:13 144:6 146:3 108:17 109:7,16 **Kim** 2:12 315:20 324:20 231:8 240:3 255:18 154:9 161:12 164:8 129:15 130:6 393:9 331:5 332:9 343:3 256:16 258:6 287:13 164:11,16 175:18 **JSA** 135:19 346:21 355:9,15 297:9 317:21 320:7 324:22 352:2 355:9 **JSAs** 169:15,20 170:3 356:6,14,18 329:7 339:14 341:3 **Juan** 318:5 Kim's 325:10 341:12,16 **Jeff's** 345:2 involvement 199:21 **Jeffrey** 3:6 315:13 judge 108:8,8 kinds 92:12 110:4 involves 271:8 360:21 343:10,22 358:14 **July** 7:19 14:13 244:5 186:13 233:20,21,22 **lowa** 84:4 Jenell 381:18 jump 37:18 39:4 60:19 234:4,6,7 237:14 **IP** 311:14 **Jersey** 83:12,21 90:7 98:17 101:21 257:22 iPads 296:7 128:12 129:5 137:12 Jim 118:8 221:18 king 123:20 124:1 Irishman 26:16 143:11 154:9 195:15 246:15,17 303:15 250:11 256:7 263:6 ironically 301:22 205:12 231:4 271:5 309:1,2 **job** 9:12 21:2 44:4 irony 186:19 93:16 177:18 244:3,4 **June** 377:14 **Kizart** 2:16,16 37:10,11 **Islands** 136:20 juxtaposition 351:13 37:12 38:5,14 42:3 324:1 355:7 361:19 **ISP** 294:13,14 296:4 372:4 393:19 45:18,20 50:20 56:21 Κ issue 10:21 71:12 72:5 **jobs** 178:1,6,17 222:9 57:16 58:2 63:2 67:20 72:6 76:8,22 77:6 309:14 311:8,9 **K** 288:6 68:15 71:7 72:1,4,13 113:17 141:19 252:22 Kagan 2:22 268:11 73:20 74:3 76:19 77:9 iockey 87:11 283:21 310:21 319:11 **John** 3:1 106:19 107:2 Kansas 327:14 77:19 78:2,7,18 79:17

80:2 81:4 304:2,3,4 388:9 **layers** 275:9 269:19 281:13 283:13 306:3 308:11 355:5,6 large 64:16 67:16 83:18 lead 115:9 155:2 209:14 285:18 356:11 98:3,12 103:5 161:11 300:10 lesson 338:14 Klein-Schmerling 187:2 193:9 225:14 leader 18:13,21 200:3 **let's** 37:6,8 54:12 18:14 229:2 230:21 234:21 224:13 366:4 105:11 106:21 122:5 **KMLU** 385:7 251:6 254:22 302:9 leaders 7:20 322:7 128:19 134:18 146:3 **KNBC** 184:7 345:13 353:9 358:17 347:1 364:15 367:1 158:13 166:5,13 knew 49:5 165:8,9 358:19 360:10 368:16 381:11,14 389:22 167:8 175:2 181:15 222:9 232:8,8,8,9 largely 323:21 390:15 402:20 206:22 207:4,11,13 207:14 208:6,9 210:1 291:13 397:5 larger 97:4 102:7 leadership 9:21 82:16 knowing 165:15 247:17 103:10 118:22 139:4 158:22 238:5 313:13 212:16,16 266:2 249:17 278:12 295:7 139:6,10 140:4 228:6 322:6 347:9 365:16 268:5,6 273:19 283:7 336:15 277:16 285:6 308:21 knowledge 55:22 204:5 368:9,11 369:2,9 267:10 300:19 329:5 largest 39:9 42:11 44:8 371:2 374:10 376:14 357:20 403:7,18 393:3,5,11 394:8 407:1 342:15,22 58:15 77:2 159:19 **known** 97:12 221:20 leading 11:19 12:9 **letters** 87:14 352:13 224:12 264:7 268:20 Larry 303:3,4,4,22 38:21 393:20 letting 39:4 317:16,20 334:22 304:1 leads 143:11 328:19 level 45:15 51:13 68:16 358:20 365:17 382:11 **League** 222:19 117:19 119:13 125:9 **Las** 377:17 lasting 11:3 **knows** 211:9 238:14 lean 30:9 151:4 208:21 317:4 265:9 273:13 279:5 late 40:17 89:16 205:18 leap 341:18 342:3 321:21 328:10 330:22 279:11 280:20 294:15 332:7 335:2 345:22 337:9 learn 45:5 162:7 165:1 341:22 381:5 389:10 **latest** 84:5 183:5 261:11,12 348:4,5,6,7 352:20 Latin 88:13 113:8 190:3 327:1,5,6 338:13 369:4 376:17,18 Komjathy 2:16 268:18 339:17,22 373:7 268:18 271:5,8 274:4 222:19 390:20 274:8.12.16 287:17 Latina 132:10 375:17 377:12 leveled 353:2 287:21 288:4 293:10 Latino 183:12 259:1 learned 21:9,11 162:13 leveling 270:13 293:13,15 294:6 388:9 250:1 298:1 371:15 levels 17:5,14 20:1,17 295:5,9,14 299:19 Latino-owned 201:17 372:5 373:6,15 23:17 25:22 367:3 Kongsue 384:2 385:3 Laughter 26:10 50:1 407:15 leverage 310:1 **Korean** 98:14 52:15 56:13 61:3 **learning** 11:16 45:10 **leveraged** 59:13,14 **Kovsky** 349:6 63:22 80:18 239:16 343:10 372:9 376:15 leverager 59:14 **KQME** 385:8 239:20 266:15 267:21 lease 97:4 131:1 166:1 **library** 160:11 184:13 Kristen 3:19 4:4 8:9 268:14 285:8 294:11 **leasing** 111:12 296:11 15:8.13 16:8 407:7 302:22 312:4,6 **leave** 30:7,13 32:12 license 44:16 49:3.9.10 315:17 334:9,19 36:1,2 67:18 180:3,4 49:13,16 55:12,15 361:5 363:14 389:6 182:19 210:16 297:21 65:21 89:19 97:19 **LA** 110:1 406:14 led 10:4,10 11:7 388:11 140:17 142:5 155:17 labeled 200:19 launch 13:6 153:20 left 36:18 80:5,6 83:4,5 174:19,19 labeling 323:13 191:22 192:3 201:15 89:16 197:2 301:9 licensee 385:4 315:13 365:6 392:21 201:16 202:1,15,16 labor 32:3 107:21 licenses 48:13,14 50:4 202:16 219:20 247:8 leg 145:9 302:8 142:11 155:16,16 labors 348:11 lack 55:22 88:5 297:13 launched 190:14 202:6 **Legacy** 385:16 156:5 174:16 212:2 318:17,20 319:11 207:1 369:15 legal 59:6,10 164:19 licensing 167:20 329:17 330:5 348:4 launching 68:15 160:11 182:5 240:1 257:16 lieu 302:5 203:9 206:22 212:20 life 24:17 53:17 63:12 366:9 352:11,12 **ladder** 30:14 392:15 407:9 legend 223:11 224:8 212:10 243:2 ladies 52:4 64:3 81:4 law 49:4 261:12 310:8 legislature 51:14 53:22 lifeblood 68:20 366:6,12,12,13,14 legs 17:11 **lifeline** 194:11 293:22 leisure 315:6 **Lawlor** 2:17 324:19 lifestyle 204:17 294:18 **Lafayette** 134:15 140:2 224:4,5 234:20 274:1 336:1,8 345:1 346:20 lend 272:2 281:14 lifestyles 290:2 laid 273:17 356:10,19 299:5 334:18 lifetime 17:13 laws 107:21 165:7,8,9 lender 55:3 268:13 lift 227:18 242:4 land 38:16 55:20 66:20 288:4 373:1 lifted 103:3 landscape 96:8 304:20 218:19 lenders 5:5 61:7 62:9 350:1 390:3 lawyer 129:14 147:3 light 36:22 37:1,3 117:2 lane 128:10 366:4 377:8 280:15 234:11 258:1,6 lawyers 239:15,17,19 lighter 198:2 language 91:2 97:14,15 lending 62:8 78:22 186:20 194:6 197:22 261:6 377:11 382:5 106:18 137:9 268:20 lights 18:2 207:17

II
likelihood 135:10
206:18
lily 349:15
limit 69:8
limitations 209:17,18
302:13
limited 11:4 207:8
limits 225:18 335:11
353:11 359:13
line 14:5 46:4 61:9,10 62:7 114:18 137:8
217:9 257:12 366:1
386:13
linear 150:22
lined 7:4
lines 186:1 242:12
linger 408:8
lining 229:8,9 284:1
Lipp 2:18 84:9 89:6,7
96:14 98:7,10 101:22
104:18,22 105:3
127:2,11
list 240:5
listed 36:4
listen 71:3 92:9 97:16
99:5 100:6 121:15
124:6,7 164:9 342:9
listeners 86:17 100:6 126:2
listenership 100:16
101:2 123:1
listening 99:2 120:19
122:4 309:12 350:13
literally 271:19
little 7:5,8 17:6 23:11
28:22 29:2 39:5,17
43:6 46:21 49:5 50:6
50:17,18,19,22 55:9
58:22 63:20 71:10
86:14 95:6 105:7
107:10 110:14 124:11
131:19 137:6 142:22
163:7 170:15 175:6
176:18 200:11 207:4 211:10 215:12 229:7
211:10 215:12 229:7 229:21 233:16 243:13
248:11 255:15 267:13
272:8 277:1 278:16
279:2 286:14,17
291:8 292:16 294:14
307:18 326:9 330:10
337:9,21 341:2
343:17 369:19 380:8
380:12 398:9
live 91:21 121:20
207:16 286:18 326:22
364:10
lived 30:11 297:12

livelier 227:8 241:10 livelihood 157:2 livequestions 34:21,21 livequestions@fcc.gov 34:22 lives 41:4 living 203:4 207:18 **LLC** 2:4,6,12 3:1,4 51:9 224:1 **LMAs** 135:19 loan 55:8 56:20 64:10 65:20 67:13 80:21 111:15 137:20 138:22 139:21 140:12 229:9 248:9 300:1,3 loaning 114:12 138:17 194:4 loans 53:9 221:1 282:19 283:16 298:14 **lobby** 251:9 lobbyist 119:2 local 15:20 70:21 91:21 117:18 118:4,17 121:11 149:3,5,6,8,8 150:3,5,12 170:16,17 182:17 225:18 229:2 284:14.16.20 286:8 287:8 294:17 297:22 298:8,8,9,10 299:22 300:3,16 338:10 347:14 350:7 **locale** 137:3 **locally** 70:15 71:1,2,2 located 58:15 83:18,21 Lomax-Reese 2:18 81:18,22 82:2,3 83:15 85:3,22 87:1,20 89:5 90:1 92:2,4,22 93:4 93:21 94:22 96:10 98:6,8,17 99:13 101:20 104:16,19 105:1,4 109:20 110:1 113:11,21 114:2,5,7 115:7,13,21 116:7,9 116:14,18 119:3,6,12 121:4 122:6,17 123:11,14 124:10,16 125:3,6 127:1,9,14 long 22:6,20 28:14 29:12 52:22 59:12 75:17 87:19 101:1 108:20 128:6 129:15 130:6 149:20 167:4 167:15 173:5,9,16 182:12 188:21 215:3 244:1 247:9 253:12 256:13 268:1 286:12

298:18 340:13,13

377:13 long-term 252:10 401:3 **long-time** 269:11 longer 29:17 32:22 209:7 218:11 249:11 249:13 278:2 longevity 203:11 looked 43:17,18 58:7 59:16 66:14 248:14 253:18 273:17 296:21 372:17 looking 34:7 42:1 79:12 100:15 123:8 133:18 135:3 140:15 159:21 160:18 178:5,14,20 199:16 204:2 214:19 216:14 224:6 228:22 239:19 242:21 249:13 262:18 270:4 300:3,7 300:11 308:6 311:20 313:19 323:11 334:18 336:20 looks 135:7 229:22 391:3,5 **loosened** 335:12 Lord 389:9 **Loretta** 397:10 **Los** 132:5,18 142:2 184:7,9,11,12,13 lose 77:21 151:3 227:12 301:7 350:19 losing 115:10 142:22 **lost** 31:3 97:18,19 115:16,19 284:4 lotteries 245:1 **loud** 116:17 **Louis** 49:11,11 Louisiana 83:11 134:16 224:4,5 385:8 **love** 27:12 32:3 79:8 99:16 133:17 150:6 309:22 310:3 344:21 344:22 356:17 389:19 loved 296:22 lovely 63:14 371:4 loves 70:17 342:1 low 103:22 131:2 132:4 140:21 155:16,17,21 156:5 174:3,10,12,17 174:19 336:6,7 348:3 388:14 low- 186:11 low-power 48:7 309:3 **Lowe** 183:22 lower 103:8 151:1 277:6 **Lowry** 250:6,20

loyal 92:7,21 lucky 22:3,4 41:13 138:5 142:14 luminaries 6:10 8:12 lunch 35:18 36:2,5,7,15 176:9,13 179:17 180:4

M

M 3:1

M&A 228:11 229:17 233:1 234:8 235:13 260:21 263:18.19 ma'am 303:20 Madam 357:1 MADDOW-DORSEY 2.19 Maddox 127:10 **Maddox-** 78:8 Maddox-Dorsey 83:5 83:14 86:1,2 94:3 106:12 117:11 119:4 119:11 123:17,22 126:8 Madison 2:20,20 181:13 183:16.17 199:16 203:17 206:15 209:16 210:9 213:3 213:13 217:1 magic 218:9 Mago 2:20 223:11 231:7 233:11 237:16 239:2 248:12 253:1 254:9 256:2,6 main 129:18 mainland 137:1 mainstream 161:10 maintain 204:6 maintaining 254:5 maintains 377:1 major 39:11 40:22 41:1 51:5 57:21 60:9 72:6 102:16 131:4,12 144:14 154:14 155:12 156:6,7 159:21 163:3 168:8 174:14 190:5 203:2 205:21 210:13 213:9 240:10 262:6 263:17 361:4 majority 22:18 108:15 197:11 278:1 majors 382:5 makers 172:8 220:5,6 383:16 making 20:9 22:12 23:8 23:16,18 25:21 33:2 69:1 79:11 107:20

147:20 153:12 177:2

II
199:5 227:20 240:7
262:14 267:19 278:7
325:13 332:2 339:15
347:6,18 360:15
389:12 401:15
male 108:19 371:11
males 349:11
man 33:6 44:20 63:14
76:13 308:6 349:8
manage 120:5 124:13
296:5 326:9
managed 193:21
ı,
286:13
management 2:20
274:6 282:22 321:22
327:17 342:4 365:9
366:9 367:22 369:2
manager 52:12 184:7,8
243:22 244:2 272:15
348:7 373:7,12
376:19
managers 20:18 331:16
331:16
manages 82:15
managing 37:12 82:13
86:12 299:11 304:3
393:21
Mangess 393:3,11
394:8
manner 44:10
Mapp 78:20,21 79:7
166:15,19,20
mapped 247:17
Marcellus 2:3 364:12
370:22 374:11 389:18
392:12 397:11
MARCH 1:7
margins 299:10
Maria 2:5 11:7 366:17
366:22 367:5 389:2
395:22
Mark 2:18 81:13,13
84:8 96:11 99:14
101:21 104:16 126:21
127:1,11
market 21:3,14 22:1
49:11 58:8,15 70:15
70:17 92:6 94:2 96:6
103:11 110:20 111:11
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2 138:4 139:6,7 142:9
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2 138:4 139:6,7 142:9 142:12 155:6 156:6
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2 138:4 139:6,7 142:9 142:12 155:6 156:6 190:1,2 191:12
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2 138:4 139:6,7 142:9 142:12 155:6 156:6 190:1,2 191:12 196:17 208:15 211:22
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2 138:4 139:6,7 142:9 142:12 155:6 156:6 190:1,2 191:12
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2 138:4 139:6,7 142:9 142:12 155:6 156:6 190:1,2 191:12 196:17 208:15 211:22 212:2 227:3,4 230:7
103:11 110:20 111:11 112:22 121:1 131:3,6 131:10,11 132:5 136:18 137:22 138:2 138:4 139:6,7 142:9 142:12 155:6 156:6 190:1,2 191:12 196:17 208:15 211:22

```
252:11 272:1 273:9
 282:3,6 284:8 285:21
 286:8 287:8,8,9
 289:11 296:12 326:6
 333:13 337:18 338:17
 345:12,13,19 391:11
 393:1,5
marketers 68:22
marketing 86:19 116:20
  185:1 198:13 214:6
marketplace 101:19
  145:19,21 161:6
 226:8 227:2 229:1
 233:18,20 234:13
 242:3 273:3 289:19
markets 22:9,13 25:4
  39:11 40:22 41:1
 46:11 51:5,5,6 64:16
 88:5,7 89:1 92:10
 98:3,12 102:7,17
  111:1,8 138:1 139:5
  143:8 148:17 150:5
 193:20 230:4,15
 235:9 244:14 250:15
 271:21 284:21 305:6
 327:12,13,14,16
 359:13
marks 6:9 219:13,14,18
 219:18
Martin 167:5,6 168:7,7
  168:10,12
Maryland 311:6,18
massive 303:16
Master's 372:10
Masters 367:21,22
match 292:8
matching 291:20
material 36:5
materials 13:9 365:2
 376:12
matter 123:3 143:7
  180:10 199:19 264:9
 265:16 299:1 312:22
 345:10 346:1 363:21
 399:17 408:11
matters 157:15,18,19
 299:2 399:20
Maurita 2:8 365:21
  366:16 380:1
Max 155:3 171:8
maximize 129:4
Maxwell 2:1 129:1,11
  131:17 140:9 157:17
  164:13
mayor 96:2
mayoral 95:22
```

Mays 250:6

McCoy 2:21 128:18

```
134:1,3,5,8,11 137:13
  139:15 147:22 148:14
  149:3,5,14,16 152:5
  152:12 158:15 169:18
  171:4,7 222:6 223:19
  229:6 231:11 234:14
  243:14 246:14,17
  248:1 251:5 259:8,12
  263:13 269:10 271:9
  271:14 378:21
McDonald's 144:14
McNeill 2:21 268:21
  269:3,3 271:9 278:14
  292:12 293:12,14
  300:15 305:12 306:8
  308:3 372:21
mean 49:20 56:7 58:13
  60:5 66:7 73:6 109:11
  111:4 120:22 124:21
  132:13 135:8 137:8
  140:14 154:12 164:10
  165:9 167:3 179:5
  199:22 207:17 214:13
  230:10 240:1 250:20
  255:10 258:13,18
  274:6.9 275:11.14.19
  278:14 279:18 281:11
  281:21 282:14,18,19
  287:21 288:8 290:22
  292:3,13 293:21
  295:10,16 296:16
  297:5 298:3,5 300:1
  305:13 306:4,9 307:4
  309:12 317:21 322:2
  335:4,17 338:19
  340:11 343:7 344:3
  350:6 354:6 360:1
meaning 227:8 373:8
meaningful 406:13
means 9:3 19:22 133:5
  203:6 205:3 209:3
  236:17 270:16 332:17
  344:3,7 393:15,17
meant 201:18 296:16
  399:6
measure 289:5 391:12
mechanism 227:4
  242:7
mechanisms 209:12
media's 90:13
media-centric 284:19
media-savvy 279:8
medium 90:22 91:20
  126:4
medium-sized 279:22
mediums 96:6
meet 12:2 33:6 246:6
  271:12,15 273:8
```

317:2 332:21 356:18 356:18 394:16 401:11 401:12 405:8 meeting 1:9 10:15 15:13 250:6 260:17 265:3 384:1 404:7 meetings 75:16 299:14 335:6 371:10 meets 148:8 377:15 mega-mergers 197:5 Mel 250:12 **Melody** 3:6 81:17,19,20 82:19 83:3 84:1 87:2 87:4 93:22 95:1 99:11 108:10 109:21 125:21 127:11 222:6 223:5,5 Melody's 83:4 member 37:13 46:18 51:14 115:16 304:4 314:7 366:20 391:12 members 6:14 10:12 11:1 15:3 66:10 74:12 115:16,19 222:4,5 250:8 278:4 381:19 392:12 397:9 405:12 membership 266:10 367:4 memorialize 30:19 memory 128:10 men 22:20 105:18 106:1 107:4 mentality 165:1 mention 69:12 264:20 291:10 mentioned 14:12 34:3 37:17 102:1 144:7 194:10 199:3,20 210:19 231:14 234:14 243:9 275:17 290:21 298:5 300:22 339:6 365:4 369:14,22 382:9 385:20 mentioning 209:16 210:11 mentor 107:2 109:7 316:8,14 321:14 328:10 347:18 362:10 mentor-protégée 320:10 mentored 107:6 109:11 316:9 317:8,22 318:1 326:4 344:13 382:6 mentoring 23:18 317:6 318:7 319:8 322:12 330:16 377:21 393:20 mentors 5:11 106:15,16 107:4 109:5 336:16 338:22

Ĭ			
mentorship 317:1	migrating 146:9	minority-owned 39:15	modernization 179:1
328:7	Mike 46:8,14 61:18	48:12,16 49:15 188:7	modernize 176:20
merge 228:7	mile 294:4	190:13 193:6,21	modes 309:4
merged 77:4	miles 17:10	195:1 197:1,6 203:1	Moelis 236:11
merger 77:5 224:22	millennial 323:5 381:9	208:15	Moines 84:4 112:15
225:8,14 231:13	381:10	minority-targeted	MOM 384:21
234:21 240:9,17,20	million 44:22 58:9,11	202:19 203:1 208:16	moment 17:17 18:3
mergers 4:21 8:21	58:19,21 63:10 65:19	minute 43:5 46:20	39:20 206:1 207:15
230:8 240:11,13	80:11,21 86:17 97:10	50:19 78:19 124:6,21	209:17
merging 230:19	98:5 115:5 138:20	124:21 158:9 247:18	momentum 182:11
MESBICs 285:12	139:19,19 140:1	247:18 250:11 253:14	Monday 11:4 67:1
message 216:16 220:4	142:19 162:10 165:11	273:6 311:11 364:20	168:14
220:10 232:14 234:10	202:17,17,18 203:3	395:14	monetize 150:15 151:8
259:18,20 260:9,11	207:9 230:4 235:1	minutes 34:16 36:18	monetized 237:15
260:16 349:13 350:17	237:6 241:15 244:13	37:1 129:8 181:10,20	money 59:8 61:19
351:16	286:19,21 289:12	214:13 363:13 370:2	63:11 66:20,22 72:9
messages 234:4	290:4,5,11 292:17	370:2,9 392:20	74:15 97:1,8 106:21
399:13 400:1	296:17,19,20 332:19	misnomer 361:13	112:3,11,17 119:10
met 316:22 372:21	334:4 390:15	missed 46:22 256:7	138:17 139:10,11,15
375:19 378:19 383:13	millionaires 62:14	missing 92:1	140:5 160:3 194:13
388:12 407:15	millions 41:4 147:15	mission 5:15 345:9	211:13 227:7 229:9
metamorphosis 390:8	296:7,8 303:11	364:6 389:22 396:14	235:2 237:22 241:9
methodology 271:3	mind 57:2 282:4 363:8	403:1	244:8,9 266:19,21,22
metrics 347:11	minds 281:18	Mississippi 46:13	268:2,4 280:3 284:5
Metro 388:7	mindset 247:13	Mississippi's 70:13	287:15 288:5 291:6
Metropolitan 85:14	mine 47:19 338:2	Missouri 46:12 61:5	291:22 292:17 300:7
Mexican 88:12 92:7	mini-me's 294:10	327:21	300:17 301:8 309:9
94:18 110:16 120:11	Minneapolis 187:5	mistake 10:19	310:1,19 312:2
141:20	Minnesota 383:22	mistaken 329:4	329:21 334:18 372:19
Mexican-American 132:11	384:22 minorities 10:20 50:14	mitigating 79:4 mix 195:12	375:21 376:1
Mexico 104:5 130:5,9	73:3 112:4 152:14	MMTC 2:8,11,14 37:22	monitors 162:21 Monroe 385:8
141:16	174:2 188:20 235:18	47:5 74:17 84:7 150:9	month 14:16 28:22
mezzanine 139:16	290:5 321:7 335:15	224:13 242:20 365:22	133:14 377:15
269:7	344:15,16 349:21	381:3,6,11 382:11,17	months 56:20 64:9
MFN 210:4	385:14,22	383:3,3 384:6,8,11,18	197:5 204:19 209:22
MFNs 210:2	minority 2:13 5:15 40:6	385:12,13 387:16	229:14 247:20 319:1
Miami 183:8	41:9 42:17 47:15	MMTC's 236:11 380:8	335:6 368:11 377:13
mic 116:17 355:3	62:21 69:17,18 75:15	000 10	
	02.2103.17,1073.13	388:16	Monty 169:2 254:11,13
Michael 1:18 4:6	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9	388:16 mobilization 119:12	Monty 169:2 254:11,13 254:14 257:14
Michael 1:18 4:6 Michelle 2:10 10:5			254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20 mid-size 72:8	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22 314:18 319:5 331:2,4	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5 moderator 2:3,6,9,10	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2 mortgage 71:15
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20 mid-size 72:8 mid-sized 22:1 51:5	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22 314:18 319:5 331:2,4 351:14,19 352:8,17	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5 moderator 2:3,6,9,10 2:11,15,16,18 3:1,3,4	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2 mortgage 71:15 mother 63:20
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20 mid-size 72:8 mid-sized 22:1 51:5 middle 82:18 114:15	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22 314:18 319:5 331:2,4 351:14,19 352:8,17 352:18 354:7,9	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5 moderator 2:3,6,9,10 2:11,15,16,18 3:1,3,4 3:8,10,10 84:16 180:1	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2 mortgage 71:15 mother 63:20 motivate 286:16
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20 mid-size 72:8 mid-sized 22:1 51:5 middle 82:18 114:15 141:7 348:4	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22 314:18 319:5 331:2,4 351:14,19 352:8,17 352:18 354:7,9 358:22 359:3 364:7	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5 moderator 2:3,6,9,10 2:11,15,16,18 3:1,3,4 3:8,10,10 84:16 180:1 389:18	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2 mortgage 71:15 mother 63:20 motivate 286:16 motivation 52:9
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20 mid-size 72:8 mid-sized 22:1 51:5 middle 82:18 114:15 141:7 348:4 midsized 327:14	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22 314:18 319:5 331:2,4 351:14,19 352:8,17 352:18 354:7,9 358:22 359:3 364:7 366:2 384:12	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5 moderator 2:3,6,9,10 2:11,15,16,18 3:1,3,4 3:8,10,10 84:16 180:1 389:18 moderators 10:1 13:21	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2 mortgage 71:15 mother 63:20 motivate 286:16
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20 mid-size 72:8 mid-sized 22:1 51:5 middle 82:18 114:15 141:7 348:4	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22 314:18 319:5 331:2,4 351:14,19 352:8,17 352:18 354:7,9 358:22 359:3 364:7	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5 moderator 2:3,6,9,10 2:11,15,16,18 3:1,3,4 3:8,10,10 84:16 180:1 389:18	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2 mortgage 71:15 mother 63:20 motivate 286:16 motivation 52:9 motivations 181:4
Michelle 2:10 10:5 81:21 82:11,12 84:16 105:5 397:11 407:6 Michigan 84:6 microphone 34:13 216:7 219:17 221:22 mid- 284:17 mid-90s 48:10 Mid-Atlantic 185:3 mid-century 205:19 mid-roll 214:20 mid-size 72:8 mid-sized 22:1 51:5 middle 82:18 114:15 141:7 348:4 midsized 327:14 Midtown 398:18	77:1 98:13,20 102:1,9 102:13 118:21 126:17 131:6 151:18 152:19 154:7 156:6,21,22 157:21 161:4 169:11 169:14 170:4 172:1 197:11,16 201:8 212:15 217:18 218:10 225:10 226:1,13 233:1 240:14,19 250:22 254:18 255:16 256:1 270:14,22 314:18 319:5 331:2,4 351:14,19 352:8,17 352:18 354:7,9 358:22 359:3 364:7 366:2 384:12 minority- 48:8 202:18	mobilization 119:12 mode 309:13 model 16:5 135:8 136:16,20 143:12,13 144:6,21 145:14,15 145:17 150:11 153:2 153:12 160:6,6 188:22 247:11 357:5 modeling 173:7 models 135:2,7 146:1 158:1 304:8,9,18 moderating 128:5 moderator 2:3,6,9,10 2:11,15,16,18 3:1,3,4 3:8,10,10 84:16 180:1 389:18 moderators 10:1 13:21 34:15 35:21 36:17	254:14 257:14 Morgan 236:11 morning 6:3 7:2 10:18 15:14 16:11,15 26:5 28:14,16 29:3 31:13 31:21 33:9 37:11,15 66:10,21 72:18 82:2,3 116:15 119:19 122:13 165:20 193:18 200:18 200:21 220:22 223:6 242:17 290:21 407:8 morning's 51:2 mortgage 71:15 mother 63:20 motivate 286:16 motivation 52:9 motivations 181:4 MOU 190:12 192:1

MOUs 194:9 200:12 **NAB's** 82:14 needing 309:5 202:10 227:7.11 mouth 194:13 **NABEF** 369:15 378:15 **needle** 392:4 241:9,9,9 243:2 259:6 move 30:3 32:14 35:15 394:3 397:12 needs 118:10,14 261:6 276:4 285:10 285:11,21 286:1,2,18 37:4 39:6 54:1 56:16 **NABEF's** 82:15 178:22 234:5 239:2 59:14 60:11 103:1 **NABJ** 327:22 260:14 400:11,12,17 289:18 290:18,22 104:3 114:10,15,17 **NABOB** 3:10 74:18 291:5 293:20 294:9 negative 398:15 114:19 124:22 139:4 77:13 79:13 115:16 negotiate 196:22 210:6 297:7 301:21 304:8 166:5 179:16,17 118:8 221:21 222:2,4 negotiating 88:2 304:18 306:14 310:7 243:19 244:13 310:4 222:5 250:6.8 201:12 311:7,8,12 329:15,21 314:12 363:8 390:19 negotiation 238:8 name 37:11 49:21 80:4 335:3,17 340:19 moved 7:16 276:15 87:4,22 116:16 128:1 negotiations 345:22 367:17 368:1,12 327:15 139:17 166:17,18,20 negotiator 273:13 388:6 398:21,21 movement 219:7 180:14 183:16,21 400:8 407:15 **Negro** 87:14 moves 142:7 217:17 185:15 217:14 266:5 neighbors 309:19,21 newcomers 317:9 movie 8:14 147:10,11 269:13 271:13 304:2 newer 99:3 293:8 310:3 309:2 310:22 314:6 **Nelson** 385:9,15 newest 29:1 **Movil** 130:14 moving 17:18 33:4 364:12 368:12 381:4 **Nessa** 94:10 **Newhouse** 327:20 44:12 103:12 104:9 385:10 407:20 net 207:12,14 311:19 **newly** 86:8 named 18:14 news 3:19 4:4 8:9 15:21 165:17 217:10 243:18 312:1 names 407:20 247:7 327:11 387:8 **network** 14:9 86:16 17:18 18:9 20:18 21:4 392:4,8 **NAMIC** 391:15 129:1 130:11,19 50:2 80:10,11,14,15 **MSNBC** 205:7 133:3,19 134:15 80:20 91:18 92:11 narrative 403:3 multi- 68:10 205:21 narrow 287:10,10 288:8 135:15 148:11,12 120:4,13 121:3 259:1 296:16,18 297:1 149:7 160:12 168:9 148:18,18 149:2,3,5 multi-cultural 135:1 180:15 186:21 191:2 149:11 150:3 156:22 **NATE** 178:9 161:5 206:9.14.16 nation 227:15 191:20 194:5 202:20 170:16,17 179:6 224:11 nation's 17:17 204:19 205:3 209:20 184:6 185:9.11 multicast 132:19 national 45:15 86:16,21 272:4 296:13,14 204:17 219:14,19 multicultural 128:7 141:18,22 158:22 401:12 294:17 345:14,14,21 185:2 381:3 221:19 223:17 225:18 networking 407:17 349:4,14 350:2,8 multiple 205:5 241:18 261:20 262:1.11.17 networks 2:19 20:19 373:4 397:20 398:13 multiple-bid 232:3 364:13 368:15 374:12 75:22 83:7 86:5,15,19 398:22 399:4,6,10,12 397:9 multiples 227:9 239:11 131:12 141:1,3 407:7 241:8.11 nations 210:2 149:18,21 183:10 news-making 17:9 music 58:1,1 91:11,11 newscast 21:9 nationwide 103:21 185:17 188:15 190:13 91:12,13,13,14,16 nature 62:10 74:7 193:22 195:1 197:1,6 **newspaper** 43:16,16 322:22 337:7 338:1,4 92:11 96:20 120:3,11 282:16 201:16,17,19 202:16 120:13 121:3 133:13 **Navy** 142:3 204:12 215:15 216:18 338:11,17 351:2 153:4 350:3 **NBC** 3:19 4:4 8:9 18:9 268:17 276:10 398:19 newspapers 173:14 Muzak 97:7 83:11 134:15 184:2,4 neutrality 207:12,14 337:5,8 338:2,8,9 **MVPD** 216:15 184:5 199:21 200:1,3 never 18:7 43:17 47:19 newsroom 347:16 MVPDs 192:11 209:18 205:7,8,8 224:4 400:6 49:1 53:9 63:11 96:2 newsrooms 399:7 210:11 212:17 215:13 407:7 142:16 190:10,17 Nexstar 229:13 232:8 Myers 2:22 268:10,10 NBC's 132:16 184:8 191:22 215:20 247:6 236:15 240:19 268:15 275:13 277:4 200:7 247:8 257:9 259:8 **Nexstar-Comscore** 284:5 297:14 333:18 MyNetwork 224:5 **NBCs** 139:7 231:17 **myriad** 38:17 **NCTA** 390:5 397:11 373:7,15 **NIBEF** 320:13 **NDA** 236:18 new 17:22 27:6,7,8,9 nice 38:2 137:3 264:14 mystery 236:6 near 150:8 387:14 41:13 62:13,13 69:12 270:5 283:11 306:4 Ν 312:5 361:19 nearby 36:4 69:15,16 83:10,12,17 **NAB** 2:3,10,20 82:12 nearly 240:13 **nicely** 406:15 83:21 85:14 86:11 158:21 172:11 234:1 Nebraska 309:2 94:2,9 96:22 97:2,11 niche 83:2 necessarily 196:13 nickel 372:11 238:5 257:7 262:10 97:18 99:9,18 102:11 201:6 232:9 305:2 104:12 116:20 119:22 Nicol 3:8 127:17 128:2 263:14 267:20 270:4 397:4 120:3 121:14,17 Nielsen 86:16 126:18 287:13 335:5 365:18 368:8,10,11,12,15 needed 140:5 257:1 134:4 146:19 165:17 172:16,22 196:18

300:14,18 317:3 329:21 343:21 387:17 168:3 172:1 184:6

185:5,13 189:3

379:12

369:15 377:16,17

212:17

night 114:15 146:8

on-air 21:2 295:6 318:6 373:4 **Obama** 19:16 309:15 34:11,19 39:5 40:6 nightfall 53:1 objective 129:18 **on-going** 219:4 42:20 50:11,16 51:20 66:12 78:15 100:4 nimble 147:16 337:21 369:16 once 28:17 49:17 50:3 Nimisha 3:5 83:16 obligation 247:21 67:17 86:10 164:3 121:10 123:7 133:9 nine 201:15 obstacle 110:11 206:21 216:19 225:22 159:10 181:10 190:18 Nino 72:19,19 73:1,6,15 obstacles 131:2 245:17 236:8 257:10 277:21 191:15 203:6 208:18 73:22 obtain 97:8 326:4 340:10 379:6 213:4 215:18,21 no's 244:6 **obvious** 24:15 219:3 one's 78:1 335:17 216:5 225:2,11,20 nodding 205:11 359:18 233:19 one- 231:15 290:5 226:9 230:11.14 obviously 28:20 30:17 one-year 327:8 231:3 259:4,11 **non-** 95:18 nonprofit 366:20 381:7 261:10 289:3 294:7 120:18 211:6 227:15 ones 92:9 116:22 384:8 231:14 241:21 279:15 202:22 220:9 222:13 295:16 302:3 303:16 nonprofits 367:16 283:12 291:5,11 235:10 308:17 304:17 305:3 310:13 normal 227:2 292:5 302:3,11,12 **ongoing** 110:11 310:14,15 311:7,21 331:13 336:11 342:14 normally 272:6 273:7 onion 273:5 312:3 316:21 326:16 388:16 **Norman** 107:3 online 34:19 146:6 328:8 336:9,12,15 North 44:7 **occasion** 137:15 155:10 157:16 216:9 356:18 361:15 374:1 **note** 115:14 136:3 occasions 137:14 293:19,20 378:15 394:4,14,15 401:9 138:21 221:7 343:12 occurs 194:16 onset 170:1 402:22 off-line 293:18 onslaught 202:10 346:2 **opposed** 124:5 152:10 off-line/online 293:21 noted 335:21 open 28:7 31:6 36:20 optimal 214:14 **notes** 355:2 Off-microphone 213:12 45:18 74:14 151:12 optimistic 348:10 **notice** 169:10 237:9 291:18 396:3 155:19 177:9,13 option 120:19 noticed 19:5 60:7 offending 204:4 257:17 321:15 options 53:2 101:18 offer 66:12 86:18 opening 8:11 48:20 285:10 286:1 315:15 **notion** 341:9 357:10 136:10 176:11 215:7 51:20 192:14 order 8:3 12:2 24:13.21 **November** 219:20 215:16 216:17 240:7 operas 160:7 139:4 181:6 189:6 **NP** 133:22 277:22 operate 75:18 88:18,18 198:5,16 201:1,3 **nuances** 199:7 offered 235:1 178:10 186:8 192:3 203:11 217:11 276:18 **Nuestro** 130:13 offering 151:1,9 299:9 330:6 345:12 325:16 332:21 364:16 **number** 17:11 18:22 offerings 210:10 384:20 386:6 370:8 378:4 396:21 19:19,20 26:21 27:3,7 offers 130:17 254:17,20 operated 21:7 87:7 98:1 ordinarily 332:4 27:15,20 40:8 69:14 329:19 132:4 193:21 384:16 organization 269:22 70:14 86:16 90:22 office 10:9 49:22 operating 41:18 98:4 281:12 282:14,16 91:14 94:9 96:12 163:16 242:19,20 344:3,5 365:11 302:12 345:21 347:20 117:14 183:17 200:1 260:8 372:10 373:15 370:3 382:12 390:14 209:9 210:21 215:14 officer 10:8 65:18 67:13 operation 206:11 392:11 83:9 200:9 222:19 232:17 240:11 243:5 237:13 245:15 organization's 367:2 260:17 270:7,10,10 223:2 operational 204:5 organizations 5:14 offices 22:19 185:13 270:10,14 279:15 281:1 329:17 339:20 284:20 298:10 324:9 281:11 282:21,21 260:6 388:7 343:11 345:14,15 347:7 291:17 305:13,19 Official 256:8 operations 82:14 240:3 364:6 306:10 307:6,8 officials 49:5 366:7,10 371:18 organizations' 395:5 312:13 331:4 332:15 offs 167:1 orientation 7:13 399:16 332:19 342:16 344:15 oftentimes 260:5 operator 125:3 237:4,8 origin 93:14 364:3 368:22 369:4 **Ohio** 316:1 321:3 324:21 333:19 original 64:8 100:19 382:8 oil 44:8 operator/owner 27:11 120:10 126:5 145:7,7 numbers 80:6 120:2,4 Oklahoma 38:9 42:15 operators 110:12 210:5 150:7 166:22 167:7 42:21 44:2 58:8.14 216:1 250:9 252:2 210:5 226:7 303:9 167:16 168:6 274:9 277:18 299:15 392:1 Oklahoman 43:13 352:3 390:22 opinion 71:13 211:8 392:8 395:10 Oklahomans 61:4 opportunities 10:10,20 originally 286:5 **nuts** 13:13 old 27:4 107:18 251:2,4 20:16 27:9 86:20 originated 183:12 266:12 270:17 276:3 102:11 117:6 155:21 **Orlando** 3:4 316:5,12 0 296:17 322:21 323:16 173:4 174:2 177:6,10 325:6 378:20 380:19 178:16 211:15 228:20 **OTA** 286:6 o'clock 180:2,2 **OTC** 288:20 **O'Rielly** 1:18 4:6 10:17 **old-** 293:18 261:13 302:14 304:11 25:14 26:5,7,11 28:20 older 187:14 293:22 other's 250:18 305:5 385:21 401:20 375:15 407:11 **Omaha** 309:2 opportunity 4:22 13:17 **OTT** 4:17 183:13 196:6

203:5 207:11 211:17 213:4 258:22 259:6 275:20 276:17 277:6 286:6 288:22 289:4 303:6,8,9 311:12 **outcomes** 179:10 **outdone** 407:22 outlined 30:18 outreach 13:15 119:10 outright 116:5 outrun 215:5 outside 63:21 85:2 102:20 177:11,14 295:1 outstanding 9:20 320:8 over-delivered 273:21 over-index 186:22 over-the-air 276:16 over-the-top 180:21 overall 329:1 overarching 341:8 362:20 overcome 30:8 186:15 200:22 201:2 overhead 307:17.20 overjoyed 64:5 overleveraged 115:17 overleveraging 115:9 overlook 174:2 overseas 186:3 oversee 228:11 overseeing 365:9 overseer 362:20 oversight 363:3,6 366:9 overused 298:6 overwhelming 284:17 overwhelmingly 402:5 402:8 owned 42:13 44:7 48:6 48:9 52:17 56:3,18 82:21 86:9 87:6 108:3 131:6 132:3 156:21 156:22 157:21 174:13 195:18 196:20 202:19 202:20 203:2 211:6 212:15 217:13 270:9 297:14 352:8 372:6 379:10 384:13 owner 46:10 51:12 82:4 87:5 107:17 110:12 184:11,16 246:1,7,11 270:7 282:11 321:2 323:14,17 324:21 344:4 353:7,9 384:2 385:3,16 owner-operators 110:2 owners 56:10 101:6,6

108:16 151:19 157:22 158:6 164:8 209:20 209:20 234:16 267:9 289:11 321:19 330:4 330:6 349:21 359:21 360:9 384:9 ownership 9:1 40:6,7 41:6,21 46:7 47:15 64:19 153:14 154:7 158:17 169:7,17 170:5 173:21 175:9 177:6 182:12 218:10 225:17 254:4,18 304:8 321:5,21 322:5 322:13,16 335:5,11 337:7,10,14 338:6 342:4 346:15,19,21 348:20 349:1,13 350:18 351:14,18,19 352:4 353:10 354:7 354:16 360:17 371:7 382:13,21 385:6 387:6 388:16 ownerships 335:16 owning 159:6 191:18 365:10 369:6 372:10 388:20 owns 42:22 83:20 84:3 224:2 303:5 337:3 Oxendine 3:1 106:19 267:15,18,19,22 268:9 274:19 276:6 281:8,20 284:22 285:9 287:19 288:3 288:15 289:8 293:17 294:8,12 295:8,13,19 301:13,17 302:18 303:1 304:1 308:1,5 308:13 310:11 312:5 312:19

P P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

6:1

p.m 179:22 180:6,9,11 180:12 265:17,18 313:1,2 363:22 364:1 408:7,12 package 34:7 240:4 packed 35:19 packets 405:3 packs 6:17 page 13:10 Pai 1:17 4:3 6:20 7:1 9:11 15:2 16:13 17:19 25:7 47:4 52:2 68:5

169:8 182:2,22

263:16 375:10 406:11

407:9 **Pai's** 265:6 paid 53:9,10 60:4 71:19 71:20 142:18 192:3,4 198:22 241:12 247:1 283:16 288:11 291:17 295:7 302:21 379:15 386:13 painful 49:18 **Pandora** 350:14 panel 4:9,11,13,16,19 5:4,9,14 34:16 35:4,8 35:17 36:19 37:2,4,4 37:5,7 51:3 74:17 75:4 81:5,9,16 82:9 82:18 84:21 105:7 110:8 119:7 127:20 128:6 169:4 175:4 176:18 179:22 180:6 180:19 181:2,2 189:9 200:18 205:12 222:12 224:16 234:15 245:12 248:2,4 258:2 259:13 259:17 267:1 312:10 313:5 315:12 338:20 357:9 364:3.5.9.15.18 369:22 381:20 389:12 403:7,11,12,18 panelist 2:1,2,4,5,6,7,8 2:8,9,12,13,14,14,16 2:17,18,19,20,20,21 2:21,22 3:1,2,4,5,6,6 3:7,9,9,11 255:14 panelists 2:1 13:21 33:18 34:12 35:5 54:6 60:12 81:10 84:19 90:6 128:11,17 171:15 180:1 181:12 181:21 196:9 216:6 222:15,22 265:13 267:12 269:11 270:2 329:14 343:14 358:10 363:18 368:4 383:9 403:16 406:21 panels 6:18 8:18 9:2,22 109:2 222:3 248:13 290:20 321:1 396:16 paper 112:9,10 **papers** 30:21 **PAR** 392:1 395:4,9 parades 133:12 144:13 161:2 parent's 108:2 parents 107:19 **Parker** 399:3 part 14:5 17:1 18:10 23:16 26:1 32:18

77:6 108:7 113:9 119:1 130:14 140:17 173:8 177:13 199:8 200:12 201:6 206:13 206:15 211:5,7 217:3 226:19 231:16 234:16 235:21 247:10 262:8 273:11 314:4 322:16 323:14 327:10 355:21 356:13 357:3 360:12 362:11 377:3 386:10 396:6 part-time 52:7 PARTICIPANT 73:5,14 78:6 79:5 80:17 81:1 220:13 268:8 301:15 312:20 participants 284:8 378:11 participate 13:1 14:2 17:13 231:20,22 232:2,22 233:1 330:12,20 332:10 333:1 362:14 378:12 379:20 395:12 participated 175:3.17 184:3 231:12 251:17 314:9 participating 9:22 227:11 participation 13:4 25:15 367:5 403:21 407:12 particular 47:22 77:7 91:4 103:18 228:2 229:13 362:14 398:12 particularly 10:14 46:18 64:22 69:10 72:7 90:19 116:22 129:10 135:2,10 143:7 146:8 147:1 150:12 157:21 188:5 188:13 193:5 197:16 211:9 213:5 230:12 292:2 355:12 partner 75:3 84:9 178:15 179:8 185:16 187:10 199:3 283:18 307:15 308:9,18,19 314:17,17 333:8 339:5 341:7 345:7 346:11 366:11 372:22 393:4 401:12 partnered 122:8 401:7 partnering 308:8 partners 2:16 6:6 37:12

37:16 42:21 65:13

70:22 195:19 232:19

247:22 269:11 304:4

362:22 363:4 368:17 374:15 391:14 400:5 400:10 partnership 187:9 326:11 334:2 362:15 401:2 partnerships 13:20 188:15 211:11 215:7 327:19 parts 247:7 341:19 pass 334:16 339:9 354:1 passed 309:15 passing 380:4 passion 339:16 340:3 340:11,21 341:8 342:11 345:3 passionate 366:15 path 115:10 158:5 354:20 356:20 pathway 140:15 166:22 324:6,12 351:15 354:19 **pathways** 313:20 Patrick 116:16 287:3 Patrol 142:1 Paul 217:11 383:22 Paula 2:20 181:13 183:17 199:12 215:16 216:12 218:8,20 220:14 245:7 Pause 81:8 127:16,21 221:17 pay 11:21 59:22 61:11 67:6 71:20 75:7.18.22 76:18 101:8 136:14 146:21 160:2 164:5 168:9 186:4 208:1 217:11 232:9 233:4 235:4,18 247:2,22 251:14 252:11 278:2 282:7 337:12 362:2 376:10,10 401:18 paying 78:13 109:18 178:17 201:4 333:20 payments 78:11 **payroll** 163:16 PBS 183:12,12 pediatric 83:20 pediatrician 85:6 Pediatrics 83:21 **Pedro** 3:11 84:1 87:22 90:10 92:4 94:18 95:10 110:15 125:14 127:11 388:3,13 **peeled** 273:4 penalized 71:5,6 penetration 130:9

Pennsylvania 15:10 82:6 119:16 penny 193:12 perceiving 113:6 percent 71:21 85:13,15 108:15 125:22 174:12 193:12 196:20 204:9 205:20 206:2,17 208:7 252:5 280:3 282:9 290:12,12 296:20 337:15 347:14 347:15 349:9,9,10,11 350:9,10,22 379:6 391:18 percentage 283:7 284:17 **perfect** 21:19 26:14 70:13 95:22 127:22 129:2 131:16 133:22 134:17 263:20 394:20 **perform** 190:20 197:8 215:21 282:1,2 299:6 performance 65:2 272:20 284:7 performances 168:2 performed 273:18 performers 191:6 performing 191:15 **period** 9:14 14:14 43:22 104:8 249:11 252:13 256:11 334:13 353:13 370:12 permeated 355:20 permit 58:16,18 permitted 153:3.9 perpetual 169:21 **Perry** 3:1,1 42:10,14 43:3,4,8 45:20 54:7 54:13,15 55:2 56:14 56:22 57:7,18 58:3 60:13,16,18 61:1,6,18 63:1 67:8,11,21 71:7 71:9 72:2,5,22 75:6,8 75:12,19 76:5 77:15 77:20 78:4 79:20 80:3 80:19 109:12 115:8 232:8,12,16 233:7 234:18,20 235:2 249:21 persistence 215:4,5 person 36:8,9,10 55:17 65:15,17 94:8 113:12 152:1 182:7 252:12 253:15 266:13 275:1

339:10 365:5 376:9

379:1 394:9 398:17

108:13 114:12 362:10

personal 29:7 43:11

personally 14:7 63:18 63:19,19,20 247:5 279:10 314:8 321:14 333:18 **persons** 250:16 **perspective** 25:1 30:13 195:4 199:17 215:10 258:17 295:11 312:11 324:16 338:21 341:6 358:11 362:8 perspectives 320:22 persuade 187:22 Peter 217:11 **phase** 57:10 148:6 phenomenal 380:15 Philadelphia 82:5,6 Philanthropic 367:20 philanthropy 367:15 philosophy 309:20 Phoenix 290:10,11 **phone** 24:2 35:15 120:20 123:8 130:3 294:1 phones 286:19,22 289:16 296:6.12 phonetic 154:12 272:22 photograph 265:21 **phrased** 256:5 physician 83:20 pick 195:6 197:20 198:3 243:6 255:5 349:4 **picked** 207:3 **picks** 67:18 picture 206:12 402:11 **piece** 14:10 112:8,9 206:19 210:21 215:4 281:18,18 323:11 350:17 351:6 pieces 239:12 pike 173:11 pin 104:17 **pioneer** 51:6,7 pioneers 4:10 29:11 38:3,14,14,21 39:2 42:5,9 46:1,4,6 51:4 110:10 200:19 pipe 321:22 pipeline 321:6,7 331:7 331:15 333:16 397:1 398:3 **pitch** 152:9,12 191:19 198:8 pitched 169:8 pitching 152:18 **pivot** 167:7 189:1 place 13:6 35:17 58:17 78:4 169:12 180:1

182:9 203:11 209:12 247:16 250:1,16 265:2 317:7 348:9 397:15 405:14 placed 400:17 401:5 places 24:15 36:4 74:18 292:1 plan 247:16 248:8 267:15 280:10 282:10 297:4 **planned** 180:7 313:18 **planning** 9:7 223:14 plans 249:4 platform 86:21 98:19 100:21 205:3 258:22 259:6 289:14 293:7 295:17 311:14 platforms 82:22 100:5 123:6 124:14 151:6 166:1 183:14 189:11 189:13 192:11,12 193:6 210:7,19 289:1 play 68:7,16 70:20 153:12 194:17 256:21 288:14 350:3 played 42:10 285:17 **player** 156:7 **players** 263:17 playing 57:22 68:16 78:10 96:20 270:13 331:1 332:7 352:20 353:2 plays 194:19 pleadings 103:17 **please** 16:8 27:9,21 31:5,13 34:14 35:11 35:15 36:18 37:3 39:18 72:17 73:21 78:17 81:10,11,14 87:2 90:8 121:9 125:14 158:12 176:9 181:18 195:16 209:2 209:2,2 216:7 220:12 254:13 265:19 317:13 317:13 337:4 355:4 368:4 pleased 14:14 222:8 pleasure 15:7,13 43:13 313:10 384:1 396:5 pledge 7:15 54:22 55:11 **pledged** 56:4,5,18,19 57:11 **plenty** 45:17 plethora 395:6 **pluq** 158:9 312:5 plural 34:21 367:21 plus 71:20 278:2

T
pockets 282:11
podcast 122:22 124:8
288:11,18 289:13
290:14
podcaster 288:1
podcasters 303:7,11
podcasting 120:1 123:5
123:18 275:21 286:10
288:5 293:8 295:11
podcasts 214:11 287:5
288:8 304:8
point 11:14 36:20 38:6
55:7 59:11 65:14
74:15 77:16 79:11
93:3 111:9 114:21
119:17 151:7 157:17
167:6,7,15 178:21
204:3 206:4 212:5
217:4 219:4 223:13
228:6 237:16 238:15
246:2 252:7,8 254:11
259:20 295:15 307:6
307:9 325:10 334:10
341:5,12 343:20
351:13,17 356:22
358:7
pointed 11:9 15:22
196:10 249:20 339:7
points 21:20 26:19
395:2
pole 243:19
Police 142:2 184:14
policies 40:14,16 200:8
249:12 264:7 392:9
policy 30:12 103:2,12 172:7,8 223:14
233:13 366:7 367:17
381:12 382:12,13
383:16
political 302:12
politically 354:10
politicians 8:11 298:11
Polk 397:10
poof 32:19
pool 292:17 306:22
poor 187:13
popping 148:4
populace 217:9
population 90:20
110:16,17 182:17
187:6 207:2 227:20
290:6,7,15
populations 83:3
354:16
portfolio 203:21 273:19
portions 187:2
position 13:18 142:14
223:12 261:22

positions 322:6 positive 149:10 364:21 398:15 positively 319:17 possibilities 44:5 62:12 possibility 190:19 possible 6:19 41:3,5 166:2,13 272:11 299:8 383:15 388:18 possibly 12:4 340:19 **post** 395:4 post-roll 214:18 potential 11:10 211:1 228:9 230:14 281:15 339:5 341:7 352:18 potentially 174:17 225:20 246:5,11 power 11:10 22:18 70:2 91:13 101:14 110:5 131:3 132:4,4 155:16 155:17,22 156:4,5 174:3,10,20 196:22 217:17 218:6,19,20 312:10 336:6,7 388:14 power-packed 37:18 powered 103:6,8,22 powerful 110:9 115:11 226:8 PowerPoint 385:2,2 powers 174:12 PR 185:2 practical 32:13 306:2 practice 228:3 256:4 **practices** 27:5 333:12 367:12 392:5,6 practicing 85:6 **pre-roll** 214:18 preacher 53:20 precisely 40:14 predetermined 406:18 predominate 285:17 prefer 88:20 preferred 275:5 preliminary 273:9 **premise** 75:18 preparation 10:2 249:5 **prepare** 13:8 197:15 238:20 318:8 prepared 23:8 236:21 237:20 238:7 240:6 344:11 preparing 319:9 presence 10:22 88:6,10 present 1:15 3:13 109:3 261:13 326:16 364:16 365:4 370:1

315:7 378:2.3 presentations 372:1 presented 403:2 presenters 370:1 405:5 presenting 378:3 presents 336:9 presidencies 17:9 president 19:16 31:22 82:4,12 83:9 84:2 108:1,5 128:18,22 180:15 184:2,5,6,13 184:22 221:19 222:17 223:22 224:10 266:6 309:15 310:12 316:6 364:13 365:6,22 366:18 367:7 370:15 370:18 presidents 20:18 presiding 1:13 press 17:20 18:6,7 19:7 19:10,14 pressing 19:22 178:22 pressure 196:16 302:1 prestigious 366:12 pretend 254:1,4 pretty 22:3 23:5 132:13 176:19 241:18 263:11 279:21 356:3 379:5 390:10 392:18 404:11 prevent 288:6 previously 83:8 84:12 86:12 222:18 price 112:14 174:21 229:14 232:10 235:1 241:12 247:1 251:14 prices 88:17 229:11 307:8 primarily 60:9 356:14 382:11 primary 353:5 **Primo** 183:11 190:14 Princeton 368:3 **print** 338:3 prior 269:5,11 priorities 348:9 priority 7:10 262:15 325:14 347:18 348:14 private 35:5 62:20 139:10,16 226:4 229:8 230:21 231:1,9 231:12 236:5 285:17 291:1 292:15 301:3,6 301:10 305:19 306:15 347:2 348:16 378:4 privately 42:13 48:4 privately-owned 42:17 privilege 11:5 38:6,11 45:21 46:17 51:18

252:15 privileged 32:1 39:15 pro 257:6,7 **probably** 26:14 48:3 63:4 77:5 93:11 123:4 143:1 198:2 204:9 241:5 263:19 266:16 269:21 271:10,11 279:16 291:12,15 292:13,14 298:13 302:5 305:14 318:14 319:13 324:19 334:5 339:11 340:14 341:9 349:6 351:21 355:21 357:19 391:15 problem 31:8 44:13,14 60:17 70:7 72:19 73:3 73:12 75:10 81:1 104:14 142:6 220:16 263:6 292:4 321:20 335:14 372:7 **problems** 31:9 68:10 340:15 procedure 360:13 proceeding 259:22 proceedings 35:11 **proceeds** 98:7,10 process 13:8 14:15 49:19 50:3,5 59:9 61:22 63:5 141:18 142:4,15 144:2 225:1 225:2,4,8 226:3,18 231:2,6,9 232:3 251:8 251:9 253:5,12 261:5 264:15 298:18.19 302:10 305:18 331:12 362:21 384:12 386:2 400:21 401:19 processes 13:6 59:15 237:14 261:2 produce 94:6 133:5,11 144:17 145:11 149:6 159:10 296:10 297:3 303:17 368:17 396:14 produced 204:10 producer 185:10 producers 18:22 347:9 producing 133:14 168:14 188:18 product 94:5 148:21 149:6,11 151:6 188:19 191:13,19 208:10 233:5 291:7 303:14 production 366:10 399:19 productions 2:14 128:21 195:7 216:11

presentation 186:2

п				
	236:7	258:7,8 260:21	101:14 164:3,3	auglity 199:10 225:15
				quality 188:19 225:15
	productivity 50:9	264:16 293:12,13	184:12 210:22 227:18	quarter 97:10
	products 67:4,7 208:11	346:14	294:19 297:11 305:19	quarterly 347:11
	215:7,8	promoted 379:6	347:2 348:16 367:16	question 34:13,15 54:7
	professional 199:6	promoter 88:3	publication 42:22 56:10	55:10 73:21 74:1 75:5
	professionally 14:7	promotes 227:4	57:1	75:17,22 76:11 78:3
	professionals 135:9	promoting 10:19 234:2	publicly-held 230:3	78:16 84:17 90:9,10
	375:9 382:2 401:22	249:14	published 205:18	98:18,22 110:6
	profile 163:13 292:8	promotion 130:18	publisher 43:16	113:13,16 115:5
	profit 287:13	195:22	publishing 3:1 45:4	116:11 117:2,18
	profitable 338:13	promptly 179:21	56:4,7,8,9 57:2,11	119:7,18,20 151:13
	394:22	promulgating 41:6	pull 271:18	151:17 164:14 166:7
	programmatic 170:14	pronounced 318:19	pulpit 264:22	166:14,21 167:13
	programmers 150:21	319:6	pungently 205:15	168:21 170:15 171:14
	. •			
	161:10 195:7	properly 350:20	punishment 107:18	171:14 186:19 189:8
	programming 47:15	properties 42:14 62:5	purchase 71:15 116:4	192:16 197:12 210:17
	83:2 86:19 98:13	70:12 82:21 83:1	137:17 138:11 140:1	216:7,13 217:2,2
	121:13 131:19 132:14	152:14 173:17 225:19	231:16 329:22 388:14	219:13,21 220:11
	132:15,17 133:5,11	226:7 229:10 231:21	purchased 84:5 115:22	225:7 231:8 238:18
	133:18 135:1 136:10	232:10 235:17 236:20	136:7 388:4	238:19 244:16 245:7
	144:10,20 145:4,5,7,8	263:17 305:1 369:6	purchasers 251:15	245:8,21 248:20
	145:13 148:16 150:13	property 246:19 247:3	purchases 137:14	252:6 254:16 257:13
	153:9 154:2,3 157:18	proponent 233:9	163:4	258:13 259:3 285:1,7
	159:9,10,12,13 160:2	234:18 235:7,14	purchasing 58:10	285:9,15,16,20,20,22
	160:7,10,13 167:8,16	proposal 103:4,7	65:15 70:2 384:14	291:20 297:6,16
	167:19 168:6,14	proposed 12:16 264:17	purpose 143:3 150:7	302:19 304:6,15
	171:6 196:7 204:10	prospective 27:11	158:2 170:4 264:11	305:7 307:14 308:22
	212:1 268:17 275:16	protect 174:8	264:12 345:9	311:17 312:1 313:16
	275:18 277:9 289:21	protection 103:5	purposes 187:10	328:20 334:20 344:12
	296:1 323:15,19	protégée 316:8,14	pursue 45:3	352:4 353:18 357:7
	340:1 352:1	362:10		
			pursued 11:18 44:10	360:19,21 370:11
	programs 83:17 153:3	prototype 26:15	push 23:13 122:22	403:12,13
	153:10,20 167:14	proud 18:10 19:3 41:17	124:11 172:7 196:22	question's 346:21
	169:10 200:4 238:1	89:3 132:19 222:1	215:13 397:13 402:18	questioning 99:3
	258:5 262:22 318:5	prove 70:3,4,5 274:21	pushed 118:4 197:2	224:18
	319:8 320:14 325:18	proved 151:18	pushing 78:11 176:2	questions 19:14,22
	330:20 341:4 347:19	proven 235:19 274:22	put 32:8 33:6,12 34:3,5	20:8,10 24:3 34:12,17
	347:19 348:9,15	provide 27:8,22 83:1	52:10,13,14 58:17,17	34:20,20 35:1 36:21
	352:6,22 357:11	98:13 125:19 126:10	72:2 73:17 92:13	39:6 45:18 54:1 67:22
	362:10 363:5 365:18	126:16 139:16 150:18	104:17 108:12 122:20	72:11,15,16 90:4,5
	368:17 369:2,14	257:22 261:14,18	148:18 175:5,12	113:14 116:10 134:18
	391:11 392:22 393:7	287:5 331:20 332:6	182:8 194:13 204:15	151:13 157:14 158:12
	394:11	339:18 340:12,18	209:12 284:18 296:3	166:5,9 181:18,19,21
	progress 20:20 69:21	342:22 360:9 398:3	297:3 300:7,17 312:2	210:16 216:6,8 221:8
	177:2,7 399:9	400:7 401:17	322:22 324:15 326:21	254:12 267:14 268:7
	progression 194:16	provided 252:4	328:2,10 335:7	277:13 290:22 301:16
	328:17	provider 180:22 190:5	338:16 360:8 394:18	301:18,21 312:9
	prohibits 103:12	190:6 258:22 311:13	404:10 406:15	315:8,10 355:1
	project 44:19 307:22	providers 4:18 8:20	putting 9:12 185:17	360:18 362:7 363:12
	331:22	131:1 195:8 259:2,6	263:14 264:22 275:22	370:10
	projections 274:10	311:16	299:2 313:13 322:18	queue 113:16
	projects 157:10	provides 91:14 329:15	pyramid 393:8	quick 47:17 69:9 94:4
	prominent 11:20	330:18		151:15 176:12 255:13
	promise 12:7 14:17	providing 166:1 171:18	Q	403:12
	219:6,6	187:12 189:10 196:6		
	•		Q&A 129:4 156:9 166:6	quickly 7:16 8:3 9:17
	promising 367:10	222:3 269:7 288:7	Quadrennial 230:13	15:15 176:14 207:22
	promote 6:12 177:5	303:11	qualified 235:17 400:15	285:15 301:20 344:2
	179:3 190:12 236:17	public 8:4 51:13 74:6	qualify 332:16,21 333:1	quietly 359:14
		l	I	ı

quite 43:15 55:12,13 331:9 408:12 relatively 21:14 144:18 154:1 257:11 real 7:21 14:19 15:16 recruit 24:14,16 323:11 relevant 91:5 94:2,5,12 320:14 345:17 363:6 25:8 39:2 49:2 60:20 327:22 95:18,19 99:1 124:20 62:12 74:4 79:10 94:4 192:20 198:16 **quiz** 133:12 recuse 201:10 **quo** 27:4 123:7 151:15 160:18 red 37:1,3 reliable 67:6 quotes 217:19 385:3 204:5 220:16 253:19 **Redding** 21:3,13 relied 138:13 255:13 257:11 270:16 redistributed 118:13 religion 7:13 106:1 R 282:20 285:22 289:6 reduce 176:22 179:2 rely 212:7 redundant 318:10 race 7:12 20:11 24:12 348:1 remain 90:17 104:8 real-life 271:6 refer 367:8 189:7 95:22 122:16 **raffle** 92:18 reality 206:20 304:7,19 referenced 369:10 remains 108:18,19 rainbow 402:12 realization 19:17 referring 41:9 256:12 remark 125:11 realize 19:11 21:17 22:8 reflect 349:19 350:6 remarkable 18:3 19:16 raise 72:4 217:6 281:19 308:15 309:4 329:8 68:10 92:8 137:3 351:9 398:16 20:2 21:15 26:21 realized 20:22 21:12 reflecting 12:10 350:20 342:19 344:8 372:20 116:4 317:19 45:2 158:19 182:16 reflective 95:4,13 remarks 4:4,6,15 5:18 raised 218:17 220:16 250:19 373:21 reflects 33:3 8:10 26:4 176:12 264:21 raising 282:4 292:5,9 reason 88:15 89:2 regard 187:9 196:3 192:15 403:17 407:8 91:15 116:2 148:1 206:3 ramp 225:9 226:13 **remember** 63:9,13 241:5,7 243:21 259:9 regarding 119:21 126:1 134:8 138:17 ramps 396:22 ran 269:5 371:17 286:11 338:15 373:2 209:17 146:16 148:2 351:1 reasons 23:6 88:8 362:12 387:19,20 398:19 regardless 7:12 range 24:21,22 91:10 221:22 292:7 **region** 185:3 reminder 12:6 371:14 373:5 regional 88:11,11 92:7 reminding 23:13 ranked 70:14 402:14 94:18 138:21 140:6 removal 210:1,4 **ranking** 191:2 reassuring 191:10 ranks 18:16 401:8 rebuilt 388:5 184:8 remove 95:6 **Rapid** 385:9 recall 22:1 **rearets** 64:17 removing 209:18 regular 258:5 289:11 rapidly 8:4 receive 401:1 **renamed** 368:9 received 49:16 163:20 295:3 rent 146:21 rare 225:19 226:12 rarified 15:10 190:22 355:2 366:13 regulated 291:2 337:13 rented 326:20 Rashaan 2:13 367:7,21 367:16 regulating 235:16 rep 189:18 regulation 300:4 368:3 396:2 **receivers** 97:8.11 repack 177:22 178:1,7 178:12,18 rate 148:21 164:5 **receives** 196:19 337:20 rated 86:16 receiving 259:18 regulations 176:20 repaid 56:20 rates 150:18 210:6 recession 137:16 284:3 218:19 398:21 replay 303:17 rating 126:18 215:22 301:8 338:7 regulatory 192:5 198:7 replicating 288:7 ratings 69:11 70:2,15 recharter 7:16 215:10 358:21 359:2 replied 66:3 reinstate 151:20 152:2 160:5 172:17 173:1 rechartering 14:16 report 117:13 205:19 rational 253:15 reckoning 206:5 171:16 252:8 347:12 349:6 Raul 2:2 39:7 48:20 recognize 193:5 194:21 reinstated 353:3 **reporter** 185:10 reporters 349:16,17 62:1 63:17 206:8 257:3 399:13 reinstatement 171:20 re-air 168:10 403:18 **rejected** 169:13 399:6,10 reach 86:17 102:14 recognized 96:9 101:2 related 39:12 86:6 **reports** 30:20 191:13 237:14 325:21 represent 183:7 186:2 107:12 119:15 127:6 187:20 334:21 408:4 397:5 **relates** 24:12 263:8 266:8 396:10 146:20,22 186:7 recommend 73:7 relationship 56:2 149:7 representation 135:18 188:1 230:4 239:10 321:9 363:1 390:20 203:20 153:19 160:18 232:5 261:14 348:5 399:3 recommendation 159:5 232:6 233:3,6 252:10 representative 325:4 recommendations 8:2 252:17 280:18 298:5 reaches 147:20 347:7 74:2 179:10 203:18 404:22 representatives 222:2 reaching 95:16 186:15 355:22 relationships 144:16 304:16 314:1 read 109:19 163:8 recommended 298:21 159:20 160:14 274:3 represented 135:21 358:4 274:4,19 278:7 241:16 398:13 171:11 267:22 302:20 record 50:9 144:21 279:14 298:17,19 representing 44:1 89:8 315:3 329:8,9 172:6 180:11 235:20 299:1,12,18 315:19 represents 391:18 readjusting 258:10 **ready** 54:1 158:12 265:17 279:15 280:19 373:18 375:7 396:19 reprogram 354:3 199:8 200:16 202:9 299:3,13 313:1 397:6 401:14 republican 56:11 237:20 254:12 316:3 348:22 363:22 367:3 relative 273:18 348:17 repurpose 168:1

			439
004.47.40			
204:15,18	137:8 141:3 194:1	338:21 354:3	Russell 3:1 42:10 43:3
repurposed 205:5	244:20 248:9 303:17	role's 86:11	Ryan 124:6,7
repurposing 167:19	306:10 332:18 334:5	roll 229:2	
reputation 232:1	revenues 306:4 337:15	Ron 265:22 266:5 303:2	S
291:14	Reverend 60:22 61:1	314:6 324:19 355:7	S 307:2
requested 32:16	reversed 55:18	355:10,14	S&P 268:11
require 215:14 271:21	Review 230:13	RONALD 2:6,15	Sachs 73:11
363:6	reviewing 386:3	roof 123:9 229:13	sacrifice 64:22
required 241:2 343:11	revitalize 242:21	room 1:9 7:10 8:13	sacrificing 15:5
requirements 130:2	Revion 208:12	10:15,19 19:11 25:21	salaries 212:12
332:10	revolution 148:2	29:9,12 30:16 34:14	sale 98:11 101:11 138:2
requires 129:22 277:17	165:21 199:9	56:12 67:12 68:5	138:4 305:19
reruns 167:5	reward 242:11	85:17 104:11 125:12	sales 67:14 131:14
research 53:3 268:11	rewarded 71:5	152:2 179:19,20	161:21 162:1,3 169:5
333:13 391:1 395:5	rewarding 43:20 318:2	218:3 220:2,7,20	186:5,6 243:12,22
researchers 382:6	rewards 53:15	260:13,19 266:14,14	244:1,2,2 331:15
reserve 34:16	rich 164:10 267:19	266:17 269:19 270:9	340:1 350:4 399:17
reserved 376:6	richness 12:10	352:11 356:15 364:10	Salzman 63:15 64:7
Reset 170:13	rid 101:7	376:11 391:2 396:9	sampling 391:17,20
resource 140:11	ridiculous 395:2	397:18 405:7 408:7	San 80:6 129:14 132:5
resources 14:1 32:13	rights 261:9	roots 116:19	137:22 141:14 231:15
61:16 125:8 157:12	ripples 352:16	Rosales 3:4 316:6,16	sandwich 375:1
295:18 329:21 404:18	risen 18:15	317:13 322:11 334:20	Sanford 10:11
respect 36:18 249:21	risk 79:4 211:13 291:2	336:4 337:1,4 342:5	Sara 2:18 81:21 82:3
250:17	292:8	346:13 378:20	84:18 101:5,22
respected 43:2	risky 291:5	rough 212:8,10	109:13
respectively 368:2	Rivera 1:10 3:14 4:8 9:9	roughly 59:5 266:8	Sara's 85:2
respond 171:13	32:7 33:7,9 34:1 38:6	round 175:3 251:20,22	Sarah 1:12 3:16 4:2 6:4
response 136:15 211:5	40:15 52:3 81:9,19	403:7 407:1,21	7:2 10:5 114:14
333:4	82:1 119:19 127:17	rounds 251:21	122:20
responses 68:2,19 69:8	179:18 265:19 266:2	route 133:3,16 159:14	sat 321:1
329:13 334:11	313:12 381:15 397:10	160:17 168:18	satellite 186:4 190:5
responsibilities 363:1	406:6	row 19:10,13,20	192:12 276:17
responsibility 333:20	Riveras 308:15	Roy 372:6	satisfy 130:2 315:1
347:5 376:21	RLJ 291:11	rubber 148:8	332:22
responsible 297:10,11	road 22:21 148:8	rule 5:5 241:1 265:2	save 61:19 212:7
responsive 173:1	173:16 247:20 253:20	273:9 332:17 337:7	saved 56:16
227:20	322:2	337:10 341:1	saw 38:7 63:20 69:3
rest 28:5,6 203:4	roadblocks 102:22	rules 27:4,7 226:21	96:4 374:18 378:10
267:20	robbing 217:11	240:13 254:4 264:9	403:22 404:11
restaurants 290:16	Robert 107:3	264:18 335:5 338:6	saying 22:2 68:9 75:1
restrictions 256:20	Roberts 3:2,2 46:5,8,9	386:9	121:7 143:6 150:2
result 227:9 228:21	46:14,14,16 47:1,2,21	ruling 337:6	162:12 175:2 186:11
374:7	47:22 48:1,1,22 50:2	rulings 337:21	196:16 197:10,10
resulting 41:16 367:3	54:7,14 60:19,22 61:4	run 56:11 116:19 175:1	207:6 208:20 215:16
results 80:8	70:8,10 79:10,19	236:9,16 237:21	217:9 257:6 266:12
resume 199:12	109:12 222:7 381:19	257:14 269:4 281:5	271:11,14 274:2
resumed 180:11 265:17	robust 81:5 348:17	318:8 319:9 327:22	277:5 289:11 311:2
313:1 363:22	Rock 94:14	331:9 335:12 345:20	318:6 324:6 332:1
retained 385:13 401:15		347:2 384:13	
	Rodriguez 3:3 180:13		355:14 395:9
retention 367:12 rethink 354:2	180:14 189:8 192:7	running 35:22 38:9	says 55:5,11 80:15,20
	195:14 199:11 205:10	60:14 96:1 104:20	106:13 196:18 272:3
retirement 184:10	210:15 215:1 220:12	136:6 163:22 168:19	288:17 303:3,6
return 144:3 153:22	221:14	291:22 323:15 332:18	320:20 328:20
returned 284:5	role 5:10 16:5 42:10	341:20 343:18	SBA 137:20 138:22
returns 281:4	50:13 69:4 89:13	rural 48:11 50:15 103:2	139:21 140:12 332:17
revenue 59:19 62:12	153:12 194:17,19	103:12	SBICs 285:12,13
68:20 74:9 131:14	248:16 285:17 323:18	Rush's 242:19	SBS 39:8 42:7
II	I	I	I

scale 275:1 259:8 302:14 340:16 129:18 164:2,3 185:3 shepherd 261:5 **scatter** 213:17 340:18,20 342:22 190:1 227:17,21 **Sherman** 2:16 37:7,11 350:21 355:10 393:22 scenarios 143:5 364:19 404:9 39:19 40:2 47:3,18 segment 122:14 197:21 served 8:1 18:20 19:15 **scenes** 347:9 52:1 64:3 69:10,22 schedule 16:7 35:19 segments 187:4 53:21 83:8 223:18 70:11 76:11,11,15 313:9 404:11 seldom 225:16 237:13 366:8 379:11 78:9 304:3 355:5 **Sherman's** 76:13 scholarships 394:6 serves 261:19 **select** 400:18 401:17 selected 386:3 **service** 51:13 60:5 **Sherry** 385:9,15 386:4 school 2:10 11:18 49:4 selectively 307:11 116:5 332:14 386:7.13 **self-** 235:15 services 67:4,7 148:8 shift 78:22 79:8 105:6 52:7 180:16 261:12 270:17 326:12 327:20 self-doubt 23:12 155:10 195:22 143:9 367:17,22 393:2 self-finance 141:6 serving 95:11,12 **shine** 258:6 116:22 174:5 220:19 shining 234:12 258:1 401:18 self-interest 206:6 self-regulating 235:16 schools 327:4 262:7 350:19,22 **Shooting** 261:16 **science** 368:1 351:7,7 366:21 388:9 ShootingStar 3:7 32:1 sell 65:16 108:9 123:1 365:7 370:19 scrambling 206:18 133:6 186:6 190:4 session 8:11 318:17 scratch 299:7 236:7 253:22 254:1,1 sessions 173:6 318:11 **Shop** 205:8 **Scripps** 2:17 315:22 309:17 310:8 372:4 343:13 shoplifting 69:19 324:22 325:14 347:10 372:12 set 12:3 24:9 170:1 **short** 9:13 19:18 22:10 347:12 379:1 seller 63:14 138:14,15 195:18 206:17 299:4 59:1,12 60:15,20 scripts 21:5 138:19,20 139:1 327:2 373:22 398:7 67:21 102:16 105:12 scrutiny 17:21 21:17 227:5 232:6 233:4 214:9 257:13 **sets** 247:10 241:16 242:12 246:11 setting 25:20 238:15 short-203:8 218:21 seal 252:18 **seller's** 251:16,16,18 short-sightedness 331:22 search 148:3 345:7 selling 58:9 243:15 **settle** 38:15,15,16 215:6 395:6 254:5.7 258:14 **settled** 96:22 **shorter** 26:15 34:4 seasoned 369:5 288:21 settlement 108:8 shortest 336:2 seat 148:15 319:20 selves 114:12 seven 105:19 222:4 shorthand 375:2 semester 326:15 244:10 325:18 327:14 **shot** 64:6 213:18 215:7 320:3 seats 265:19 376:5 **seminar** 104:20 370:2,2,9 246:13 287:18 346:10 second 35:2 44:15 52:5 send 34:20 216:16 seventh 313:5 shout 157:5 58:14 79:21 81:9,15 250:7 394:10 **sexual** 7:13 **show** 94:7,9,13 107:12 87:5,11 90:22 91:17 sends 218:22 **SGL** 300:16 195:12 204:16 266:19 93:18 104:17 107:16 senior 138:16,18 **shaking** 315:16 372:18 **Shamrock** 371:16 126:18 142:21 157:3 139:17 224:10 275:4 **showed** 205:19 323:7 281:17 282:22 369:4 163:6 191:22 201:22 372:5 373:11 **showing** 16:2,19 231:16 233:12 248:21 372:21 373:1 376:17 **share** 6:11 26:4 30:20 shown 266:21 **shows** 17:4 94:6 133:12 251:20,22 279:17 393:1 31:16 32:11 43:6 285:9 306:10 307:13 sense 230:7 241:20,22 45:11 47:1 50:7 57:15 144:17 160:21 168:3 349:5 373:17 392:15 247:4 275:22 318:5 57:20 63:3 96:13,15 168:19 303:14 402:11 secondly 45:5 149:16 330:15 394:20 110:3 123:2 126:3 **Shukla** 3:5 83:16,19 sensitive 157:20 181:3 183:4 186:17 85:4,5 90:10 91:6,7 233:3 **seconds** 363:13 sent 80:4 141:16 187:20 189:11 208:6 92:3 93:1,2,5 94:21 104:13 105:11,12 231:18 320:14 360:20 289:7 307:17,17 **Secretary** 19:14 42:21 sector 11:11 12:7,9 sentiment 355:20 332:12 406:22 106:13 121:5,6 separate 137:14 311:12 shared 85:2 365:1 62:21 132:5 185:4 126:14,15 127:10 281:12,13,14 322:22 sharing 11:22 108:22 **Shukla's** 118:18 September 377:14 169:5 205:2 307:18 side 27:11,12,17,18,19 **secure** 112:3,3 **security** 36:3 141:19,22 384:4 307:19.19 35:12 78:21 88:2 series 167:8 168:15 **Shark** 378:2 107:5 130:12 133:19 180:5 **Shaw** 3:4,4 51:8,9,11 393:6 159:9 162:3,4,7 **seeing** 18:4 31:17 179:12 198:15 214:17 serious 40:11 60:10 51:19 52:1,16 60:22 166:21 171:6 172:7 65:8,9 76:15 114:11 179:7,7 192:4 197:2 245:4 257:7 259:3 155:20 264:2 350:15 seriously 23:21 256:15 shed 305:1 212:17 233:13 300:21 seek 45:7 57:10 sermon 61:2 **sheet** 339:19 301:1,9 323:19 333:7 seeking 312:2 383:17 **serve** 32:2,4 33:3 42:20 **sheets** 307:10 333:8 343:5,6 356:16 47:7 53:18,19 67:3 **Sheila** 2:6 181:13 362:4 seen 15:19 120:2 136:19 197:4 253:11 95:10 96:7 118:1,17 184:21 188:11 sides 258:17

		İ	İ	1
	Sidney 107:6	skew 282:15	126:5 366:4 397:3,13	196:7 244:20 400:11
	sight 350:19	skill 299:4 327:2 398:7	397:19	sources 205:22 329:15
	sighted 203:9	skills 343:11	society 292:6	South 3:5 42:16 46:12
	sights 45:10	skin 273:5	socioeconomic 20:11	94:19 385:9
	sign 64:11 142:5	skip 65:13	24:13 367:19	South-Asian 83:18
	146:22 159:6 236:18	sky 296:11	software 311:14	Southwest 15:12
	signal 59:3 74:13	Skyview 295:20	sold 94:20 98:5 162:9	space 29:13 30:15
	101:12 130:9 165:4	Slade 110:1	237:6 240:14 288:22	103:17 104:2 134:22
	218:22	slam 274:1	356:8 372:13	135:9 140:10 161:8
	signed 63:18,18,19,20	sleep 295:6	solely 52:18 191:17	175:19 177:1,5,6,18
	64:11 194:14 204:21	slide 389:5	212:7	178:4 179:3 186:6
	significant 244:19	slight 32:18	solid 145:10	192:8 267:10 288:20
	248:8 376:13	slighter 282:15 Slim's 130:15	solutions 7:21 8:4 31:9	324:7 336:14 339:2,2
	significantly 186:22 303:10	Sling 210:12	31:10 256:17,18 solve 142:6 320:1	346:4 408:3 Spanish 2:2 39:8 40:13
	similar 109:8 140:14	slip 254:15	321:20 335:14	41:16 64:13,15 92:15
	158:5 223:5 320:10	slot 22:5	somebody 101:12	98:15 130:13 183:10
	Simmons 258:21,21	slow 263:19	111:12 138:16,18	290:17 388:8
	259:9,15	slower 207:18	166:10 193:13 238:21	Spann 3:6 82:19 87:3,5
	Simms 310:22 311:1	slowing 229:18 263:18	263:4 294:13 321:15	87:21 95:2 99:12,15
	simple 49:2 74:4	slowly 297:15	339:16 340:2 341:13	107:15 109:22 113:19
	298:16	small 13:18 21:14 48:11	341:21 346:4 359:11	113:22 114:4,6,9
	simplified 160:6 298:6	50:15 51:6 59:7 62:21	somebody's 334:4	115:12,15 116:6,8,12
	simply 19:1 68:8	67:16 71:17 72:7,7	something's 107:21	117:9 122:7,19
	282:15 299:11 338:12	73:8 88:7 107:6 111:1	somewhat 55:17 56:2	123:12,21 124:15
	394:18	111:8,11 131:5	141:8 169:13 175:10	125:2,5,22 127:11
	Simultaneous 76:12	137:22 138:21 161:16	Sook 232:9 234:18	222:6 223:5
	123:16 287:16,20	172:2 186:19 193:19	249:21	spanned 17:8
	sincere 236:2	195:5 205:2 210:5,14	soon 151:13 229:18	Spark 77:4
	single 17:15 23:11	270:6 278:18 279:22	307:6 326:7 368:9	Sparks 184:11
	151:9 190:5 279:16	285:11 286:1,2,16	sooner 336:20	speak 29:8 79:3 113:17
	319:8 337:13 354:7 sir 72:1,22 73:20 75:8	291:5 292:4 294:13 294:14 314:12 315:1	soothing 91:13 sophisticated 278:19	113:19 176:8 197:21 310:18 320:9 324:4
	77:19 78:14 80:2	329:22 330:4,6	279:8,21 280:14,15	324:13
	221:5 303:20	332:16 343:9 345:12	sorry 60:13 93:1 121:5	speaker 15:8
	SiriusXM 126:17	345:18	134:6 210:3 265:20	speaker's 35:3
	sit 19:10 64:3 109:2	small/medium-sized	287:19 383:4	speakers 6:17,18 349:2
	157:5 220:2 283:10	279:3	sort 22:7 23:12 24:4	405:4
	337:16	smaller 88:5 115:3	102:16 117:21 134:19	speaking 11:5 40:15
	sitting 19:13,19 100:9	140:5 198:6 278:17	135:12 140:10,11	76:12 113:20 123:16
	158:4 163:15 175:7	297:2 304:12 326:18	150:4 158:7 172:8	181:17 222:4 287:16
	209:5 221:21 258:16	336:17 357:19 394:12	177:15,19 178:2,10	287:20 306:2 316:13
	288:17 371:3 373:3	smallest 297:1 352:17	178:19 211:11 213:5	396:6
	situation 113:3 187:17	smart 147:17 290:8	213:15 215:16 236:6	speaks 16:20
	192:21 212:7 234:8	291:6,22 333:15	272:1 273:8 281:10	special 6:9 8:8 12:7
	237:19 238:8,21 258:19	smartest 162:2 266:13 smiled 372:17	284:17 295:18 298:14 299:20 311:11 318:6	120:21 252:14 373:21 specialize 8:22
	situations 234:7 253:7	SMULYAN 3:6 317:11	358:16,20 390:7,20	specialized 301:3
	278:15	317:14 319:16 330:14	sorts 361:15	specials 168:19
	six 48:17 49:12 61:9	334:3,7,17 339:10	Soul 153:4,4	specialty 89:17
	64:9 111:1 273:20	341:11 342:8 344:2	sound 100:13 281:16	specific 90:5 173:12
	280:2 335:6 385:14	352:3 353:8,12,14,17	288:10 348:22	289:19,21 295:16
	386:7	353:21 354:4,20	sounded 255:18	296:8 322:13 325:7
	six-year 49:18	358:12,15 359:8	sounding 100:12	329:5 400:1
	Sixty-five 379:5	360:3	sounds 135:5 140:10	specifically 97:8 130:1
	size 284:18 291:16	soap 160:7	143:2 212:9 223:4,8	130:4,12 222:13
	292:9 303:11	social 30:21 90:13	267:15 390:10	269:16 324:13 356:16
	sizeable 240:11	100:19,20 124:18	source 118:15 194:1	specifics 322:12
- 11		,		· •
		,		

II			
spectrum 103:10	358:8,10 363:8	175:8,9 220:20	383:12 388:16 393:11
129:17,22 131:8	standard 165:17 272:1	244:16,19 245:1	storm 282:12
•		*	story 11:22 29:14,14,15
134:21 155:8,11	standing 61:8 313:7 343:18	266:9 311:6 326:11 327:21 388:6	1
157:15 166:2 398:16			39:18 47:17 51:21
402:12	standpoint 59:10	stated 331:8	59:12 98:9 177:18
spectrums 131:1,3	101:17 107:13 125:4	statement 51:21 52:10	185:21 223:5,8
155:12	154:21 358:21	52:12 67:9 175:6	312:15 338:18 383:6
spells 315:4	stands 366:2	220:22 301:20 302:19	383:11,20 385:5,6
spend 16:14 159:22	Stanford 393:2	303:19 359:6	387:12 391:22
162:19 324:22 325:2	staple 133:12	statements 301:14	storyteller 47:16
spends 119:9	star 8:14,14 261:17	states 1:1 42:15 46:12	storytelling 288:18
spent 64:8 185:9 206:2	Starks 1:20 4:7 10:18	48:2,9,17,17 130:6	stranger 316:4
322:9	25:14 28:11,13 29:1	183:15 186:5 197:12	strategic 223:14 307:20
spigot 207:22	31:13 407:11	244:22 266:10 316:18	308:7 367:3
spin 225:19 230:19	start 8:8 13:19 14:15	statistics 171:18	strategically 304:13
250:21	35:20 36:19 62:18	stats 395:6	308:9,18,18,19
spinning 238:21 252:21	66:16 69:6 84:21 85:3	status 24:13 27:4 47:14	Strategies 1:3 3:10
spinoff 234:22 264:17	91:6 99:9 105:11	stave 171:2	222:17
359:15 360:7 385:15	107:7 110:14 125:14	stay 53:1 91:5,17 94:1,4	strategy 148:11 246:20
385:22	129:11 138:14,15	165:6 198:20 217:12	247:12,17 328:14
spinoffs 231:13 240:18	146:4 179:21 181:15	220:21 239:14 313:9	369:17
242:6 307:7 359:3	189:15 195:10 206:21	389:5 401:20 408:7	stream 59:19 121:22
385:21	207:10 223:1,6	stayed 108:4 364:9	122:2 131:14 141:4
spirit 333:22 342:6	226:15 239:18 248:7	staying 165:14,16	155:11 296:14 306:11
spoke 7:8 259:17	258:10 298:7 301:21	220:17	364:10
272:14 273:4 318:22	307:11 312:14 313:4	steadily 99:2 392:2	streaming 120:3 121:20
343:3,14 381:16,19	317:11 318:13 321:6	steal 122:18 279:19	123:19 135:11 145:21
397:21	321:21 325:17 327:10	steer 367:2	147:2 148:1,7 151:9
spoken 378:10	340:2 341:14 342:14	Stellar 133:12,20 153:3	155:9,10 287:10,11
sponsor 367:4	365:5 374:6 375:2	168:1	295:11
sponsors 290:3 374:19	377:6	step 13:3 59:13,13	streams 74:9
379:13	started 37:7 41:8,11,20	106:7 219:9,10 228:8	street 1:9 78:21 166:21
sponsorships 379:18	43:16 49:21 52:6,9,20	233:12 249:9	341:13 349:8
sporting 149:17,20	54:18,20 63:5 81:12	Stephens 236:10	strength 18:17
sports 185:7 205:8	81:15 88:7 89:9 94:7	steps 24:4 99:7 374:5	stretch 278:12
269:17	107:17,20 109:8	Steve 46:8,13,16,20	Strickland 18:19
Spotify 119:22 350:14	122:8,10,14,20	50:20 54:13 74:3	strikes 16:4
spread 178:16 289:15	127:18 129:9,17	109:11 222:7 381:19	strive 23:10 149:9
spreadsheet 298:16	144:6,9 161:16	Steven 3:2 79:17	212:22
spring 13:2	164:18 189:22 243:14	stick 247:6 248:2,7,10	strong 50:9 228:14
Sprint 48:18	250:8 256:9 266:2	stock 229:11,14 275:5	280:9 338:10 381:13
spun 236:20 240:12	286:19 325:18 326:10	309:17 310:8	stronger 361:11 369:8
spur 7:21	344:14,20 355:13	stood 87:14	strongest 39:13
spurs 402:20	369:21 370:20 390:2	stop 37:3,4 79:17	structure 255:11
square 294:3	398:20	100:15 323:13 350:12	257:16 275:4 277:3
SRB 2:6 184:22	starting 185:8 190:2	350:13,19	373:9
SSAs 169:15 170:3	195:10 219:4 267:5	stopped 22:7,7	struggle 188:21
St 49:10,11 383:22	283:6 315:13 374:8	store 69:13,16 296:11	struggles 319:5
Stacey 18:14	381:14	stores 290:14	struggling 330:1,7
staff 7:9 9:15 10:12	starts 325:11,12 345:7	stories 4:10,11,13,17	students 187:13 367:10
36:8 260:7 350:4	377:14	5:7,12,14 20:7 26:22	400:12,15
407:19	startup 61:7,12 279:22	29:10 30:5 31:16	studies 171:22
stage 15:8 176:14,15	292:13 311:6	32:11 45:11 50:7 54:9	studio 388:6
291:9	startups 62:3	82:8 95:14 96:16	studios 147:11
stake 167:18	starving 217:10	109:14 110:10 128:13	study 40:12 378:1
stakeholders 367:2	state 40:4 42:21 44:2	180:20 183:1,4 339:1	stuff 62:15 108:13
stance 40:8 stand 277:7 341:3	46:13 51:7 53:22,22 64:18 119:13,14	349:17 358:8 364:5 370:4,7 382:18 383:7	147:3 194:5 212:12 289:6 293:20 300:6
3tanu 277.7 341.3	04.10 118.13,14	370.4,7 302.10 303.7	203.0 233.20 300.0
II	1	ı	ı

311:15 265:2 switch 128:15 140:9 226:3 236:3 238:12 suggestions 102:18 **subcarrier** 97:5,7,9 216:19 243:8 248:10 249:18 Subcommittee 404:5 313:20 switched 104:6 262:4 263:3 267:2,13 subject 303:6 330:8 summarize 156:10 sworn 28:22 268:5 275:7 276:22 355:13 175:2 symbiotic 195:19 277:11 310:14 349:17 subordinated 275:4 summer 12:19 **symposium** 1:4,9 6:8 374:20 375:20 378:18 **subs** 207:9 7:3 10:13 17:2 32:19 383:21 392:19 404:1 **summit** 393:2 subsequently 183:13 **Sunday** 66:22 38:21 40:1 263:21 405:9 408:7 subsidies 354:5 357:4 403:21 407:9 talked 12:20 54:6 65:22 super-serve 197:22 subsidize 354:8 70:11 108:12 114:14 super-serving 51:16 407:19 subsidized 187:12 Superadio 86:6 symposiums 233:20 145:20 156:20 170:16 substance 219:21 supersedes 341:9 **syndicate** 133:6 296:2 192:20 233:15 239:5 **succeed** 190:21 237:2 supply 22:10 102:16 syndicated 94:5 135:2 257:4 259:18 262:9 283:5 340:11 347:21 support 68:6 84:7 150:11 161:2 262:11,16 267:5 syndication 133:4 309:3,7,20 310:13,20 succeeding 209:5 113:5 136:11 151:3 success 4:10,11,13,17 170:13 171:19 172:1 143:13,15 145:2 311:18 318:16 319:21 5:6,11,14 10:13 18:16 172:12 190:13 192:5 syndicators 150:21 330:10 340:4 343:10 343:16 351:1 352:13 26:22 29:10,14 32:11 198:7 210:9 216:20 159:15 74:5 82:8 96:15 98:8 263:1 303:13 329:16 **Syracuse** 327:19 356:17 374:19 375:10 128:13 151:19 180:20 336:16 357:9,10,12 system 2:2 40:13 41:16 375:12 398:18 183:1,5 200:19,20 357:16 367:4 374:14 49:19 120:1 181:6,6 talking 31:7 59:8 62:18 209:3 217:7,8 235:20 192:15,16 196:11 supported 160:9 77:8,11 95:5 143:21 245:13 246:18,18,21 368:14 379:18 254:8 296:3 332:1 166:18 177:19 183:1 247:2 248:21,22 supporting 160:1 **systems** 39:8 84:3 194:4,18 196:5 203:9 182:13 195:18 295:20 249:1,8,14 336:11 supportive 279:12 206:7,21 207:10,20 339:1 349:2,3 357:21 283:18 363:11 327:6 207:21 208:8,19 364:5 370:4,7 383:6,7 **supports** 87:18 160:21 214:1 220:1.8 235:12 T 383:12,20 384:21 351:14 368:16 242:18 245:19 248:14 385:5 387:12 388:16 supposed 285:2 table 25:2 50:17 108:18 248:15,19 249:12 391:21 393:10 401:2 surfaced 385:15 108:20 206:9 211:21 250:3 254:20 258:3 successes 31:16 surprise 29:17 231:22 232:1 234:3,4 260:14 262:19 263:22 177:11,16 183:2 surprised 167:13 262:16 319:20 320:3 264:3 270:12 275:2 390:13 surprising 67:12 324:15 335:7 363:11 285:21 286:9 289:9 successful 27:13 41:10 survey 391:15 392:1 378:13 405:4 310:18 312:14 335:1 42:17 44:11 45:3.9 395:4.9.13 tables 260:17 344:10 365:15 375:2 106:4 144:22 190:2 survival 76:22 **Taiwan** 96:18,19,21 389:19 396:12 **survive** 109:15 131:2 209:1,10,14,14 taken 40:8 53:16 64:2 **Tallahassee** 219:15,19 217:19,19 234:3 141:7 149:19 74:6 133:2,17 238:17 **Tank** 378:2 239:13 245:11,15 **suspect** 329:3 250:1 256:15 320:13 tap 8:18 321:9 267:8 269:8,8 282:19 sustain 204:6 351:17 target 90:5 102:8,14,21 298:21 314:1,11 sustainability 267:7 takes 9:2 51:11,12 75:7 103:11 110:19 197:21 316:7 322:14 324:8 205:4 234:15 328:9 214:1 216:13 400:1 278:13 328:6 332:2,3 339:16 sustained 203:12 389:7,8 targeted 159:12 202:19 345:5 352:9 358:11 **Sutter** 3:7 4:7 5:19 9:18 talent 24:14 188:18 targeting 188:8 295:15 363:2,5 385:6 396:18 31:20,22 261:16,16 195:6 199:4 347:20 **Tarlek** 161:22 successfully 386:6 263:5,10 269:10 348:17 403:22 tax 41:9 62:1,3 151:18 **sudden** 148:4 253:13 313:13 316:22 357:14 talented 15:15 280:6 152:2,19 154:5,13 294:16 365:6 370:14,16,22 321:11 325:5 368:20 156:13 169:11,15 **suddenly** 146:20 380:4,10 386:15,18 171:17 172:1,2,14 400:11 suffering 28:2 386:22 387:5,8 talk 17:19 30:19 43:5 182:8 218:11 242:15 403:20 404:7,16 242:17,22 254:18,21 sugarcoat 292:2 46:5 50:6,18 53:12 suggest 74:21 159:20 405:20 406:15 408:9 54:12 59:13 62:1 66:5 257:3,6,8 258:13 216:21 255:15 315:5 Suzanne 2:11 37:21 67:13 68:1,1,19 69:7 259:4 264:6,18 388:12,21 318:14 319:18 320:5 suggested 255:18 82:5 95:8 100:1,18 **SW** 1:9 suggesting 289:18 110:13 116:17 122:12 332:6 342:18 353:1 293:18 294:9,22 swap 228:15,18 134:20 135:13 158:16 taxpayer 255:7 taxpayers' 118:12 sweater 183:8 314:5 200:11,15,16,19 suggestion 169:14 **sweet** 214:9 201:7,21 222:11 **Tayloe** 169:2,2 170:6,9

II.			
254:14,14 255:5,13	361:14,18 365:9,10	theaters 147:10	times 19:12 44:14
256:4	365:11,13 366:10	theme 110:8 180:20	95:17 205:6 237:7
teach 339:19,20,21	369:1,6 371:4,17,20	237:18	241:18 361:11 369:10
342:2 375:17	372:11 385:13,19	themself 175:20	400:9
teaching 322:7	390:6	theory 228:3	tiny 162:9,11 206:19,19
team 9:16 10:3 18:10	televisions 372:13	they'd 80:7	tip 391:17
19:3 34:2 80:4 184:11	tell 19:18 20:13 22:16	thick 147:6	tired 244:6
200:12 238:19,22	30:5,6,6 39:5,17	third 45:6 157:13	title 184:3 224:9
239:2 240:1,2 315:9	46:21 47:16,17 53:14	285:15,16 290:6	TMT 2:22
366:9 386:7 388:13	54:8 60:6 86:14 95:14	314:7	today's 4:11,13,17 6:8
389:7,8,10 404:10	109:14 112:8 133:20	Thirdly 233:4	6:17 8:6 9:22 10:2
tech 296:3	146:12,19 176:4,5	thirds 98:20	12:12 51:2 82:8
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
technically 171:16 256:3 381:9	220:14 221:9 234:22	thorough 377:20	128:13 180:21 229:1
	248:4 323:9 349:7	thought 38:19 56:15,17	357:4 403:21
technologies 189:4	350:2 358:9 382:18	59:5 60:22 110:9	told 26:9 56:17 69:20
293:8 301:22	383:11 390:9 392:16	115:7 190:17 209:6	161:17 162:6 202:2
technology 90:13 122:2	telling 161:1 312:15 tells 394:19	216:18 243:3 323:10	273:11 382:21
128:3 165:7,16		353:1 355:16 361:2,3	ton 239:22
186:13 187:1 275:19	ten 34:16 44:13 59:17	373:3 374:4	tons 377:20
276:22 302:7 311:13	88:13 97:21 138:3,4	thoughts 229:5 281:7	tools 339:18 340:12
339:22 360:22,22 361:13 367:13 399:22	168:2 182:14 223:18 251:18 318:21 322:20	thousand 102:6 thousands 17:10 89:15	top 8:20 58:8 69:14 121:2 187:3 191:6
		threatened 98:21	
tee 334:11 339:7	326:2 338:7 348:10		210:19 211:1 232:17
teens 280:4	377:13 389:20	three 10:16 18:11 27:7	233:7,7 260:15
telcos 48:11	tend 225:15 336:19	45:3 48:7,7 57:15,20 57:21 70:14 79:12	276:17 288:6 292:22
telecom 128:7 224:11	348:18		303:8 322:3 325:12
314:10 366:6 381:3	Tennessee 51:8,10,14	80:4,22 85:9,13 89:4	334:16 347:13
381:12 383:4	51:17 53:19,22	100:9 105:8,13 110:2	topic 25:12 26:17 28:7
telecommunications	220:15	129:8 131:15 137:17	46:1 96:3 137:6
2:5 11:6 47:13 61:15	term 218:16 249:13	183:10 184:8 186:1	224:20 262:9 290:20
74:20 269:17 366:3	298:6,6 314:7	192:11 219:10 222:5	total 53:8 178:2 391:18
366:19	terms 38:16 41:6,11	229:3 235:6 248:12	totally 101:4 139:20
Telemundo 184:9	46:6 50:9 68:2 74:14	251:21 270:11 271:21	143:19 295:2 362:17
telephone 48:9,13,16	75:5 108:17 115:8	276:10 281:6 302:4	388:5
teleprompter 21:8	123:5 129:10 143:12	327:15 329:10 332:11	totem 243:19
televised 161:2	145:12 147:19 150:16	332:12,18 333:5	touch 91:18 272:20
television 4:14 8:19	159:11,18 178:2	334:1 368:18 369:4	touchdowns 38:9
27:17,19 40:22 41:19	187:1 200:3,12 207:21 211:19 212:4	369:13 375:12 384:17	touched 41:4
46:7,11 75:10 77:16		392:20 three- 273:8	touching 28:4
121:21 128:13,15	226:11 230:8 236:20		tough 50:8 60:6 74:10
129:2,13 132:16	255:19 256:13 258:10	three-and-a-half 271:10	280:16 287:22 305:22 335:8
133:2,7 134:22 135:22 138:11 139:4	258:11 278:3 284:6	three-year 334:13 thrilled 6:8	tower 48:1 55:20 59:18
	299:11 311:2 337:17		73:16,17 74:7,13
143:10 145:22 147:10 147:12 150:22 151:4	391:13 terrestrial 99:6 100:13	thriving 83:2 throw 117:10 135:12	94:21 111:2 146:21
157:4,22 169:6,17	terrific 7:9 16:4 180:7	243:3	164:5 165:2,3 178:2
173:21 175:8,9	389:21 407:19	throwing 250:9	178:11,18
173.21 173.8,9	tethered 146:2	thrown 209:4	tower's 73:16
185:9 186:21 219:14	Texas 83:11 137:22	THURSDAY 1:6	towers 59:17 73:2
224:2 229:2,17 230:8	138:22 244:11 272:10	tickets 92:18,19	112:11,14 388:6
240:11,20 243:18	371:5	tie 232:12,12,13,13	town 66:21 223:11
244:10 263:18 276:5	thanked 389:13	234:19	224:9 349:15 350:5
304:10 306:7 318:19	thanked 369.13	tied 332:2	track 144:21 235:19
326:2,8,17,18 335:9	thanks 7:3 9:18 10:16	tied 332.2 tiers 275:6	279:15 280:19 299:3
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
336:5,14,17 349:21	26:3 84:19 88:1	tight 404:11 Tim 323:6	299:13 347:10 356:3
350:12 355:18 356:5	109:17 176:11 179:14	timeframe 48:6	tracks 22:8 trade 129:15
356:16,21 357:6,16 357:17,22 358:2,4	179:15 182:1,22 267:19 320:15 408:9	timerrame 48:6 timer 36:17	trade 129.15
337.17,22 330.2,4	207.19 320.13 400.9	tiller 50.17	Hadition 293.19
II	1	1	1

II .	1	1	1
traditional 144:6 160:6	236:1 251:19 300:22	48:7,13 49:9,10 83:12	252:4 271:20
210:18 276:3 293:1	truly 17:12 131:5	129:2,15 130:3,7,20	
293:21 322:2 330:15	193:21 207:21 212:22	133:20 134:13 148:20	U
397:4	217:19 238:13 266:20	155:5,8,22 159:4	U.S 2:6,9,16 41:2 94:7
traditionally 146:2	312:8	174:10,11,20 179:7	117:13 142:3 266:6
trail 46:14	trust 252:9 263:7	182:13,15 186:4	268:19 269:2,14
trailing 280:7,12	283:11	192:3,4 194:5 213:17	300:19 304:15 308:12
trails 51:7	truth 161:1	229:7,7,9,10,12	312:11 375:19 392:13
train 153:4,4 243:18	truthfully 30:6	243:14,17 245:19	UHF 174:10
324:10	try 23:22 27:6 28:4 52:4	251:11 268:16 269:9	ultimately 137:9 156:16
trained 326:4 386:16	65:9,11 85:20 89:19	286:20 289:9,10,11	164:17 218:4 220:9
training 8:22 59:11	176:14 186:3 187:16	293:5 295:4 299:9	245:22 384:14 397:17
82:16 159:1 238:5	192:16,17 213:8	302:8 303:6,9 305:16	402:22
339:12 365:17 369:9	234:1 235:21 242:4	305:17,18 307:1	umbilical 91:19
371:2 374:10	313:9 315:10 321:15	312:13 316:19 322:21	un-accredited 309:18
trains 35:22 transaction 139:5,19	354:21 386:10 trying 24:3 64:9 70:19	331:10,14 332:15	310:9 unbelievable 44:22
140:3,4,6 154:11	79:22 101:7,12	336:6,7 339:2 349:5 353:10 357:11 359:12	Uncle 164:8,11
231:18 251:6 269:20	119:15 142:8 150:20	360:6 362:4 371:19	uncomfortable 122:15
283:19	157:22,22 208:20	373:13,15 385:8,8	175:18
transactions 196:4	225:1 235:16 239:10	388:14	under- 7:22
228:13 282:15 283:1	242:1 243:19 254:3,4	TV's 165:17	under-capitalize 279:7
284:11,18	254:7 256:17 257:17	TVs 103:22 296:7	under-represented
transcripts 30:20	291:22 335:4 341:5	twelve 59:17	24:17
transform 164:4	350:21 360:5 361:19	twice 260:17	underachieving 281:1
transformation 90:14	384:12	Twitter 31:14 124:18	underscored 22:20
Transformers 8:14	tube 297:10	two 7:8 17:8 18:12 27:3	underscores 22:11
transition 104:8 141:13	tuition 376:10	46:21 51:15 54:5	25:20
transitioning 210:18	Tulsa 58:13,14	56:10 71:21 77:2,2	underserved 354:15,16
translator 104:14	tuned 97:9	80:5 83:1 86:4,12	394:12
388:14	turn 11:10 15:7 29:18	91:10 96:1 98:20	understand 24:20
translators 102:5,15	84:15 224:17 265:6	112:12 130:22 134:13	30:10 47:12 57:13
104:11,13 transmission 130:10	272:12 277:21 383:19 turnaround 272:10	137:14 139:22 141:7 148:5 149:9 163:4	63:6,17 70:21 93:8 144:2 149:18 176:12
166:3	turned 74:13 216:17	165:11 183:10 186:8	197:21 200:15 217:16
transmitter 286:20,20	323:8 390:9	191:4,7 197:5 204:11	239:4,7 241:21 251:1
309:10	Turner-Lee 3:8 127:19	219:10 225:14 228:14	311:12 345:21 346:16
travel 17:10	127:22 128:2 130:21	210.10 220.11 220.11	
	1 121.22 120.2 130.21	229:3.14 231:12	
treading 202:7		229:3,14 231:12 236:15 250:13 251:21	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13
treading 202:7 treaties 130:5	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17	229:3,14 231:12 236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10	understanding 126:5
	131:16 132:1,21	236:15 250:13 251:21	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11 tried 111:3 190:1	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12 166:4,17 167:10	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5 two-year 14:14 327:9	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1 301:12
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11 tried 111:3 190:1 234:21	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12 166:4,17 167:10 168:20 170:7,10	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5 two-year 14:14 327:9 346:9	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1 301:12 unique 17:16 51:3
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11 tried 111:3 190:1 234:21 Trigg 381:18	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12 166:4,17 167:10	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5 two-year 14:14 327:9 346:9 type 20:7 25:19 57:1	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1 301:12 unique 17:16 51:3 95:14 120:8,9 125:18
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11 tried 111:3 190:1 234:21	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12 166:4,17 167:10 168:20 170:7,10 171:8,10 173:22 174:22	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5 two-year 14:14 327:9 346:9	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1 301:12 unique 17:16 51:3
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11 tried 111:3 190:1 234:21 Trigg 381:18 trillion 161:5	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12 166:4,17 167:10 168:20 170:7,10 171:8,10 173:22	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5 two-year 14:14 327:9 346:9 type 20:7 25:19 57:1 91:12 137:16 139:5	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1 301:12 unique 17:16 51:3 95:14 120:8,9 125:18 187:19 303:12 311:5
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11 tried 111:3 190:1 234:21 Trigg 381:18 trillion 161:5 trip 33:19	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12 166:4,17 167:10 168:20 170:7,10 171:8,10 173:22 174:22 turning 6:20 207:17	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5 two-year 14:14 327:9 346:9 type 20:7 25:19 57:1 91:12 137:16 139:5 142:7 170:1 306:22	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1 301:12 unique 17:16 51:3 95:14 120:8,9 125:18 187:19 303:12 311:5 unit 18:13 19:1
treaties 130:5 treatment 215:17 tree 268:2 tremendous 65:4 109:7 191:3 318:20 390:13 395:3 trepidation 147:9 314:13 Tri-State 83:19 triangle 145:3,4,9 Tribune 153:1,6,20,22 173:11 tried 111:3 190:1 234:21 Trigg 381:18 trillion 161:5 trip 33:19 trouble 254:2 283:13	131:16 132:1,21 134:2,4,6,10,17 137:11 139:14 140:8 140:20 142:10,17,20 143:17 144:4,11 145:16 146:11,14 147:18 148:13 149:2 149:4,13,15,22 151:11 152:11 153:16 154:8 155:3,13 156:8 159:7,17 160:19,22 161:19 162:5,12 163:5,11 164:6,12 166:4,17 167:10 168:20 170:7,10 171:8,10 173:22 174:22 turning 6:20 207:17 turns 393:12,12	236:15 250:13 251:21 254:15 258:16 269:10 270:10 272:4 279:13 281:6 282:21 299:8 305:12 306:1,9 307:2 307:6,8 308:14 309:4 329:10 332:19 337:5 346:12 362:11 363:13 366:11 368:22 371:13 373:5 375:3 379:8 390:16 391:10 392:14 392:19 394:5 two-thirds 376:5 two-year 14:14 327:9 346:9 type 20:7 25:19 57:1 91:12 137:16 139:5 142:7 170:1 306:22 types 398:21	understanding 126:5 165:15 307:13 329:13 341:18 345:20 367:18 understands 62:10 understood 49:19 50:3 50:5 54:17 undertake 40:1 171:21 underway 364:11 underwrite 374:14 unforgettable 107:6 unfortunate 278:15,17 278:20 unfortunately 68:17 251:3 299:16 301:1 301:12 unique 17:16 51:3 95:14 120:8,9 125:18 187:19 303:12 311:5 unit 18:13 19:1 United 1:1 48:2,9,17

wasted 346:12

197:12 316:18 various 21:20 22:8 **universal** 184:2,4 183:14 186:15 239:12 199:22 392:18 Vegas 377:18 universe 247:11 **University** 2:9 38:9 180:16 326:11 327:19 327:20 368:1,3 unlock 119:14 unnecessary 176:22 unremarkable 29:16 **upcoming** 230:13 368:11 **update** 176:19 uphill 20:20 22:11 215:20 ups 229:2 upside 281:2 292:21 293:9 upstairs 334:12 339:9 **urban** 2:19 70:8 83:7 86:5,15 87:9 95:8 102:20,21 103:1 367:17 urge 151:20 **use** 4:20 10:14 27:22 32:14 34:14 62:3 94:5 140:6 143:13 145:15 160:13 187:1 226:14 259:4 322:17 328:22 **useful** 12:6 usually 136:14 146:13 148:16 utilities 185:4 utility 146:21 185:4 207:15 utilize 36:7 utilized 329:1 ٧ V-Me 2:7

vacant 103:17 **Valdez** 3:9 181:14 185:15,16 189:17 196:15 197:18 215:2 Valley 182:15 187:5 valuable 98:2,3 155:19 289:4 354:8 valuation 186:5 valuations 302:15 value 16:17 101:14 109:1 150:17 196:12 206:8 227:3 233:5 237:7 252:4,11 329:13 **valued** 105:2 **values** 24:9,10 variety 132:15 210:6

vehicle 60:8 venture 43:15,20 44:12 44:15 79:1,8,14 115:18 153:1 167:4 291:1 292:15,18 ventures 209:15 venues 112:20 version 93:7 versions 267:4 versus 28:16 62:9 195:10 **VHF** 103:17 104:9 174:10 viable 127:8 255:20 256:18,19 330:2 Viacom 198:8 **Viacoms** 193:7 vibrant 87:18 90:17 91:20 94:2 95:7 306:22 Vice 9:19 82:12 180:15 184:1,5,13 406:2 Victor 2:7 181:13 182:21 192:7 196:15 202:12 video 145:21 146:5 189:10 214:17,18 videotaped 260:1 Vietnamese 98:14 187:6 view 151:8 276:5 viewership 167:14 191:1 viewing 34:18 Villanueva 10:9 virtual 210:10 virtually 182:17 205:19 virtue 272:19 visible 251:10 vision 130:13 144:1 247:3 248:5,6 249:2 280:10 367:3 visionary 55:17 visit 13:10 80:5 visiting 43:13 Vista 3:4 316:6 **vividly** 390:4 Vme 183:7,11,11 **VOD** 191:6,6

voice 52:11,13 53:17

87:17 96:7 148:22

voices 20:5 23:11 27:1

71:3 95:13 156:19

170:18 242:4,5

85:12,13,18,20 87:14

void 315:1 **volume** 300:1,4 volunteering 179:15 **VON** 87:13 96:3 100:6,7 voted 177:8 **VP** 244:1,2 **vu** 182:10,11 vulnerability 107:11

W **W2** 107:21 wait 72:8 75:5 80:19 209:21 250:11 273:5 waiting 373:4 waiver 172:13 264:8,10 264:14 waivers 153:22 173:12 walk 79:3 158:7 247:18 341:13 walked 38:7 55:2,3 66:9 walking 44:20,21 278:8 391:6 **walls** 11:3 **Walmart** 162:22 **Wang** 107:3 wanted 29:2 32:10 37:20 45:2,3,5,7 59:1 71:8 80:11 93:2 95:2 96:15 115:17 122:7 139:6 158:19 161:17 200:6 221:3 231:21 243:6 250:9 261:17 289:7 300:17 333:18 355:7,14 356:22 397:19 398:14 405:18 wanting 102:14 261:1 wants 13:7 74:15 99:10 101:13 113:12 117:8 117:9 124:2 161:21 161:22 264:10 332:13 389:3 warm 16:14 **washes** 31:2 **Washington** 1:10 3:9 15:12 19:9 22:19 23:5 23:7 33:19 61:21 75:4 75:9,13,21 76:7 181:13,15 182:1 185:13 186:18 195:16 205:13 206:13 211:4 218:7 219:12 266:7

watch 14:21 20:3 35:21 109:2 202:22 205:7,7 205:7,8,8 207:4 350:7 368:10 408:2 watched 161:1 watching 207:3 349:14 350:12 water 185:5 202:7 Watts 38:10 45:13 ways 30:2 89:20 99:17 111:22 127:5 196:12 248:19 257:18,21 354:12,14 **WDTW** 387:13 weaknesses 348:2 wealth 311:4 403:22 weather 21:9 282:12 web 13:10 webinar 13:12 webpage 408:3 website 13:14 122:3 260:2 378:15 websites 74:22 weeds 99:20 week 62:12 80:10 86:17 148:21,21 163:8 273:20 375:14 weekend 21:8 320:18 375:14 377:15 weeklies 168:4,5 weekly 230:5 weeks 9:14 32:18 235:6 322:17 392:14 **weiah** 71:8 Weiss 107:3 welcome 4:2,6 6:8 7:2 26:11,14 28:19,21 37:14 83:3,12,22 128:1 176:9 313:11 364:3 365:19 366:16 368:3,4 welcomed 28:21 welcoming 16:8 Welker 3:19 4:4 8:10,15 15:9,9 16:9,11 407:7 well- 334:21 well-known 385:10 well-promoted 334:22 well-run 281:5 went 33:20 53:6 54:9 55:13 56:16 58:14 59:20 63:13 64:12 65:18 80:6 87:10,12 87:13 96:21 108:7 123:9 144:5,13

300:5

397:7

waste 6:19

wasn't 22:6 52:22

163:16 172:19 192:1

276:11 291:15 371:11

200:6 235:2 250:5

221:22

173:16 180:11 223:16

232:19 240:18,21

243:18 265:17 273:16 winning 163:19 246:13 26:18 27:1 29:5.22 260:21 261:4 404:8 276:11 300:11 313:1 Winston 3:10 118:8 30:4,22 32:12,17 56:1 workshop 8:6 12:12 316:21 338:8 363:22 221:18,19 222:22 58:20 59:20 89:19 14:6 143:4 158:2 90:3 96:11 107:20 372:14 379:7 386:2 224:19 228:22 229:19 workshops 79:13 398:18 404:12 408:12 230:6,17 236:4 111:1 112:10 129:8 world 7:21 58:5 90:19 weren't 89:12 238:17 239:18 242:14 141:6 147:7,7 149:9 138:12 147:2 193:8 west 51:17 53:18 244:15,22 249:15 150:11 152:19 156:14 199:13 213:6 275:12 137:22 138:21 244:10 253:21 254:10 259:16 157:11 169:10 170:2 279:21 286:3,9,18 302:9 263:2.7 264:4 265:12 176:1,17 178:3,8,12 292:10 297:8,12 **WEVV** 224:2 178:18 179:11,14 298:12 301:5 308:16 wiping 141:22 WEVV-D2 224:3 Wireless 2:15 48:1 181:6 185:16 187:15 311:12 385:10 392:6 whatsoever 251:17 **wisdom** 6:12 188:5 192:13 213:19 392:7 wise 154:2 226:5,5 228:4 231:2 worry 101:3,16 337:20 wheels 58:12 wish 28:5 260:20 249:6 261:8 262:4 worrying 325:1,2 whim 49:8 352:20 269:2 283:1 285:4 worse 198:2 white 3:19 4:4 8:9 15:21 wit 6:11 287:4,6 293:21,22 worst 272:11,13 17:7 18:6,7,9,21,22 wither 14:20 299:15,15 300:20 worth 59:5 220:15 19:6 22:17 30:21 Witherspoon 303:4 305:10 314:15 321:14 221:3 311:19 312:2 witness 20:2 326:16 327:22 329:12 worthless 203:19 124:7 182:7 290:12 WNBA 184:11 349:11,15 350:5 330:11 331:19 340:7 worthwhile 33:16 371:11 **WNBC** 184:6 342:1,11,14 362:4,9 wouldn't 69:16 233:10 Whitesell 1:12 3:16 4:2 woman 18:13,14,15 377:21 378:6 389:15 234:19 252:20 288:13 6:3,4 10:6 26:3 28:10 19:12,13 21:12,16 395:11 398:3.5 324:2 332:5 343:22 176:7 105:9,13,15 110:5 400:19 403:18 404:3 344:1 358:2 who've 384:10 wound 147:12 393:9 404:13 WICT 366:19.22 389:10 woman- 384:12 worked 10:12 27:5 wow 56:21 57:16 98:6 389:19 391:7 392:11 woman-owned 156:7 33:12 34:2 84:12 391:5 393:12 394:2 395:3 women 2:5 5:16 10:20 87:12 144:18 145:1 wrap 171:15 172:8 11:5,10,11,22 18:12 154:1 163:14 181:6 write 181:19 209:7 395:16 wict.org 395:5 19:1,19,21 20:15 24:7 218:8 233:6 236:12 writing 163:2,3 205:11 wife 52:18 53:4 56:17 32:22 49:15 50:14 268:22 271:2 331:11 273:20 63:19 132:10 96:1 105:8,17 106:2 341:17 367:1 374:16 wrong 107:21 266:14 Wiley 382:22 109:3 110:2 178:9,10 380:20 272:21 282:10,20 Wilkes 3:10,10 222:16 188:5,7,20 197:17 **workers** 178:3 wrote 21:5 297:13 222:17,21 224:19 226:13 321:7 349:22 workflows 327:5 WTDW-AM 388:4 227:22 230:18 231:10 364:7 366:18,21 workforce 324:4,16 WTTV 243:17 240:8 241:20 245:6 371:7 376:6 385:14 325:4,9 391:19 WURD 2:18 82:4 116:1 252:19 385:22 389:22 390:3 393:21 **WVON** 3:7 87:8,10 **William** 309:2 390:15,18,21 391:5 working 1:12 3:15 4:8 100:11 122:10 **Williams** 10:11 391:13 393:15 394:11 9:6,8,11 12:15 15:4 WWRL 83:16,17 85:9 willing 27:3,5 64:22 394:16,21 395:15 16:3 21:10 23:8 29:20 X 78:1 107:12 161:12 women-owned 48:12 32:7 33:11,17 34:5 233:4 273:6 314:16 62:21 201:8 217:18 46:19 56:8 57:6 94:17 **X** 146:21 215:14 341:10 314:17,18 342:13 **XD** 191:3 226:2 99:19 103:15 106:17 360:16 won 191:7 234:19 110:2 128:6,8 157:6 Xiao 183:22 wonder 83:6 86:3,7 willingness 317:19 164:22,22 171:1 Xiong 384:2 385:3 339:17 117:16 260:10 176:19 178:4,6 **XYZ** 299:9 win 97:22 191:8 198:9 wonderful 9:13 14:1 179:19 192:15,15 Υ 227:3,12 232:12,13 274:20 320:16 391:1 194:8 196:10 204:5 237:1 238:10 407:7 223:7 224:22 227:2 yay 389:4 win-win 215:9 234:8 232:5 236:13,16 wondering 169:3 year 12:21 48:15 61:19 **WIND** 122:10 255:20 241:17 248:17 257:20 68:4 77:13 111:5 word 35:21 178:16 **winding** 36:19 285:4 321:13 340:22 133:1 141:14 190:22 window 155:19 156:4 181:16 209:4 217:20 343:4 361:16 364:4 191:7,17 205:18 278:2 words 195:20 218:18 367:15 369:1 371:15 209:8 242:22 298:14 winner 296:19 267:11 268:6 372:5 374:3 375:9 300:10 304:21 310:10 winner's 241:12 work 9:3 11:2 19:4 406:8,11,12 320:18 321:14 327:1 **winners** 198:3 20:14,19 21:5 25:15 works 13:13 227:5 328:8,11 356:8

375:11 377:15 384:3 **12** 225:21 247:19 248:17 257:20 268:16 **316** 5:12 398:2 314:10 328:9 349:9 268:20 282:9 301:2 **32** 53:8 323:16 **yellow** 36:22 **12,500** 56:16 319:22 360:7 375:11 **320** 387:2 yesterday 40:16 47:4 **12:08** 180:11 **20,000** 178:6 **325** 378:19 200 402:14 122:10 242:20 380:9 **120** 71:16 **33** 4:8 296:17,18 381:8 yin-yang 192:21 **125,000** 56:19 **2000** 240:10 250:5 **34** 167:22 34th 133:15 York 41:13 69:12,15,16 **127** 4:14 **2003** 116:1 83:11,17 85:14 94:9 12th 1:9 2004 256:3 **35** 64:4 **13** 36:18 185:9 244:1 2007 103:16 243:20 36 108:15 96:22 97:2,11,18 116:20 121:14,17 **130,000** 289:17 **364** 5:16 272:10 134:4 184:6 185:5,13 **1310-AM** 387:13 **2011** 17:8 384:4 **37** 4:10 368:1 400:8 **133** 21:3 **2014** 228:14 384:19 **38** 53:20 334:4 young 11:22 18:15 24:6 **14** 108:15 349:9 363:13 385:18 388:3 **38.5** 332:19 334:12 24:7,8 43:21 44:20 **140** 266:8 2016 228:17 **380,000** 289:1 2017 7:19 14:13 228:17 191:4 323:10 **15** 48:6 71:20 107:17,21 116:2 228:12 230:4 younger 24:1 293:22 240:19 302:3 308:16 248:17 257:20 301:2 **2018** 86:8 402:13 4 4:16 290:11 360:6 **4:35** 363:22 youngsters 57:5 367:14 391:22 **2019** 1:7 70:6 117:12 **YouTube** 124:19 **150** 71:19 72:9 4:39 364:1 390:10 398:2 Yvette 242:18 **2020** 133:1 **150,000** 73:17 **40** 72:20 139:18 142:19 **16** 4:5 223:7 328:9 **20s** 323:22 214:13 266:10 277:20 Z 21 83:10 158:18 244:13 **1600** 15:10 290:12 366:20 390:9 **Zamora** 3:11,11 84:1,2 **17-289** 315:4 **221** 4:22 390:12 393:13 84:2 87:22 88:1 90:10 **17,000** 376:9 **23** 158:18 347:13 400 165:11 **24** 392:13 400,000 73:16 92:4,5 110:15,21 **176** 4:15 120:7 125:15 127:11 **18** 53:21 56:20 58:9.11 **24/7** 124:21 **403** 5:19 387:13 388:3.4 247:20 **25** 62:9 80:11 86:17 **405** 5:20 **zero** 57:14 288:2 **18.3** 241:17 269:15,19 350:9,22 408 5:22 **181** 4:18 393:12 **40s** 324:2 0 **19** 374:15 **25.000** 394:9 40th 11:6 **07** 137:15.19 138:8 **190** 196:19 **250.000** 114:16 **445** 1:9 139:1 244:5 190-channel 247:11 **26** 4:6 103:20 449 77:5 **267** 5:7 **08** 138:9.17 139:2 **1933** 309:19 **45** 89:10 240:18 290:4 **09** 322:22 **1963** 87:11,13 **27** 85:10 347:15 **45-plus** 290:4 **1974** 89:9 28 4:7 224:13 **450** 240:18 **1979** 43:17 **29-year-old** 185:1 **453** 235:1 241:15 **29,000** 178:3 **1,000** 294:3 393:15 **1981** 49:4 **46** 140:1 290:11 **1,300** 401:6 **1982** 97:17 3 5 1983 40:14 63:11 223:4 **1.5** 58:19,21 1:13 180:12 **1986** 381:8 **3** 4:13 8:14 63:10 **5** 4:19 103:18.21 202:17 **1:15** 35:17 36:3 179:21 **1987** 52:20 138:20 240:20 332:14 207:9 306:17 360:8 1989 243:15 367:9 **3,037,500** 244:11 **5,000** 133:10 162:21 180:6.9 10 189:19,20 225:20 1990s 256:11 **3.0** 165:18 361:14 310:10 321:18 **1996** 316:18 383:3 230:20 236:7 282:8 400:16 **5.8** 85:15 **1997** 53:6 383:4 **3.5** 237:6 284:3 285:18 306:18 **5:22** 408:12 **1999** 108:7 3:02 265:18 **50** 58:8 80:20,21 98:11 349:10 **10,500** 366:19 392:12 **1999-2000** 241:13 **3:49** 313:1 103:20 133:1 359:12 1st 378:14 **100** 98:5 201:4 202:18 **3:51** 313:2 360:6 203:3 205:20 288:6 30 48:5 49:1 62:9 72:20 **50,000** 59:2 2 290:5 296:17,20 88:4 108:2,5 139:19 **501(c)(3)** 368:14 141:14 186:2 189:18 **100,000** 168:10 277:18 **2** 4:11 189:18 306:17 **50s** 324:2 333:11 197:4,11 269:6 **51** 379:10 289:12,15,17 1000th 393:13 2:49 265:17 296:20,21 297:8 **52** 326:8 20 71:14 97:20 132:3 398:9 403:1 **101** 227:10 274:21 **55** 87:15 185:20 189:19,20 30-year 398:1 **5G** 360:21,22 361:3,9 **103** 229:15 **11** 225:21 240:20 193:12 202:17 222:20 **300** 359:11 382:9 222:21 225:21 228:12 300,000 266:11 6 304:22 110 125:22 230:4 236:7 243:1 **31** 4:7 **6** 4:3,3 5:4 103:18,20

	449
196:21 237:7 247:19 290:13 6:00 405:7 408:7 60 52:5 71:15 77:5 295:21 323:20 328:2 60-whatever 349:11 600 286:19,21 60s 96:18 64 115:5 230:3 68 391:18 7 7 1:7 5:9 83:20 165:12 237:7 70 400:3 70s 40:17 89:15 96:22 75 229:14 350:10 8 8 5:14 240:18 80 174:12 204:9 276:10 382:4 80s 40:18 89:15,17 81 4:12 85 162:10 86 382:3 9 9 379:11 9:00 1:10 373:3 9:02 6:2 90 71:16 196:20 201:3 337:14 900 401:8 90s 135:18 269:22 291:13	

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}\ \underline{\mathsf{R}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \underline{\mathsf{I}}\ \underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{A}}\ \underline{\mathsf{T}}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

March 18, 2019 Neal R. Gross

NEAL R. GROSS